

# Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology

Volume 161, Number 9

7 March 2018

An annotated inventory of the weevils (Coleoptera:  
Curculionoidea) described by Thomas Say

JENS PRENA



BULLETIN OF THE

# Museum of Comparative Zoology

## BOARD OF EDITORS

*Editor:* Jonathan Losos

*Managing Editor:* Melissa Aja

*Associate Editors:* Andrew Biewener, Scott Edwards,  
Brian Farrell, Gonzalo Giribet, James Hanken,  
Hopi Hoekstra, George Lauder, James McCarthy,  
Naomi Pierce, Stephanie Pierce, Mansi Srivastava, and Robert  
Woollacott

Publications Issued or Distributed by the  
Museum of Comparative Zoology  
Harvard University

*Bulletin* 1863–

*Breviora* 1952–

*Memoirs* 1865–1938

*Johnsonia, Department of Mollusks*, 1941–1974

*Occasional Papers on Mollusks*, 1945–

General queries, questions about author guidelines, or permissions for  
MCZ Publications should be directed to the editorial assistant:

MCZ Publications  
Museum of Comparative Zoology  
Harvard University  
26 Oxford Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138

[mczpublications@mcz.harvard.edu](mailto:mczpublications@mcz.harvard.edu)

## EXCHANGES AND REPRINTS

All of our publications are offered for free on our website:  
<http://www.mcz.harvard.edu/Publications/index.html>

To purchase individual reprints or to join our exchange program,  
please contact April Mullins at the Ernst Mayr Library:  
[mayrlib@oeb.harvard.edu](mailto:mayrlib@oeb.harvard.edu).

This publication has been printed on acid-free permanent paper stock.

# AN ANNOTATED INVENTORY OF THE WEEVILS (COLEOPTERA: CURCULIONOIDEA) DESCRIBED BY THOMAS SAY

JENS PRENA<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** A comprehensive search was conducted to ascertain the fate of the weevils named and described by the early North American naturalist Thomas Say. The historical context of each of his weevil papers is given along with the approximate date of publication. At least 117 extant type specimens were located for 92 (possibly 98) of his 132 nominal species in museum collections in Berlin, Cambridge, Halle, and Stockholm. Supporting evidence for their authenticity is presented. Actions are taken to stabilize eight genus group names. Species names are fixed when reasonably sound taxonomic revisions are available. Primary homonyms found are *Baridius sulcipennis* Brisont, 1870 (not Heyden, 1868), and *Lixus marginatus* Say, 1832 (not Beck, 1817). Lectotypes are designated for *Balaninus nasicus* Say, 1832; *B. rostratus* Gyllenhaal, 1835; *Cleonus trivittatus* Say, 1832; *Cryptorhynchus umbrosus* Boheman, 1837; *Liparus tessellatus* Say, 1824; *L. vittatus* Say, 1824; *Rhychaenus constrictus* Say, 1824; *Rhychnites aeratus* Say, 1831; *Rhychopterus immunis* Say, 1832; *R. placidus* Say, 1832; *R. venatus* Say, 1832; and *Tanymecus confusus* Say, 1832. The holotype of *B. sulcipennis* Heyden is designated as neotype for *Baridius transversus* Say, 1832. Resurrected names are (formerly used names in square brackets) *Curculio auctus* Casey, 1910 [ante: *C. nasicus* auctt.]; *Pseudobaris crenata* (Boheman, 1836) [ante: *Craptus undatus* auctt.]; *Aranigus durius* (Germar, 1823) [ante: *A. tessellatus* auctt.]; *Sphenophorus compressirostris* Germar, 1823 [ante: *S. germari* Horn, 1873]; *S. cultrirostris* Gyllenhaal, 1838 [ante: *S. compressirostris* (Say, 1824)]; *S. immunis* (Say, 1832) and *S. placidus* (Say, 1832) [ante: *S. venatus* auctt.]; *Dorytomus rufus* (Say, 1832) [ante: *Ellescus ephippiatus* (Say, 1832)]; *Cryptorhynchus umbrosus* Boheman, 1837 [ante: *C. obliquus* auctt.]; and *Tanymecus confertus* Gyllenhaal, 1834 [ante: *T. confusus* auctt.]. New or reestablished combinations are *Aracanthus tessellatus* (Say, 1824) [from *Aranigus* Horn], *Anleutes curtus* (Say, 1832) [from *Acanthoscelidius* Hustache], *Dorytomus rufus* (Say, 1832) [from *Ellescus* Dejean], and *Onychobaris undata* (Say, 1832) [from *Craptus* Casey]. New or reestablished junior

synonyms are *Aranigus tessellatus pallidus* Horn, 1876, *Asynonychus sautafecinus* Lanteri, 1987, *A. viridipallens* Hustache, 1947, *Eurymetopus chevrolati* Voß, 1934, *E. griseus* Voß, 1934, and *Pantomorus biserialis* Hustache, 1947 [all of *Aranigus durius* (Germar, 1823)]; *Baridius sulcipennis* Heyden, 1868, *B. sulcipennis* Brisont, 1870, and *B. strenuus* LeConte, 1869 [all of *Baris transversa* (Say, 1832)]; *Balaninus nasicus* Say, 1832 [of *Curculio proboscideus* Fabricius, 1775]; *B. ordinatus* Casey, 1910 [of *Curculio auctus* (Casey, 1910)]; *Cryptorhynchus fuscatus* LeConte, 1876 [of *C. obliquus* Say, 1832]; *C. paluacollis* Say, 1832 [of *Rhyssomatus paluicollis* (Say, 1832)]; *Dera-canthus pallidus* Say, 1832 [of *Aracanthus tessellatus* (Say, 1824)]; *Dorytomus squamosus* LeConte, 1876 [of *D. rufus* (Say, 1832)]; *Otidocephalus myrmecodes* Chevrolat, 1833, and *O. chevrolatii* Horn, 1873 [of *Myrmex myrmex* (Herbst, 1797)]; *Sphenophorus destructor* Chittenden, 1906 [of *S. venatus* (Say, 1832)]; *S. parvulus* Gyllenhaal, 1838 [of *S. interstitialis* (Say, 1832)]; *S. sayi* Gyllenhaal, 1838 [of *S. immunis* (Say, 1832)]; *Tanymecus confusus* Say, 1832 [of *Hylobius palcs* (Herbst, 1797)]; and *Tyloderma capitaloides* Wibmer, 1981 [of *T. aereum* (Say, 1832)]. *Tyloderma wibmeri* new species is proposed for *T. aereum* auctt.

Key words: Insects. Collections. Naturalists. New Harmony, North America

## INTRODUCTION

The impetus for this study came approximately 10 years ago, when I noticed several misinterpreted and allegedly lost type specimens of North American weevils described by Thomas Say (1787–1834). The first specimens were encountered rather accidentally while resolving the original usage of a name that had been applied in the past to two similar but distinct species of *Odontocorynus* Schönherr (Prena, 2008). A more methodical approach based on collection

<sup>1</sup> Mühlendamm Sa, 18055 Rostock, Germany (baridinae@gmx.de).

inventories and literature suggested that more syntypes may occur unrecognized in certain historical collections. Subsequent random searches in the natural history museums of Berlin and Stockholm not only revealed an unexpected plethora of what appeared to be syntypes, but the discovered specimens also threatened to undermine much of the very foundation of North American weevil taxonomy established by John LeConte and George Horn (e.g., Horn, 1873; LeConte and Horn, 1876). Through the intervening years, I searched for clues on how and when this material came to these and perhaps other collections and what had instigated the resulting taxonomic confusion.

The dissent between my initial results and the widely prevailing perception about the fate of Say's insects directed my attention more and more to the scientific correspondence among contemporary entomologists, whereas numerous secondary sources turned out to be marred by unsupported, sometimes entirely imaginative views. The latter not only applied to Say's own life and work, but also to the entomologists of the Melsheimer family with whom Say interacted. Details about Say's and the Melsheimers' work on beetles and the historical events that led to an exchange of their material can be found in Prena (2015). During the course of my studies, I came across more than 500 letters I consider pertinent for somebody wishing to study the beginning of entomology in North America. However, these probably represent only a minor fraction of what has been preserved in museum archives and libraries. Of these, I am using here only those that are relevant to Say's weevils. All other insects, including the slightly over 1000 remaining beetle names, are beyond the scope of this study. Say's carabid types (only those curated in Paris) were documented by Lindroth and Freitag (1969), and the labeled remnants of his personal insect

collection at Harvard University were documented by Mawdsley (1993).

John L. LeConte made two statements that have influenced generations of entomologists. In the foreword of his compilation of Say's entomological papers (LeConte, 1859), he stated that all insects described by Say were destroyed. Without question, he was alluding to the boxes that Thaddeus Harris had returned to the Academy in 1842 (Elliot, 2008). It is unknown what exactly happened to them afterward. Ruschenberger (1852) reported about a newly established insect collection at this institution with modern, book-like drawers. However, the remarkable number of specimens still preserved today (Mawdsley, 1993) makes it unlikely that the bulk of Say's boxes was removed and discarded on a single occasion. When LeConte took residence in Philadelphia in 1852, it is curious why he should have been unable to gain access to, or at least accurate information about, Say's remaining insects while others succeeded even 50 years later (Mawdsley, 1993). A second, similarly misleading claim was made when LeConte (Ref. 25, see further below) unnecessarily inflated the scientific value of his own collection by stating that his specimens were compared with Say's. These two statements stand in conflict to each other and should be taken cautiously. I think it quite possible that the early rivalries at the Academy still reverberated in LeConte's perceptions. Say, a fourth-generation born American, passionately had argued against the description of North American species by European naturalists while others, still with strong and intimate connections to the scholarly communities in Europe, supplied them (rather than him) with specimens. However, Say himself described species from foreign countries, so that, retrospectively, his nationalism seems somewhat biased by a general grudge against others who did not accept him as the national authority on (and due recipient of) North American insects. It

was in this context that Say reproached John Eatton LeConte, J. L. LeConte's father, of having donated his beetles to Dejean (Ref. 16), and this old feud may well have curbed J. L. LeConte's interest in Say's insect collection, however battered it may have been.

The objective of this paper is to document the presently known extant weevil specimens used by Say in his descriptions and to confirm actual losses. If a particular genus has been revised, I update the current taxonomy and take necessary nomenclatural actions when deemed necessary. In all other cases, the catalog provides information about the currently known specimens available for study. Unlike Lindroth and Freitag (1969), I give strict preference to Say's original specimens and set aside subsequent neotype designations in accordance with ICZN Article 75.8. Reasons for doing so are the complexity of many taxonomic and nomenclatural problems that involve also other dispersed collections with North American beetles, like those of Dejean, Eschscholtz, Germar, Gundlach, and Olivier. Moreover, the type status of most specimens described by Say (1831b, 1832b,c) and forwarded to Schönherr is well documented and not contestable.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### General Approach

Factors that impair the recognition of Say's type specimens are lag time between description and publication, absence of original labels, dispersal and integration in other collections, and indifference to the matter itself.

Considerable time frequently elapsed between the first usage of a manuscript name, the drafting of a description, and their formal publication, for the following reasons. First, Say used many manuscript names previously proposed by Knoch and Melsheimer (Melsheimer, 1806). All three

men distributed specimens under these names at different times, and often it remains uncertain on what the published description was based. Second, journal editors withheld one or more of Say's submissions in the years following his departure from Philadelphia. In a letter to Harris (Ref. 7), Say wrote: "I shall publish part of my Mss on Coleoptera as soon as they can be admitted into our Journal, they have been all ready this long time, but the press of matter excludes them." Third, Say himself withheld descriptions he had prepared and hoarded for his personal usage during the 1820s.

Using a common practice in those days, Say usually labeled only the first specimen of each species and provided rarely more than the taxonomic name. To my knowledge, his original identification labels are preserved only in the Harvard Collection. They represent different episodes of Say's entomological work and may be mixed with labels written by other persons. However, when Say mailed specimens to his correspondents, he attached numbers and listed the corresponding data in the accompanying letter. The recipient wrote new labels and integrated the specimens in his own collection. Schönherr almost always noted on the label and in his publications the previous owner and the collecting site; Germar and Harris often noted the initials on a small piece of paper. In numerous cases these labels were removed again by museum staff. I also noticed that Germar and Schönherr pinned several originally card-mounted specimens, and those may be unrecognizable when mixed with other material. Harris generally attached only minute labels with numbers and recorded the associated data in notebooks; I was unable to trace Say's weevil types with these resources. More confusion was added when Harris was in charge of Say's insect collection, forwarded specimens to previous recipients (e.g., Germar and Schönherr) along with material from other sources, replaced original labels,

and transferred specimens to his own collection.

The already taxing recognizability of Say's insects is exacerbated even further by their convoluted history of dispersal to, and integration in, other collections. Several collections of primary recipients (e.g., Dejean, Germar, Winthem) were divided and sold or donated in parts to other collectors (e.g., Candèze, Dohrn, Kraatz, Lüders, Oberthür, Roelofs, Sommer) before they came to museums or universities. Additionally, single specimens might have been donated or exchanged already by the first or any of the subsequent owners. Although the survival of some of Say's insects has been known for decades (Kuschel, 1952; Lindroth and Freitag, 1969; Smith, 1986; Mawdsley, 1993; F. C. Thompson, personal communication), there is no general overview about where the bulk of the material went. My original intention was to search also in other museum collections which are likely to house Say specimens. However, I eventually dismissed the plan because it involved several hundred beetles, a fair number of European museums, and the need to decipher substantially more historical correspondence. What became clear, though, is that many beetle types were lost or destroyed, indeed, although in a different way and much later than generally assumed, such as those sent to Gravenhorst and Winthem.

Notwithstanding the objective challenges mentioned above, not a few taxonomists and curators failed even when available resources readily linked existing museum material with Say. Reasons for this are manifold and often have to do with limited availability of original literature, insufficient familiarity with languages, historical failures of collection managers, and the prevailing low priority of museum collection management involving the detailed histories of acquired materials.

For all these reasons, I focused on those specimens that Say documented in his

correspondence starting around 1824 (when he began publishing weevil descriptions) and refrained from further random searches. Additionally, I tracked the specimens sent by Harris to Schönherr (among them Say material) because some subsequent type designations made from this material were flawed. The following abbreviations are used to refer to repositories and archives in the text:

ANSP	Academy of Natural Sciences, Drexel University, Philadelphia, USA
MCZ	Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
MfN	Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin, Germany
MLUH	Institut für Zoologie, Martin-Luther Universität, Halle, Germany
NHRS	Naturhistoriska riksmuseet, Stockholm, Sweden
SDEI	Senckenberg, Deutsches Entomologisches Institut, Müncheberg, Germany
SUBH	Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek, Hamburg, Germany
USNM	Smithsonian, National Museum of Natural History, Washington, USA
WMI	Working Men's Institute, New Harmony, Indiana, USA

I also studied photos of an old insect collection housed at WMI, which were taken and kindly made available to me by Roman Rakitov in 2011. One of the five boxes contains approximately 40 North American and a few foreign weevil specimens, some of them provided with numbers. Initially I saw no connection to Say but, with more documents at hand, had to rescind my view. The handwritten text on a few miscellaneous labels, the style and scientific nature of the notes contained in the lids of the boxes, and the overall species composition suggest that this material indeed belonged to Say, not Charles-Alexan-

dre Lesueur or some other resident of New Harmony. Regrettably, original identifications are lacking and one should be aware that the boxes probably contain also specimens of the Harris Collection received in March 1834. Nevertheless, these and Knoch's Melsheimer specimens might be of interest in future interpretations of still unrecognized species. The autographs and documents referred to in the text are as follows:

*Ref. 1.* Letter by Thomas Say, Philadelphia, to Johann Friedrich (aka John Frederick) Melsheimer, Hanover, dated 29 August 1821. In English. Brief account about the Rocky Mountain Expedition under Major Long, with a statement that the official repository of the collections would be Peale's museum in Philadelphia. Source: ANSP, Archives Collection 13 (also published by Fox, 1901).

*Ref. 2.* Letter by Ernst Friedrich Germar, Halle, to Wilhelm von Winthem, Hamburg, dated 8 October 1823. In German. Companion letter going with several separate boxes addressed to Langsdorff, Schönherr, Westermann, Wiedemann, and Winthem himself. Germar refers therein specifically to a copy of his recently published *Insectorum species novae* as being included in Schönherr's lot (dated 5 October 1823 according to Schönherr's response letter from 28 February 1824, SDEI). Informs about death of Christian Hendel who had printed the book and that Schönherr has submitted a new weevil classification to Isis (which apparently had not appeared yet). Source: SUBH, Nachlässe und Autographen.

*Ref. 3.* Letter by Prince Maximilian of Wied, Neuwied, to Ernst Friedrich Germar, Halle, dated 10 November 1823. In German. Acknowledgment for having received a copy of *Insectorum species novae*. Source: SDEI.

*Ref. 4.* Letter by Comte Dejean, Paris, to Ernst Friedrich Germar, Halle, dated 19 November 1823. In French. Response to

Germar's last letter and shipment from 1 October 1823 expressing gratitude for having received copies of *Insectorum species novae* and *Fauna europeae*, volumes 4–6 and 8. Source: SDEI.

*Ref. 5.* Letter by Thaddeus Harris, Milton, to Thomas Say, Philadelphia, dated 18 November 1824. In English. Announces that Mr. Fuller will convey two boxes with insects for identification; provides additional observations on some of them. Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library (also published by Scudder, 1891).

*Ref. 6.* Letter by Thomas Say, Philadelphia, to Johann Friedrich (aka John Frederick) Melsheimer, Hanover, dated 1 December 1824. In English. Informs that *American Entomology* volume 1 is published. Source: ANSP, Archives Collection 13.

*Ref. 7.* Letter by Thomas Say, Philadelphia, to Thaddeus Harris, Milton, dated 8 January 1825. In English. Contains comments on specimens sent by Harris on 18 November 1824 (Ref. 5) and list with 176 identifications. Letter and box conveyed by Thomas Nuttall. Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library.

*Ref. 8.* Thomas Say's retained copy of the list with identified species returned to Thaddeus Harris, Milton; undated (corresponds with Ref. 7). Source: ANSP, Archives Collection 455.

*Ref. 9.* Letter by Thomas Say, Philadelphia, to Thaddeus Harris, Milton, dated 21 November 1825. In English. Announcing his departure to New Harmony within the next few days and the recent submission of a manuscript on Coleoptera to the Journal of ANSP. Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library, sfMu 1308.43.1.

*Ref. 10.* Thomas Say's retained copy of the list with the species mailed to Ernst Friedrich Germar in Halle, Germany. One double-sided sheet listing 143 Coleoptera (64 tagged as syntypes but many still being manuscript names) and 5 Lepidoptera. Dated December 1827, probably mailed in

early January 1828 when passing through New Orleans with William Maclure, on the way to Mexico. Source: ANSP, Archives Collection 455.

*Ref. 11.* Thomas Say's retained copy of the list with the species mailed to Wilhelm von Winthem in Hamburg, Germany. One double-sided sheet listing 125 Coleoptera. Dated December 1827, probably mailed in early January 1828 when passing with William Maclure through New Orleans on the way to Mexico. Apparently donated in return for a box with specimens sent by Winthem on 11 March 1825. Source: ANSP, Archives Collection 455.

*Ref. 12.* Thomas Say's retained copy of the list with Mexican plant material sent to Robert Carr at Bartram's Garden in Philadelphia on 23 July 1828. ANSP, Archives Collection 433.

*Ref. 13.* Letter by Frédéric Auguste Ismar, New Orleans, to William Maclure, Mexico City, dated 15 November 1829 (probably error for 15 December 1829). In French. Personal information about progress of his voyage to New Harmony. Source: WMI, New Harmony Collections, VAA4026-0231.

*Ref. 14.* Letter by William Bennett, Mexico City, to Marie Fretageot, New Harmony, dated 20 February 1830. In English. Provides details about his arrival in Mexico: left Veracruz for Mexico City on 20 January 1830, traveled via Orizaba and Puebla instead of Xalapa. Source: WMI, New Harmony Collections, VAA4026-0244.

*Ref. 15.* Thomas Say's retained copy of the list with the species sent to Carl Johann Schönherr in Skara, Sweden. One folded sheet with four pages (Prena, 2015, fig. 1), dated 1830, listing 125 species (mostly manuscript names) plus two unnamed species A and B, with cross references to the number of the corresponding name in Melsheimer (1806), annotations (including asterisks [\*], which probably denote singletons [originally unique or last remaining specimen of a former series]; some asterisks

are crossed out and symbolized herein as ■), and the note, "to be returned in case there is no other sp. of that genus in the box." Taxa listed with an asterisk and described shortly afterward in the Curculionites pamphlet are considered herein as holotypes; those with an asterisk but described in the 1820s are considered as likely syntypes. Drafted before 18 March 1830 (*Ref. 18*), with subsequent additions and changes made in connection with *Refs. 18* and *19*. Source: ANSP, Archives Collection 455.

*Ref. 16.* Letter by Thomas Say, New Harmony, to Thaddeus Harris, Milton, dated 20 May 1830. In English. Reproaches John Eatton LeConte for being unable to describe the new species of his insect collection even with the help of Dejean and for having donated all his Coleoptera to the latter. Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library, sfMu 1308.43.1.

*Ref. 17.* Companion letter and list going with two boxes of insects (ca. 140 species) sent by Thomas Say, New Harmony, to Friedrich Klug, Berlin, dated 10 December 1830. In English. Source: MfN, Historische Bild- und Schriftgutsammlungen.

*Ref. 18.* Letter by Carl Johann Schönherr, Skara, to Thomas Say, New Harmony, dated 23 April 1831. In Latin. Refers to Say's box with weevils mailed on 18 March 1830 (*Ref. 15*) which arrived damaged on 1 October 1830. Provides comments on the first 25 species on Say's list (fact mentioned in *Ref. 19*), but this part and the envelope apparently are lost. Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library, BMs 31.20.19.

*Ref. 19.* Letter by Carl Johann Schönherr, Skara, to Thomas Say, New Harmony, dated 22 October 1831. In Latin. Response to Say's letter from 1 August 1831 (which was about Schönherr's shipment of 345 species and several books, all not arrived yet), with comments on species 26–127 of Say's earlier shipment (*Ref. 15*). Upon receipt, Say marked with a semicircle the comments of those species which he was about to include in the Curculionites pamphlet; in the same

fashion he marked the corresponding records (only between 73 and 103) on his retained list (Ref. 15; Prena, 2015, figs. 1,2). Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library, bMs 31.20.19.

Ref. 20. Letter by Thomas Say, New Harmony, to Thaddeus Harris, Cambridge, dated 21 December 1831. In English. Encourages Harris to forward insects for identification. Informs about ongoing work on a paper containing his weevil descriptions and his intent to describe more in a supplement. Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library, sfMu 1308.43.1.

Ref. 21. Letter by Thomas Say, New Harmony, to Thaddeus Harris, Cambridge, dated 8 September 1832. In English. Informs that Harris' shipment with Coleoptera has not arrived yet in New Orleans and that he is printing now a supplement of the *Curculionites* pamphlet. Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library, sfMu 1308.43.1.

Ref. 22. Letter by Ernst Friedrich Germar, Halle, to Thomas Say, New Harmony, dated 10 June 1833. In German. Response to Say's letter from 26 December 1832. Acknowledges receipt of *Curculionites* pamphlet and mentions that he has several of the newly described species from Nicolas Hentz (obviously confusing shipments). Laments about having hassles with customs when shipments come through England or Holland; urges Say to mail via Winthem in Hamburg or Eggers & Franke in Bremen. Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library, bMs 31.20.9.

Ref. 23. Letter by Thomas Say, New Harmony, to Thaddeus Harris, Cambridge, dated 7 August 1834. In English. With statement about unpublished descriptions and manuscript names. Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library, sfMu 1308.43.1.

Ref. 24. Thaddeus Harris' draft and retained copy of the list with the species mailed to Carl Johann Schönherr in Skara, Sweden. Dated December 1836, with 42 Coleoptera species from his own and 77 from the Natural History Collection in

Boston. The first descriptions appear ca. 2.5 years later in Schönherr (1839). Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library, bMu 1308.10.31.

Ref. 25. Letter by John Lawrence LeConte, Philadelphia, to Alexander Agassiz, Museum of Comparative Zoology, dated 28 April 1875. Offer to bequeath his personal collection of Coleoptera to the museum. Outlines its scientific value and gives recommendations on conservation and maintenance (e.g., restricted access to types and strict retention of original labels). Source: MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library (also published in Appendix B of the Annual Report of the Trustees of the Museum for 1875 and in *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 15(4): 1961).

### Collecting Sites and Dates

Many of the weevils described by Say in the 1820s were collected during the early explorations of the interior parts of North America, which the United States had acquired from France in 1803 (the so-called Louisiana Purchase). The area initially was divided along the 33rd parallel into the Territory of Orleans to the south and the District of Louisiana to the north. The latter was redesignated as the Louisiana Territory in 1805 and renamed Missouri Territory in 1812 when the Orleans Territory and adjacent Spanish claims became the State of Louisiana. The southern part of the Missouri Territory became the Territory of Arkansas in 1819. A relatively small part of the remaining Missouri Territory became the State of Missouri in 1821. Say used these historical expressions rather arbitrarily in abbreviated form and one should be aware that some meant different things at different times. This is important because Say also received specimens from other collectors, in particular the botanist Thomas Nuttall (1786–1859). Nuttall participated in the first stage of the Astor Expedition 1811 (during which he collected, for example, the type series of the Colorado potato beetle,

*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Say), Chrysomelidae) and collected along the Arkansas and Red rivers in 1818–20, thereby being ahead of Say and Major Long’s Rocky Mountain Expedition.

Nuttall’s locality “Missouri” applies to specimens collected in 1811 along the Missouri River, between present-day St. Louis, Missouri, and Mandan, North Dakota. Say’s “Missouri” applies to specimens collected along the same river during June–July 1819 in today’s State of Missouri but possibly also to collections made in the vicinity of present-day Topeka, Kansas. Only the collections from Engineer Cantonment, the 1819–20 winter camp on the Missouri near present-day Omaha, Nebraska, have precise locality data (Genoways and Ratcliffe, 2008). Nuttall’s locality “Arkansa” applies to specimens collected in 1819 along the Arkansas River in present-day Arkansas (mostly around Ft. Smith) and eastern Oklahoma. Likewise, Say’s “Arkansa” refers to the river of that name rather than to the historic Arkansaw Territory. His specimens from “Arkansa near the Rocky Mountains” were taken around July 1820 in today’s Colorado, all other records from “Arkansa” may refer to collections made between August and early October further downstream in today’s Kansas, Oklahoma, and Arkansas. Finally, collections along the Mississippi River were taken in October 1820 when sailing down from Cape Girardeau, Missouri, to New Orleans, Louisiana. The Louisiana specimens obtained from Joseph Barabino and Frédéric Auguste Ismar are from the New Orleans area, not the historic Louisiana Territory or subsequent State of Louisiana. The records from the Northwest Territory may refer to (i) the “Territory Northwest of the River Ohio,” an organized incorporated territory of the United States that existed from 1787 to 1803, or (ii) the so-called North-Western Territory, a historical region northwest of Rupert’s Land that existed until 1870. Because Say worked for a while as a curator

in the museum of Charles Willson Peale, he also had access to specimens of the Lewis and Clark Expedition (1804–06), but none are documented. I have not noticed any unlikely or obviously incorrect collecting data, although the data published by Schönherr (1833–45) disagree occasionally with Say’s retained list, probably because of misinterpretations of dashes and ditto marks. Nevertheless, Say normally did not write locality data on labels and made several changes on his retained species list (Ref. 15), possibly based on field notes or from memory. Therefore, inaccuracies and errors may have occurred and cannot be ruled out.

Say described four weevils from Mexico, among them the first one ever described from there, without providing details on collecting localities or dates. It is relatively certain that these specimens came from his 1828 journey with William Maclure. Barber (1928) determined as Say’s travel route the old road between Veracruz and Ciudad de México via Xalapa and Puebla. His conclusion is corroborated by the collecting localities of plants and seeds, which Say forwarded to Bartram’s Garden (Ref. 12). Most of these items came from local markets or were collected in the vicinity of Ciudad de México (e.g., Tacubaya [spelled Tacubya], Chapultepec, Lago de Chalco, and the hills around the city). Say stayed in México from January to April 1828. William Bennett had been instructed to make further collections for Say, but his travel itinerary (Ref. 14) makes it unlikely that any of these specimens were sent to Schönherr. My preliminary results indicate that they were taken by Lesueur and forwarded to Chevrolat and Dupont.

#### Dates of Publication

Say sometimes typeset, printed, and distributed individual signatures of a particular work at different dates (Bousquet, 1993; Prena, 2015). For example, Say wrote

to Klug (Ref. 17), “I send you, so far as it is printed, a paper that I am now occupied with on new North American insects, as far as page 41.” Two aspects need to be considered in this context, i.e., date priority (which affects the validity of names and the fixation of type species) and occurrence of name variants in separately issued parts (which determines their availability). Two instances of nearly simultaneously published homonyms deserve special mention. There is compelling evidence (see under *Calandra compressirostra* in the text and Bousquet, 2016) that Germar’s *Insectorum species novae* was published in 1823 rather than 1824, as stated on the title page, and therefore has date priority over the sections of a paper printed in the *Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences Philadelphia* (3[2]) for which Say claimed authorship priority based on the date of the meeting at which the manuscript was presented. The other case is *Odontopus*, a genus name introduced by Say in the second signature of his Curculionites pamphlet before May 1832, by Silbermann in his *Revue Entomologique* in April 1833 (stated in description) and by Laporte in his *Magasin de Zoologie* around 1832–33 (read on 29 February 1832; provisional date of publication determined by Harris [1942] as 1833 and fixed in Direction 63 (10); ICZN, 1957).

### Authorships

The Curculionites pamphlet (Say, 1831b, 1832b,c) contains 10 new genus group names. Say wrote in a footnote on the first page that some of them were contributed by Schönherr. Those appeared in the paper usually with “Schoenh. in litt.” (in the first signature only with “Sch.”), whereas Say’s own names were marked with “Nob.” or the context made it obvious that he himself was proposing the name. Additionally, “*Ophryastes* Germ.” appeared on page 13 in combination with a brief diagnosis and two species names; Alonso-Zarazaga and

Lyal (1999) considered *Ophryastes* Say, 1831 (actually 1832b), an available name and junior homonym of *Ophryastes* Germar, 1829.

The genus group names contributed by Schönherr to the Curculionites pamphlet were *Pterocolus* (p. 5), *Graphorhinus* (p. 8), *Callopiustus* (p. 9), *Aphrastus* (p. 9), *Agraphus* (p. 13), and *Analcis* (p. 29). Authors who recognized them as being made available in this work generally attributed them to Say. The authorship issue is regulated by ICZN (1999) Article 50.1.1 (or the respective article in previous editions) which stipulates that if some person other than an author of the work is alone responsible both for the name or act and for satisfying the criteria of availability other than actual publication, then that other person is the author of the name or act. Four of the six aforementioned genus group names were made available by indication, i.e., by usage in combination with an available species name (ICZN, 1999, Article 12.2.5). Because Say was responsible for the publication but Schönherr for the names and acts, it is justified to acknowledge Schönherr (in Say, 1831–32) as the author of *Graphorhinus*, *Callopiustus*, *Aphrastus*, and *Analcis*, with the year of publication corrected from 1831 to 1832 for the latter three names (see Prena, 2015, and catalog section below for dates). Of these four, only *Graphorhinus* Schönherr, 1831 (not Schönherr, 1833a; not Schönherr, 1833b), and *Aphrastus* Schönherr, 1832 (not Schönherr, 1833a), are valid. *Callopiustus* Schönherr, 1832, is a subjective junior synonym of *Compsus* Schönherr, 1823; and *Analcis* Schönherr, 1832 (not Wagler, 1830; not Schönherr, 1833a), is a subjective junior synonym of *Tyloderma* Say, 1832b. Say remains the author of the other two Schönherr genera because it was he who published the first descriptions: *Pterocolus* Say, 1831b (not Schönherr, 1833), and *Agraphus* Say, 1832b (not Schönherr, 1833; not Schönherr, 1834b). The four genuine genus group names proposed by Say himself in this work

are (with the corrected dates) *Thecesternus* Say, 1831b; *Aracanthus* Say, 1832b; *Odontopus* Say, 1832b; and *Tyloderma* Say, 1832b.

### Conventions and General Arrangement

Because of the nomenclatural significance of dates, the arrangement of the catalog section follows the temporal sequence of publishing rather than the formal titles of Say's papers. At the beginning of each of these sections, I provide the date of publication along with supporting evidence. A summary of the original names, with their present generic assignment and nomenclatural status, is given in Table 1. All information from Say's correspondence is cited verbatim, including crossed-out words and later additions. My interpretation of his symbols is given under Ref. 15; own comments are placed in square brackets. Page numbers are used in citations to refer to specific information in a particular reference.

Numerous authors spelled their names in different ways, often adjusted to the language of the respective publication. I standardize them here to their native spelling, i.e., Gyllenhaal (see Palmblad and Wieselgren, 1839: 313), Schönherr (see Palmblad and Wieselgren, 1847: 177), and Voß (as in cited references and his early publications).

### CONSPECTUS OF THE WEEVILS (CURCULIONOIDEA) DESCRIBED BY SAY

Descriptions of Coleopterous Insects  
Collected in the Late Expedition to the  
Rocky Mountains, Performed by Order of  
Mr. Calhoun, Secretary of War, under the  
Command of Major Long. (Continued.)  
*Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences*  
*Philadelphia*, 3(2)[part]: 298–320.

*Date of Publication.* [31] March 1824  
(Fox, 1913: viii).

*Notes.* In addition to his own expedition material, Say also included in the descriptions specimens of two collecting trips made by Nuttall to the same general region (Nuttall, 1821; Beidleman, 1956) as well as matching specimens from Pennsylvania and from the 1817–18 expedition to the sea shores of Georgia and Florida (Bennett, 2002). The official repository for the material collected by the Long Expedition was Charles Willson Peale's museum in Philadelphia (Ref. 1). Say apparently kept at least some specimens and probably everything received from Nuttall.

#### *Cryptorhynchus oculatus* Say, 1824a: 308

*Modern Name.* *Lechriops oculatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description; interpreted as St. Louis, Missouri, by Sleeper (1963)].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*50 oculatus, n. J.A.N.S.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 260). Schönherr (1838: 650, 1845: 231) was unfamiliar with *C. oculatus*, so I assume that species 50 did not arrive undamaged with the 1830 shipment.

*Extant Types.* In the Harris Collection (MCZ) is one specimen with the type number 26422, apparently from the Say Collection and labeled in Say's hand “Zygops/ oculatus? S.” The question mark indicates that this is a tentative identification, probably made much later than 1824, so the specimen may not be a syntype.

#### *Cryptorhynchus operculatus* Say, 1824a: 308

*Modern Name.* *Cylindrocopturus operculatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Arkansa [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*42 operculatus, n. J.A.N.S.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Say (1824a) surmised in the description whether this is the *Curculio quercus* of Melsheimer (1806: 29). Later, Say (1832b: 21) applied the latter name to another species in the same modern genus. The current taxonomy of *C. operculatus* is that of LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 261).

*Extant Types.* One specimen is in drawer 144 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the labels “C. operculatus/ Say./ Mississippi./ Say.” and “28.”

*Notes.* This is one of the three names that follow “39 retentus n. (Mississippi)” on Say’s retained list (Ref. 15). It is not obvious that they have ditto marks standing for “Mississippi” but Schönherr gives this locality for all three species.

#### *Falciger acephalus* Say, 1824a: 309

*Modern Name.* *Acanthoscelidius acephalus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States, with a variety from Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent specimens to Harris as “104 *Cryptorhynchus acephalus* nob. Jour.” (Refs. 7 and 8) and to Germar as “30 *Falciger acephalus*, nob. X” (Ref. 10). Harris forwarded to Schönherr one specimen with the information “85. *Ceutorhynchus leprosus*, Say, in litt. (Hentz 1024) Harris 1275? N. Car.” (Ref. 24), which later was described as *Coeliodes leprosus* Boheman, 1844.

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of Dietz (1896: 397), who did not realize that Say had more than one taxon in the type series (nominal species and one variety). However, he speculated about the

applicability of the name *Coeliodes leprosus* Boheman, 1844, to his *A. acephalus* var. *tenebrosus* Dietz, 1896, but could not see why Boheman had referred to Say in the description.

*Extant Types.* The Germar Collection has six specimens glued in pairs on small cards. Each card is pinned separately; none has a label. The series includes *A. subulirostris* (Gyllenhaal, 1837) and *A. leprosus* (Boheman, 1844). I was unable to find the syntypes sent to Harris in 1825 (Ref. 7) or any reference to them in Harris’ notebooks. In the Harris Collection (MCZ) are two unidentified specimens (no. 1275) which, according to the notebooks, were identified by Schönherr as *Coeliodes leprosus* [agreeing with *A. acephalus sensu* Dietz (1896)].

*Notes.* A future revision of *Acanthoscelidius* Hustache, 1930, needs to designate a lectotype (or neotype if the specimens in the Germar Collection are rejected as syntypes) so that *A. acephalus* takes priority either over *A. subulirostris* (currently a synonym of *A. curtus* of authors, not Say) or *A. leprosus*. Say’s manuscript name *C. leprosus* (= *Coeliodes leprosus* Boheman, 1844) probably applied to his *Falciger acephalus* “var. a” from Pennsylvania (Say, 1824a).

#### *Falciger 4-spinosus* Say, 1824a: 310

*Modern Name.* *Perigaster cretura* (Herbst, 1797).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “■36 4-spinosa, n. J.A.N.S. the 2 outer spines somet[ime]s wanting” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “36. 4-spinosa Say J.A.N.S. — *Phytobius id*” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Say (1832b) treated *F. quadrispinosus* as a junior synonym of *Ceutorhynchus cretura* (Herbst, 1797). Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1835: 463) refut-

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF THE WEEVILS DESCRIBED IN SAY (1824A–D, 1826, 1831B, 1832B, C), WITH CURRENTLY VALID NAMES, NOMENCLATURE STATUS, AND COMMENTS (EN, EMENDED NAME; LT, LECTOTYPE; NT, NEOTYPE; ST, SYNTYPES).

Paper	Original Name	Current Name	Status <sup>1</sup>	Comment
1824a	<i>Cryptorhynchus oculatus</i>	<i>Lechriops oculatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Cryptorhynchus operculatus</i>	<i>Cylindrocopturus operculatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Falciger acephalus</i>	<i>Acanthoscelidius acephalus</i>	valid	
	<i>Falciger quadrispinosus</i>	<i>Perigaster cretura</i>	syn.	
	<i>Curculio acutus</i>	<i>Brachystylus sayi</i>	hom.	ST not found
	<i>Curculio auricephalus</i>	<i>Compsus auricephalus</i>	valid	
	<i>Rhynchaenus caudatus</i>	<i>Listronotus caudatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Rhynchaenus arnicollis</i>	<i>Magdalis arnicollis</i>	valid	
	<i>Rhynchaenus lineallicollis</i>	<i>Rhyssomatus lineaticollis</i>	valid	EN
	<i>Rhynchaenus constrictus</i>	<i>Smicromyx constrictus</i>	valid	LT, NT set aside
	<i>Rhynchaenus interstitialis</i>	<i>Baris interstitialis</i>	valid	
	<i>Rhynchaenus undulatus</i>	<i>Madarellus undulatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Liparus vittatus</i>	<i>Ophryastes vittatus</i>	valid	LT, NT set aside
	<i>Liparus sulcirostris</i>	<i>Ophryastes sulcirostris</i>	valid	NT contestable
	<i>Liparus imbricatus</i>	<i>Epicaerus imbricatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Liparus tessellatus</i>	<i>Aracanthus tessellatus</i>	valid	LT
	<i>Calandra compressirostra</i>	<i>Sphenophorus cultrirostris</i>	hom.	EN, NT set aside
1824b	<i>Hylesinus aculeatus</i>	<i>Hylesinus aculeatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Scolytus quadrispinosus</i>	<i>Scolytus quadrispinosus</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Scolytus muticus</i>	<i>Scolytus muticus</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Platypus compositus</i>	<i>Euplatypus compositus</i>	valid	ST not found
1824c	<i>Rhynchites rubricollis</i>	<i>Eugnamptus angustatus</i>	syn.	ST not found
1824d	<i>Calandra quinquepunctata</i>	<i>Rhodaenus quinquepunctatus</i>	valid	
1826	<i>Anthribus notatus</i>	<i>Euparius lugubris</i>	syn.	ST not found
	<i>Anthribus capillicornis</i>	<i>Piesocorynus moestus</i>	syn.	ST not found
	<i>Anthribus quadrinotatus</i>	<i>Goniocloens bimaculatus</i>	syn.	ST not found
	<i>Anthribus limbatus</i>	<i>Trigonorhinus limbatus</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Anthribus alternatus</i>	<i>Trigonorhinus alternatus</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Anthribus variegatus</i>	<i>Trigonorhinus sticticus</i>	hom.	
	<i>Anthribus tomentosus</i>	<i>Trigonorhinus tomentosus</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Attelabus pubescens</i>	<i>Himatolabus pubescens</i>	valid	NT
	<i>Attelabus scutellaris</i>	<i>Piazorhinus scutellaris</i>	valid	
	<i>Apion rostrum</i>	<i>Trichapion rostrum</i>	valid	NT contestable
	<i>Brachycerus humeralis</i>	<i>Thecesternus humeralis</i>	valid	
	<i>Bostrichus exesus</i>	<i>Ips calligraphus</i>	syn.	ST not found
	<i>Bostrichus fasciatus</i>	<i>Monarthrum fasciatum</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Bostrichus xylographus</i>	<i>Xyleborus xylographus</i>	valid	NT
	<i>Bostrichus politus</i>	<i>Xyloterinus politus</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Bostrichus pini</i>	<i>Ips pini</i>	valid	
	<i>Hylurgus dentatus</i>	<i>Phloeosinus dentatus</i>	valid	ST not found
1831b	<i>Anthribus cornutus</i>	<i>Toxonotus cornutus</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Anthribus brevicornis</i>	<i>Phaenithon brevicorne</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Rhynchites aeratus</i>	<i>Temnocerus aeratus</i>	valid	LT, NT set aside
	<i>Apion segnipes</i>	<i>Sayapion segnipes</i>	valid	NT
	<i>Thamnophilus barbatus</i>	<i>Magdalis barbatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Thamnophilus pandura</i>	<i>Magdalis pandura</i>	valid	
	<i>Thamnophilus pallidus</i>	<i>Magdalis armicollis</i>	syn.	
1832b	<i>Graphorhinus vadosus</i>	<i>Graphorhinus vadosus</i>	valid	
	<i>Graphorhinus operculatus</i>	<i>Epicaerus operculatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Deraeanthus pallidus</i>	<i>Aracanthus tessellatus</i>	syn.	
	<i>Thylaeites microps</i>	<i>Minyomerus microps</i>	valid	
	<i>Tanymecus laeana</i>	<i>Tanymecus confertus</i>	hom.	
	<i>Tanymecus confusus</i>	<i>Hylobius pales</i>	syn.	LT
	<i>Aphrastus taeniatus</i>	<i>Aphrastus taeniatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Sitona indifferens</i>	<i>Sitona lineellus</i>	syn.	
	<i>Sitona scissifrons</i>	<i>Sitona lineellus</i>	syn.	NT set aside

TABLE 1. CONTINUED.

Paper	Original Name	Current Name	Status <sup>1</sup>	Comment
1832c	<i>Cleonus trivittatus</i>	<i>Scaphomorphus trivittatus</i>	valid	LT, NT set aside
	<i>Hypsonotus alternatus</i>	<i>Trichalophus alternatus</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Listroderes squamiger</i>	<i>Listronotus squamiger</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Listroderes porcellus</i>	<i>Listronotus porcellus</i>	valid	
	<i>Listroderes sparsus</i>	<i>Listronotus sparsus</i>	valid	
	<i>Listroderes lineatulus</i>	<i>Listronotus sparsus</i>	syn.	
	<i>Barynotus rigidus</i>	<i>Phyxelis rigidus</i>	valid	
	<i>Barynotus erinaceus</i>	<i>Panscopus erinaceus</i>	valid	LT
	<i>Barynotus granulatus</i>	<i>Anametis granulata</i>	valid	
	<i>Lepyrus geminatus</i>	<i>Lepyrus palustris</i>	syn.	ST not found
	<i>Phytonomus trivittatus</i>	<i>Hypera trivittata</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Phytonomus comptus</i>	<i>Hypera rumicis</i>	syn.	
	<i>Peritelus chrysorrhæus</i>	<i>Cercopeus chrysorrhæus</i>	valid	EN, NT set aside
	<i>Peritelus bellicus</i>	<i>Agraphus bellicus</i>	valid	
	<i>Lixus marginatus</i>	<i>Lixus</i> sp.	hom.	
	<i>Lixus concavus</i>	<i>Lixus concavus</i>	valid	
	<i>Lixus lateralis</i>	<i>Lixus scrobicollis</i>	hom.	
	<i>Lixus musculus</i>	<i>Lixus musculus</i>	valid	
	<i>Erichinus mucidus</i>	<i>Dorytomus mucidus</i>	valid	
	<i>Anthonomus quadrigibbus</i>	<i>Anthonomus quadrigibbus</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Anthonomus musculus</i>	<i>Anthonomus musculus</i>	valid	
	<i>Anthonomus calceatus</i>	<i>Odontopus calceatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Erodiseus myrmecodes</i>	<i>Myrmex myrmex</i>	syn.	
	<i>Balaninus nasieus</i>	<i>Curculio proboscideus</i>	syn.	LT, NT set aside
	<i>Balaninus rectus</i>	<i>Curculio proboscideus</i>	syn.	
	<i>Balaninus nasutus</i>	<i>Curculio proboscideus</i>	syn.	
	<i>Tylomus lineaticollis palmicollis</i>	<i>Rhyssomatus palmicollis</i>	valid	
	<i>Orchestes ephippiatus</i>	<i>Tachyerges ephippiatus</i>	valid	NT
	<i>Orchestes pallicornis</i>	<i>Orchestes pallicornis</i>	valid	NT contestable
	<i>Baridius trinotatus</i>	<i>Trichobaris trinotata</i>	valid	NT designation invalid
	<i>Baridius undatus</i>	<i>Ouyehobaris undata</i>	valid	
	<i>Baridius striatus</i>	<i>Baris striata</i>	valid	
	<i>Baridius transversus</i>	<i>Baris transversa</i>	valid	NT
	<i>Cryptorhynchus anaglypticus</i>	<i>Conotrachelus anaglypticus</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Cryptorhynchus elegans</i>	<i>Conotrachelus elegans</i>	valid	NT contestable
	<i>Cryptorhynchus foveolatus</i>	<i>Tyloderma foveolatum</i>	valid	
	<i>Cryptorhynchus bisignatus</i>	<i>Eubulus bisignatus</i>	valid	NT
	<i>Cryptorhynchus tubulatus</i>	<i>Idiostethus tubulatus</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Ceutorhynchus triangularis</i>	<i>Rhinoncus triangularis</i>	valid	
	<i>Ceutorhynchus inaequalis</i>	<i>Craponius inaequalis</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Zygops quereus</i>	<i>Cylindrocopturus quereus</i>	valid	
	<i>Centrinus scutellumalbum</i>	<i>Odontocorynus umbellae</i>	syn.	
	<i>Rhyuchophorus praepotens</i>	<i>Scaphomorphus trivittatus</i>	syn.	
	<i>Rhyuchophorus interstitialis</i>	<i>Sphenophorus interstitialis</i>	valid	
	<i>Rhyuchophorus truncatus</i>	<i>Sphenophorus pertinax</i>	syn.	
	<i>Rhyuchophorus cicatricosus</i>	<i>Sphenophorus cariosus</i>	syn.	
	<i>Rhyuchophorus venatus</i>	<i>Sphenophorus venatus</i>	valid	LT, NT set aside
	<i>Rhyuchophorus rectus</i>	<i>Sphenophorus rectus</i>	valid	NT
	<i>Rhyuchophorus immunis</i>	<i>Sphenophorus immunis</i>	valid	LT
	<i>Rhyuchophorus placidus</i>	<i>Sphenophorus placidus</i>	valid	LT
	<i>Rhyuchophorus inaequalis</i>	<i>Sphenophorus inaequalis</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Cossonus corticola</i>	<i>Cossonus corticola</i>	valid	
	<i>Cossonus platalea</i>	<i>Cossonus platalea</i>	valid	
	<i>Dryoplithorus corticalis</i>	<i>Dryoplithorus americanus</i>	hom.	
	<i>Erichinus ephippiatus</i>	<i>Ellescus ephippiatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Erichinus rufous</i>	<i>Dorytomus rufus</i>	valid	EN
	<i>Anthonomus erythropterus</i>	<i>Anthonomus suturalis</i>	syn.	

TABLE 1. CONTINUED.

Paper	Original Name	Current Name	Status <sup>1</sup>	Comment
	<i>Anthonomus signatus</i>	<i>Anthonomus signatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Tychius aratus</i>	<i>Tychius aratus</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Tychius auoenus</i>	<i>Smicronyx auoetus</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Baridius nigrinus</i>	<i>Pseudobaris nigriua</i>	valid	
	<i>Baridius scolopax</i>	<i>Rhoptobaris scolopax</i>	valid	
	<i>Baridius acutipennis</i>	<i>Pseudobaris acutipennis</i>	valid	
	<i>Cryptorhynchus retentus</i>	<i>Conotrachelus retentus</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Cryptorhynchus palmaricollis</i>	<i>Rhyssomatus palmicollis</i>	syn.	
	<i>Cryptorhynchus cribricollis</i>	<i>Pheloconus cribricollis</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Cryptorhynchus obliquus</i>	<i>Cryptorhynchus obliquus</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Cryptorhynchus ferratus</i>	<i>Apteromechus ferratus</i>	valid	
	<i>Bagous mamillatus</i>	<i>Bagous mamillatus</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Bagous simplex</i>	<i>Lissorhoptrus simplex</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Bagous aereus</i>	<i>Tyloderma aereum</i>	valid	NT set aside
	<i>Tylodes clavatus</i>	<i>Acalles clavatus</i>	valid	
	<i>Ceutorhynchus curtus</i>	<i>Auleutes curtus</i>	valid	
	<i>Cleogonus sedentarius</i>	<i>Pseudomus sedentarius</i>	valid	
	<i>Cossonus multiforus</i>	<i>Cossonus multiforus</i>	valid	ST not found
	<i>Rhyncolus latinasus</i>	<i>Cossonus corticola</i>	syn.	LT

<sup>1</sup> syn., synonym; hom., homonym.

ed the synonymy based on the specimen received from Say and transferred the name to *Phytobius* Schönherr, 1833. When Dietz (1896: 479) described the genus *Perigaster* for *C. cretura* and *Coelogaster obscurus* LeConte, 1876, he maintained *F. quadrispinosus* in synonymy with *P. cretura*. Buchanan (1931: 322) formally accepted this synonymy but noted that Gyllenhaal's *F. quadrispinosus* was *Perigaster obscurus* (LeConte, 1876).

*Extant Types.* The specimen sent to Schönherr is in drawer 121 (NHRS). The collecting site on Schönherr's handwritten label, Mississippi, is neither mentioned in the description nor on Say's list. This could mean that the localities got confused [as with *Baridius trinotatus*, the preceding species on the list, possibly because of misinterpreted ditto marks] or that the specimen is not a syntype. Say's comment (Ref. 15) "the 2 outer spines sometimes wanting" suggests that, at least at the time of writing, more than one species was involved. It will be up to the next revising author to stabilize the names *P. cretura* and *P. obscura*.

*Curculio acutus* Say, 1824a: 310

*Modern Name.* *Brachystylus sayi* Alonso-Zarazaga, 1994.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Harris sent Schönherr one unidentified specimen from Alabama (probably item 57 or 58 in Ref. 24), which is mentioned in Schönherr (1845: 434).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of Boheman (in Schönherr, 1845: 434). Harris' personal copy of Say (1831b) has a note that Schönherr identified this species initially as *Brachystylus harrisii* ms (see Scudder, 1899: 400).

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Notes.* Alonso-Zarazaga (1994) proposed *Brachystylus sayi* as a replacement name for *C. acutus* Say, 1824a (not Gmelin, 1790).

*Curculio auricephalus* Say, 1824a: 310

*Modern Name.* *Compsus auricephalus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Mississippi river above Natchez (collected by Say) and probably Missouri (collected by Nuttall) [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “7 auricephalus, n. J.A.N.S. Mississippi opala Olivier?” (Ref. 15); it was cited under *Platyomus auricephalus* in Schönherr (1833b: 645) and again, as *P. auriceps*, in Schönherr (1840b: 183).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of Horn (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 88).

*Extant Types.* The specimen in drawer 177 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) is probably the syntype from near Natchez, Mississippi, collected by Say in November 1820. The second syntype mentioned in the description might be the unlabeled specimen in WMI.

***Rynchaenus* [sic] *caudatus* Say, 1824a: 311**

*Modern Name.* *Listronotus caudatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri, near Engineer Cantonment on the Missouri river [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr two specimens as “■32 caudatus, n. J.A.N.S. — (2) one of them mutilated (Mississippi) [later added:] Schon[herr] has chang[ed] it to rigidus, make it caudatulus S.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 131) and Henderson (1939: 236).

*Extant Types.* Say (1824a) referred to several syntypes. The locality “Mississippi” on the two specimens sent to Schönherr (NHRS, drawer 191) disagrees with the

published type locality so they probably were not syntypes. Henderson (1939) designated a neotype from Douglas Co., Kansas.

***Rynchaenus* [sic] *armicollis* Say, 1824a: 312**

*Modern Name.* *Magdalis armicollis* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Banks of the Missouri (collected by Say) and Country of Missouri (collected by Nuttall) [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent specimens to at least three persons: to Germar as “25 *Rynchaenus armicollis*, nob. X” (Ref. 10), to Winthem as “97 *Rynchaenus armicollis*, nob.” (Ref. 11), and to Schönherr as “33 *armicollis*, n. J.A.N.S.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of Horn (1873: 456) and Blanchard (1887: 86).

*Extant Types.* Say (1824a) referred to several syntypes and one variety. The Germar Collection (MLUH, drawer 9/2/13) has one male and one female syntype with Say’s initials on a green triangular label. The specimen sent to Schönherr (NHRS, drawer 114) lacks specific collecting data but may be a syntype as well.

***Rynchaenus* [sic] *linealicolis* Say, 1824a: 313**

*Modern Name.* *Rhyssomatus lineaticollis* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Arkansa, near Rocky Mountains [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as “26 *Rynchaenus lineolicollis*, nob. X” (Ref. 10).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of Horn (1873: 464).

*Extant Types.* One syntype, with Say’s original label “lineoli-/ collis/ TS./ Ark.” (Prena, 2015, fig. 3), is in the MCZ Say

Collection (Mawdsley, 1993). One of the four specimens in the Germar Collection (MLUH, drawer 9/2/16) is on a short thick pin as used by Say, with a relatively new small red square underneath; this may be the syntype of the 1828 shipment.

*Notes.* The original spelling was “lineallicollis,” from Latin *linealis* (adjective derived from *linea*, meaning: of a line) and *collis*, a frequently used derivation from the Latin noun for neck. Subsequent spellings were lineolicollis (Ref. 10), lineaticollis (first time in Say, 1832b: 16), and lineatocollis (first time in Schönherr, 1845: 9). O’Brien and Wibmer (1982: 126) used in their checklist lineaticollis and considered the original spelling lineallicollis as a lapsus. Although this does not hold etymologically, ICZN Article 33.3.1 sanctions the use of an incorrect subsequent spelling in case it prevails in the literature and has been referred to the publication containing the original spelling. I found in the literature one usage of lineallicollis, three of lineatocollis, and approximately 30 of lineaticollis. Therefore, the subsequent spelling lineaticollis should be preserved and deemed to be the correct original spelling.

*Rynchaenus* [sic] *constrictus* Say, 1824a:  
313

*Modern Name.* *Smicronyx constrictus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*76 constrictus, n. J.A.N.S.” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “76 constrictus Say. — *Balaninus* id<sup>m</sup>.” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* When LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 167) described *Desmoris*, he included therein two species with the comment that each of them matches the descriptions of *R. constrictus*

given by Say (1824a) and Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1835: 286). Anderson (1962: 328) studied representative series of males and females of the group and made type designations. He noted that authors had confused LeConte’s two species with others and, specifically, had misused the name *R. constrictus* for *Smicronyx sordidus* LeConte, 1876.

*Extant Types.* The specimen sent to Schönherr is drawer 119 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the labels “Rhynch. con/ strictus Say/ Missouri. Say,” “116/ 64,” and D. Anderson’s neotype label.

*Notes.* Anderson (1962) did not explain why he designated Say’s specimen in the Schönherr Collection as the neotype for *R. constrictus* and disregarded it as a syntype. The collecting site of the specimen agrees with that given in the description, and Say collected only once along the Missouri river, i.e., during the Rocky Mountain Expedition in 1819. The entry on Say’s list is marked with an asterisk and indicates that the mailed specimen was either the holotype or his last remaining syntype. Because the number of originally included specimens is unknown, I here designate the one received by Schönherr as the lectotype for *R. constrictus* and set aside the neotype designation by Anderson (1962) under Article 75.8.

*Rynchaenus* [sic] *interstitialis* Say, 1824a:  
314

*Modern Name.* *Baris interstitialis* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say, with reference to *Curculio striatus* in Melsheimer (1806: 29).

*Type Locality.* Missouri, Pennsylvania, and Florida [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “■73 interstitialis, n. J.A.N.S.” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “72. interstitialis. Say J.A.N.S. — *Baridius* id<sup>m</sup>.” (Ref. 19). Harris sent Schönherr one specimen, probably as

“67. *Baridius* (Hentz 476) N. Car.” (Ref. 24).

*Interpretations.* The early literature applied the name to three different species that occur at the localities mentioned in the description, i.e., a predominantly eastern species, which eventually may take the name because of prevailing usage; a species ranging from Central America to central North America, which presently is called *B. strenua* (LeConte, 1869) but will be renamed further below; and a southeastern species, with two other available and currently valid names. In his revision of the Californian species of *Baris* Germar, 1817, Gilbert (1964: 83) recognized *B. interstitialis* as a widespread, polymorphic complex of at least three different morphotypes that are not identical with the presumably three species in Say's type series. He apparently assumed that the holotype is from Missouri and housed in ANSP but it is not clear from his discussion on which specimens he had based the identities of *B. interstitialis* and the similarly confused *B. transversa* (Say, 1832b).

*Extant Types.* One syntype from Florida is in drawer 128 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). A fragment of another specimen without collecting data is in the Say Collection (MCZ).

*Notes.* Say (1824a) applied a new name to the *Curculio striatus* in Melsheimer (1806), probably because of the more senior *R. striatus* (Fabricius, 1801). Later Say (1832b: 18) acknowledged that he had misidentified Melsheimer's species, described it with the original specific name in *Baridius*, and gave a brief diagnosis of *B. interstitialis*. Neither this information nor the actions by Gilbert (1964) clarify the identity of *B. interstitialis*. The syntype in the Schönherr Collection is a *Cirsium*-associated species currently known as *B. nitida* (LeConte, 1876) and, in my opinion, not conspecific with *B. interstitialis* from Missouri and Pennsylvania. I could not identify the damaged specimen in the Say Collection (MCZ) morphologically.

*Rynchaenus* [sic] *undulatus* Say, 1824a: 315

*Modern Name.* *Madarellus undulatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Probably from *Curculio undulatus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 30).

*Type Locality.* Arkansa (collected by Nuttall), black variety from Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as “27 *Rynchaenus undulatus*, nob. X” (Ref. 10) and Schönherr one specimen as “34 *undulatus*, n. J.A.N.S.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Although the red pronotum makes at least the bicolored form of this species conspicuous in North America, there has been a long history of confusions with the slightly differently spelled *Baridius undatus* Say, 1832b, from Mexico. For example, the species “*Craptus undulatus*,” once considered for the biological control of *Hyptis suaveolens* in Australia (Julien et al., 2012), is neither *Madarellus undulatus* (Say, 1824) nor *Onychobaris undata* (Say, 1832) but probably *Pseudobaris crenata* (Boheman, 1836). LeConte (1859) instigated these errors by making subtle, uncommented changes in Say's original texts, to which Champion (1909a), Casey (1922), and Hustache (1938) added further inaccuracies (see below under *Baridius undatus* Say, 1832b).

*Extant Types.* One of the two specimens in the Germar Collection (MLUH, drawer 9/2/21) is on a short thick pin and has a green triangular label with Say's initials. One Say specimen is in drawer 126 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) and two others in the Harris Collection (MCZ); none of them have locality data.

*Liparus vittatus* Say, 1824a: 316

*Modern Name.* *Ophryastes vittatus* (Say; not Hatch, 1971).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Arkansa, not uncommon in the arid 400–500 miles east of the Rocky Mountains [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as “N°. 1 *Liparus vittatus* nob. X” (Ref. 10), which is mentioned in Schönherr (1833b: 509).

*Interpretations.* *Liparus vittatus* is the type species for *Ophryastes* Germar, 1829. A few entomologists studied this diverse and taxonomically difficult genus, of which rather little material was available in the old collections. The redescrptions of *O. vittatus* by LeConte (1853: 443) and Horn (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 30) apparently were used in subsequent studies. Kissinger (1970: 50) distinguished *O. vittatus* from the very similar *O. ovipennis* Sharp, 1891, and recognized three color forms.

*Extant Types.* The Germar Collection (MLUH, drawer 9/2/4) has one remounted syntype with Say’s initials on a green triangular label. A second remounted specimen without label may not be from Say. Kissinger (1970) designated a neotype from Marathon, Texas.

*Notes.* The Germar specimen with Say’s initials must be regarded as an authentic syntype because of the short time lag between description and shipment. I here designate this specimen as the lectotype for *Liparus vittatus* Say, 1824, and, under Article 75.8, set aside the neotype of Kissinger (1970).

#### *Liparus sulcirostris* Say, 1824a: 316

*Modern Name.* *Ophryastes sulcirostris* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Arkansa, same districts as the preceding species [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*N°. 1 sulci-

rostris, nob. Journ. Acad. Nat. Sc. Arkansas” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* As with *L. vittatus* (see above), LeConte (1853: 443) and Horn (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 31) influenced later entomologists with their identifications of *L. sulcirostris*. Davis (1947: 529) questioned the distinctness of *O. sulcirostris* and the Mexican *O. porosus* LeConte, 1856. Kissinger (1970: 36) designated a neotype that supported the prevailing taxonomy, thereby intentionally making *O. ligatus* LeConte, 1853, an objective synonym of *O. sulcirostris*.

*Extant Types.* The specimen sent to Schönherr is labeled “C. sulcirostris/ Say./ Am. bor. Say.” and stands in drawer 170 (NHRS).

*Notes.* Schönherr (1833a: 508) based his type species for *Ophryastes* Germar on this specimen but Germar (1829: 358) had fixed already *L. vittatus* Say by indication (monotypy). If the next reviser of the group accepts Schönherr’s specimen as a syntype, the neotype designation by Kissinger (1970) is to be set aside.

#### *Liparus imbricatus* Say, 1824a: 317

*Modern Name.* *Epicaerus imbricatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Arkansa, near Rocky Mountains, and on Missouri [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar one specimen as “3 *Liparus imbricatus* nob. X” (Ref. 10), which is mentioned in Schönherr (1834a: 267).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of Horn (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 20), generally under the assumption that Say had a uniform series of just one common species.

*Extant Types.* One repaired and remounted specimen in the Germar Collection (MLUH, drawer 9/2/1) has a green

triangular label with Say's initials followed by an X.

*Liparus tessellatus* Say, 1824a: 318

*Modern Name.* *Aracanthus tessellatus* (Say), **new combination**.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri, on the banks of the Mississippi and the lower part of the Missouri [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar one specimen as "2 *Liparus tessellatus* nob. X" (Ref. 10); Germar confirmed its possession in Ref. 22, as *Strophosoma tessellatus*.

*Interpretations.* All transfers made before LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 437) apparently were based on the study of type material: Say (1832b: 9) transferred the name from *Liparus* Olivier, 1807, to *Thylacites* Germar, 1817 (subgenus *Strophosoma* Billberg, 1820); Germar in litt. (Taschenberg, 1869: 132) to *Strophosoma*; and Gemminger and Harold (1871: 2317) to *Ophryastes* Germar, 1829. Jekel (cited by LeConte and Horn, 1876: 94, in a footnote) misinterpreted the species as a member of Naupactini and subsequent authors transferred the name to *Aramigus* Horn, 1876; *Pantomorus* Schönherr, 1840; *Asynonychus* Crotch, 1867; and again to *Aramigus* (Horn in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 93; Pierce, 1913: 417; Buchanan, 1939: 22; Lanteri et al., 1987: 184; Lanteri and Díaz, 1994: 123).

*Extant Types.* The Germar Collection (MLUH, drawer 9/1/21) has a specimen with Say's initials on a triangular piece of green paper and the label "tessellatus/ Say/ Am. b./ Germ."

*Notes.* Except for a brief visit in November 1826, Say had no access to his collection between December 1825 and October 1827, when it arrived in New Harmony. Because the specimen was sent to Germar shortly afterward and agrees with the description (whereas *Aramigus tessellatus* of authors is a much larger species), it must

be regarded as a syntype. This specimen is fixed here as the lectotype for *Liparus tessellatus* Say. The designation of *L. tessellatus* as the type species for *Aramigus* by Pierce (1913: 416) is based on a misidentification. *Sitona durius* Germar, 1823, is resurrected here as the most senior name for *L. tessellatus* of authors (not Say), as *Aramigus durius* (Germar), and fixed as the type species for *Aramigus* Horn, 1876, under ICZN Article 70.3.1. This action stabilizes the genus name in the current sense used since Pierce (1913). *Aracanthus tessellatus* (Say) is the valid name for *Deracanthus pallidus* Say, 1832b (**new synonym**), but distinct from *Aramigus pallidus* Horn, 1876 (=A. *durius*) [erroneous synonymy by Kuschel in Wibmer and O'Brien (1986: 66) and, again, Lanteri and Díaz (1994: 123)].

*Calandra compressirostra* Say, 1824a: 319

*Modern Name.* *Sphenophorus cultrirostris* Gyllenhaal, 1838; **resurrected name**.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Arkansa, near the Rocky Mountains [stated in description]; on the banks of the Arkansaw river [Say, 1824d, signature I].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen with the information "\*56 compressirostris, n. J.A.N.S. 1823 [on next line:] Calandra comp<sup>s</sup>. Germar 1824" (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Schönherr received from Say *C. compressirostra* under the name "compressirostris." Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1838: 951) redescribed this specimen as *Sphenophorus cultrirostris* because of supposed homonymy with *C. compressirostris* Germar, "1824." Horn (1873: 429) and Vaurie (1951: 98) confirmed the synonymy of *C. compressirostra* Say and *S. cultrirostris* but failed to recognize that they are objective synonyms.

*Extant Types.* Say (1824d) used in the description the term “this singular species.” He probably referred indeed to a single representative of the genus, not to a single individual, even though the asterisk in Ref. 15 suggests that only a singleton was left by 1830. One syntype is in drawer 45 of the Schönherr Collection, with Schönherr’s label “C: compressi= rostris. Say./ Amer. bor. Say.” Vaurie (1951) designated a neotype from Gatesville, Coryell County, Texas.

*Notes.* Say used the spelling “compressirostra” in two publications (Say, 1824a: 319, 474; Say, 1824d, signature H and index) but switched to “compressirostris” in Ref. 15 and Say (1832b: 23). Say’s unexplained change of the name and his claim of nomenclatural priority over *C. compressirostris* Germar (Say, 1832b; Ref. 15) was accepted by subsequent revisers and catalogers (e.g., Horn, 1873: 429; Leng, 1920: 336; Csiki, 1936: 56; Vaurie, 1951: 97; O’Brien and Wibmer, 1982: 211) but both issues need scrutiny. The fascicle containing the description of *C. compressirostra* Say was published in March 1824 (Fox, 1913: viii), not 1823 as claimed by Say (1832b: 23). My study of Germar’s correspondence revealed that *Insectorum species novae* was out by 1 October 1823 at the latest (Ref. 4). These letters also provide ample evidence that a few days later further copies were mailed to Langsdorff, Schönherr, Westermann, Wiedemann, and Winthem (Ref. 2). Among the first recipients was probably Prince Maximilian of Wied, to whom the book was dedicated. However, the associated letter no longer is in possession of the Wied family (G. Lehr, in litt.), and Maximilian did not mention the date of the shipment in his reply (Ref. 3). Therefore, the date of publication was between 10 September 1823 [date of foreword] and 1 October 1823 [earliest known reference to a mailed copy], slightly before the date determined by Bousquet (2016: 211). This gives *C. compressirostris* Germar, 1823

(**resurrected name**), date priority over *C. compressirostra* Say, 1824, and makes *Sphenophorus germari* Horn, 1873, an unnecessary replacement name of *C. compressirostris* Germar. The nomenclatural status of *C. compressirostra* Say and its subsequent spelling, now a junior homonym preoccupied by Germar (1823), need to be clarified as well. The homonymous name was an incorrect subsequent spelling (ICZN Article 33.3) until Vaurie (1951) cited both spellings and selected “compressirostris” as valid. Because there is no indication for an inadvertent misspelling of the original name (ICZN Article 32.5) and the subsequent spelling is a different adjectival derivation of *rostrum* (i.e., third declension) but not a mandatory change of the suffix, Vaurie’s name could be deemed an unjustified emendation (ICZN Article 33.2.3). The originally used first declension was not uncommon in those days and occurs in numerous zoological and botanical names, such as *Arachnothera longirostra* (Latham, 1790); *Loxia curvirostra* Linné, 1758; *Ostrea compressirostra* Say, 1824; *Sagittaria brevirostra* Mackenzie and Bush, 1905; and *Triplos longirostris* (Gourret) F. Gómez, 2013. However, because the incorrect subsequent spelling and the unjustified emendation have been in prevailing use since 1832 and have been attributed to the publication containing the original spelling, I retain “compressirostris” in accordance with ICZN Article 33.2.3.1 and 33.3.1. If nothing else, Say (1832b) himself undeniably was a proponent of this homonymy, although perhaps under somewhat different premises. *Sphenophorus cultri-rostris* Gyllenhaal, 1838, replaces *C. compressirostris* Say, 1824, because the latter name is preoccupied by *C. compressirostris* Germar, 1823 (ICZN Article 23.5). I herewith set aside Vaurie’s (1951) neotype designation for *C. compressirostris* Say in accordance with ICZN Article 75.8. Moreover, the holotype of *C. compressirostris* Germar is, by default, the holotype for *S. germari*

Horn, 1873, while the Texas specimen designated by Vaurie (1951) has no type status.

Descriptions of Coleopterous Insects  
Collected in the Late Expedition to the  
Rocky Mountains, Performed by Order of  
Mr. Calhoun, Secretary of War, under the  
Command of Major Long. (Continued.)  
*Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences  
Philadelphia*, 3(2)[part]: 321–331.

*Date of Publication.* 5 April 1824 (Fox, 1913: viii).

*Hylesinus aculeatus* Say, 1824b: 322

*Modern Name.* *Hylesinus aculeatus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as “38 *Hylesinus aculeatus* nob. X” (Ref. 10).

*Interpretations.* LeConte (in Zimmermann, 1868: 148) questioned the distinctness of *H. aculeatus* and *H. pruinus* Eichhoff, 1868, an issue that has remained unresolved (Schwarz, 1895: 607; Wood, 1982: 112). Gemminger and Harold (1872: 2673) considered *H. aculeatus* as the valid name for *Dendrosinus globosus* (Eichhoff, 1868). LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 379/437) returned the name to *Hylesinus* Fabricius, 1801; however, he lumped *H. aculeatus* with another species, later described as *Leperisinus oregonus* Blackman, 1943 (Wood in Hatch, 1971: 407). The current taxonomy of *H. aculeatus* is that of Wood (1982), who assumed that the specimens standing under this name in the LeConte Collection (MCZ) were identified correctly.

*Extant Types.* One syntype came from the Germar Collection via Schaum to the MfN. It has Germar’s green triangular label with Say’s initials, Stein’s printed catalog number 48434, Germar’s handwritten label

“*aculeatus*/ Say/ n. sp.,” and the later identification “*Leperisinus*/ nahe oder/ californicus Sw./ K. E. Schedl.” Two other specimens with the same catalog number also have Germar’s labels, one with “*nebulosus* Dj.,” the other with a green triangle as mentioned above but blank. All three specimens are remounted on blank size 0 pins typical for Germar. According to the catalog, the series originally included a fourth specimen from Baltimore.

*Scolytus 4-spinosus* Say, 1824b: 323

*Modern Name.* *Scolytus quadrispinosus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* A handwritten note by E. F. Melsheimer in the family copy of Melsheimer (1806) proposes that this is *Bostrichus scolytus* [spelled *scolitus*] Fabricius, 1775. Say noted in his own copy that J. F. M[elsheimer] uses the name “juglandis” for *B. scolytus*. Wood (1982: 429) established the name in the currently used sense based on the description. Smith and Cognato (2014: 70) considered the original description as unambiguous with regard to the characteristics of this species.

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Scolytus muticus* Say, 1824b: 323

*Modern Name.* *Scolytus muticus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* Wood (1982: 426) identified the species based on the original description. Likewise, Smith and Cognato (2014: 66) considered the original description as unambiguous with regard to the characteristics of this species.

*Extant Types*. None known.

*Platypus compositus* Say, 1824b: 324

*Modern Name*. *Euplatypus compositus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name*. Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality*. Missouri [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens*. None known.

*Interpretations*. Erichson (1836: 65) considered *P. compositus* as the female of *P. parallelus* (Fabricius, 1801). Chapuis (1865: 163) resurrected *P. compositus* and reported it from Mexico and Brazil. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 344) speculated that three of the North American species described by Chapuis (1865) as well as *P. parallelus* in Chapuis' sense are *P. compositus*; however, he considered *P. parallelus* (Fabricius) a nomen dubium. Wood and Bright (1992: 1126) corroborated these and four other synonyms of *P. compositus*. Kirkendall (2017: 110, personal communication) noted that the Neotropical records of *Euplatypus compositus* are misidentifications and usually apply to *E. segnipes* (Chapuis, 1865).

*Extant Types*. None known.

Class Insecta [pp. 268–378]. In W. H. Keating, *Narrative of an Expedition to the Source of St. Peter's River etc.* Vol. 2. Philadelphia: Carey and Lea.

*Date of Publication*. 29 November 1824 (stated on page [iv]). There is also an 1825 edition issued by Whittaker, London.

*Rhynchites rubricollis* Say, 1824c: 288

*Modern Name*. *Eugnamptus angustatus* (Herbst, 1797).

*Origin of Specific Name*. *Rhynchites rubricollis*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 32).

*Type Locality*. Pennsylvania and on Red River of Lake Winnepeek [=Winnipeg; stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens*. Say sent specimens to Germar as “10 *Rynchites angustatus*, Herbst [on next line:] *Rhinom. collaris*? Fabr.” (Ref. 10), to Winthem as “93 *Rynchites quercus*, nob.” (Ref. 11), and to Schönherr as “(679) 19 *quercus*, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations*. Say substituted in Ref. 15 the name *R. rubricollis* with *R. quercus* [Knoch; in Melsheimer, 1806: 31]. Say (1831b: 5) synonymized *R. rubricollis* with *R. collaris* (Fabricius, 1801) and *R. angustatus* (Herbst, 1797), probably based on information received from Schönherr (Ref. 18). Schönherr (1839: 341) listed the specimen received from Say as *R. quercus* under *Eugnamptus collaris* var.  $\gamma$ . LeConte (1859: 263) gave *Eugnamptus angustatus* (Herbst) priority over *E. collaris* (Fabricius).

*Extant Types*. None known. The specimens sent to Germar and Schönherr have no collecting data and were distributed under different names in any case. If the syntype from Lake Winnipeg was given to Peale (Ref. 1), it may be considered lost.

*American Entomology, or Descriptions of the Insects of North America; Illustrated by Coloured Figures from Original Drawings Executed from Nature*. Vol. 1. Philadelphia: Mitchell.

*Date of Publication*. [30] November 1824 (*Canadian Magazine and Literary Repository* 17, p. 464; also mentioned on 1 December 1824 in Ref. 6).

*Calandra quinque-punctata* [sic] Say, 1824d, [signature H, unnumbered page], plate 9

*Modern Name*. *Rhodobaenus quinque-punctatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name*. Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality*. Southern sea islands of Georgia and East Florida [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr probably two specimens as “58 5-punctata, n. Florida” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Vaurie (1981: 172) recognized *R. quinquepunctatus* based on Say’s original description and illustration, the taxa actually occurring at the type localities, and a novel character on the aedeagus discovered by Kuschel. The latter character was used to distinguish similarly colored species, with which it had been partially confounded in previous studies.

*Extant Types.* Two putative syntypes are in drawer 44 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) under *Calandra variabilis* var.  $\delta$ , with Schönherr’s label “C. 5-punctata./ Say./ Florida. Say.” One of them lacks the head and prothorax.

Descriptions of New Species of Coleopterous Insects Inhabiting the United States. *Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences Philadelphia* 5(2): 237–284.

*Date of Publication.* [30] November 1826 (Fox, 1913: viii).

*Notes.* The paper is a compilation of descriptions Say had prepared during the preceding 10 years as an aid for his identifications. It contains many of Knoch’s and Melsheimer’s unavailable names and some descriptions were based on specimens owned by his correspondents. The manuscript was presented to the Academy on 18 January 1825 and then published in three parts. The first came out almost immediately after Say’s departure to New Harmony in late November 1825. It was still in press on 21 November 1825 when Say referred to it in a letter to Harris (Ref. 9). The printing of the other two parts corresponds closely to Say’s visit to the Academy in November 1826. Many but not all type specimens were shipped with his insect collection from Philadelphia to New Harmony and arrived with considerable damage in middle October 1827. In early January 1828, when passing through New Orleans on the way

to Mexico, Say mailed numerous of the salvaged types to Germar and Winthem (Refs. 10 and 11).

*Anthribus notatus* Say, 1826: 248

*Modern Name.* *Euparius lugubris* (Olivier, 1795).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Anthribus notatus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 32).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of paper]; not common.

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* The name has been considered a junior subjective synonym of *Macrocephalus lugubris* Olivier since Melsheimer (1853: 99).

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Anthribus capillicornis* Say, 1826: 249

*Modern Name.* *Piesocorynus moestus* (J. E. LeConte, 1824).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Anthribus capillicornis*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 32).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of paper].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* Melsheimer (1853: 99) treated *A. capillicornis* as a synonym of *A. moestus* J. E. LeConte in the genus *Stenocerus* Schönherr, 1826. In his annotated compilation of Say’s publications, LeConte (1859: 313) interpreted the name as a synonym of *Araecerus coffeae* (Fabricius, 1775). Gemminger and Harold (1872: 2749) considered all three names as synonyms of *A. fasciculatus* (De Geer, 1775) [under *Araecerus* Schönherr, 1839]. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 407) recognized *A. moestus* as a species of *Piezocorynus* Schönherr, 1839 [= *Piesocorynus* Dejean, 1834], but maintained *A. capillicornis* as a synonym of *A. fasciculatus*. Wolfrum (1929: 65) recorded *A. capillicornis* again as a synonym of *A. moestus*, now under *Piezocorynus*.

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Anthribus 4-notatus* Say, 1826: 249

*Modern Name.* *Goniocloeus bimaculatus* (Olivier, 1795).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Anthribus quadrinotatus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 32).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of paper].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* The name has been considered a junior subjective synonym of *Macrocephalus bimaculatus* Olivier, 1795 since LeConte (1859: 314). The Melsheimer family copy of Melsheimer (1806) has a handwritten note, "partim Say J. 5 249.2," possibly alluding to the unnamed variety in Say's type series.

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Anthribus limbatus* Say, 1826: 250

*Modern Name.* *Trigonorhinus limbatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Anthribus limbatus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 32).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of paper].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* The name seems to be used at least partially in the sense of LeConte and Horn (1876: 406). Valentine (1998: 280) reported about two distinct, geographically variable, originally allopatric species of the *T. limbatus* complex that hybridize at places where they occur together. He treated the predominantly eastern population as *T. limbatus* and the western as *T. griseus* (LeConte, 1876). His supposed hybrid population showed considerable phenotypic variation, which he believed to be manifested genetically. Based on these results, Valentine (1998) synonymized with *T. limbatus* seven nominal taxa described by LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876), Jordan (1907), and Dethlefsen (1954).

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Anthribus alternatus* Say, 1826: 250

*Modern Name.* *Trigonorhinus alternatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of paper].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of LeConte and Horn (1876: 405). The Melsheimer family copy of Melsheimer (1806) contains a handwritten note that this is *Anthribus varius* [= *Anthribus nebulosus* Forster, 1770].

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Anthribus variegatus* Say, 1826: 251

*Modern Name.* *Trigonorhinus sticticus* (Boheman, 1833).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Anthribus variegatus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 32).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of paper]; not uncommon on heads of wheat.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent specimens to Germar as "35 *Anthribus variegatus*, nob." (Ref. 10) and to Winthem as "89 *Anthribus variegatus*, nob." (Ref. 11).

*Interpretations.* *Anthribus variegatus* was recognized as a senior synonym of *Brachytarsus obsoletus* Fåhraeus, 1839, by LeConte (1859: 315) and of *B. sticticus* Boheman, 1833, by Germar (in Taschenberg, 1869: 246). Bovie (1906: 315) used *B. sticticus* as valid because Say's more senior name is a primary homonym of *A. variegatus* Geoffroy, 1785.

*Extant Types.* The Germar Collection (MLUH, drawer 9/2/4) has three unlabeled, possibly remounted specimens that may include syntypes.

*Anthribus tomentosus* Say, 1826: 248

*Modern Name.* *Trigonorhinus tomentosus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Anthribus tomentosus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 32).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of paper]; not common.

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* The name is being used for a variable and abundant North and Central American species (Valentine, 1998: 281). Initially, it was applied in a more restricted sense to North American specimens with predominantly brownish vestiture (LeConte and Horn, 1876: 406; Valentine in Hatch, 1971: 246; Bright, 1993: 43). Valentine (1998) favored a broader concept because of geographic and seasonal variation that involves clines from North to South, East to West, and summer to autumn.

*Extant Types.* None known.

#### *Attelabus pubescens* Say, 1826: 252

*Modern Name.* *Himatolabus pubescens* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Attelabus pubescens*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 31).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of paper]; not uncommon, on *Corylus americana*.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “16 (45) badius, n. — Fabr.?” which Schönherr (1833a: 203) identified as *A. rhois* Knoch.

*Interpretations.* Say (1826) used in the description Melsheimer’s name *A. pubescens* and Boheman (1829: 121) used Knoch’s name *A. rhois*. Say (1831b: 4) synonymized them under *A. pubescens*. The priority of names was reversed by Schönherr (1839: 307) and reestablished by Buchanan in Voß (1929: 209), who transferred them to *Himatolabus* Jekel, 1860. Hamilton (1983: 20) designated a neotype for *A. pubescens* in the sense of Say (1831b).

*Extant Types.* None known. Hamilton (1983) designated a neotype from Hocking Co., Ohio.

*Notes.* Say annotated in his personal copy of Melsheimer (1806) under *A. pubescens* that this name, *A. nitidus* of Sturm, *A. analis* of Sturm, and *A. analis* Illiger all refer to one and the same species. The specimen sent to Germar under the name *A. analis* (Ref. 10) was acknowledged by the recipient as *A. pubescens* (Ref. 22). The specimen sent to Schönherr under the manuscript name *A. badius* (Ref. 15) is mentioned in Schönherr (1833a: 203) under *A. rhois*. I was unable to find either of these specimens in MLUH and NHRS. The confusion of names suggests that the 1827 transfer of the collection to New Harmony left Say’s attelabids in such a disarray that he mixed up names.

#### *Attelabus scutellaris* Say, 1826: 252

*Modern Name.* *Piazorhinus scutellaris* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of work]; collected on flowers of *Kalmia latifolia* in July.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as “9 *Attelabus scutellaris*, nob. X” (Ref. 10) and one to Schönherr as “15 (G<sup>s</sup>. Momonus) *scutellaris*, n. J.A.N.S.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The description is diagnostic. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 210) and Champion (1903: 228) each provided redescriptions and keys.

*Extant Types.* The Germar Collection (MLUH, drawer 9/2/14) has four unlabeled, possibly remounted specimens, some of which may be syntypes of Say’s 1828 shipment. Drawer 121 of the Schönherr Collection (NIIRS) contains one putative syntype with Schönherr’s label, “*Momonus/scutellaris*/ Say./ Amer. bor. Say.”

*Notes.* Schönherr (1833a: 20) used *A. scutellaris* (also listed as *Momonus* id. [= *scutellaris*] Say) in a synoptic table as the type species for his new genus *Acama-*

*tus*. Although Say had described *Momonus* in a manuscript submitted to the Journal of the ANSP, the paper was never published. Alonso-Zarazaga and Lyal (1999: 81) considered *Momonus* as unavailable (proposed as a synonym) and expressed their intention to apply for a ruling that would preserve *Piazorhinus* Schönherr, 1835, over its unused senior synonym *Acamatus* Schönherr, 1833. A few days later, ICZN (1999) Article 23.9 made this application unnecessary; prevailing usage must be maintained when (i) the senior name has not been used as a valid name after 1899 and (ii) the junior name has been used for a particular taxon, as its presumed valid name, in at least 25 works by at least 10 authors during the immediately preceding 50 years and encompassing a span of not less than 10 years. The first provision is met to my best knowledge and the following citations fulfill the second: Weidner (1979: 401), O'Brien and Wibmer (1982: 116), Wibmer and O'Brien (1986: 210), Borrer et al. (1989: 468), Anderson (1993: 207), Lyal and King (1996: 771), Poole and Gentili (1996: 229), Peck and Thomas (1998: 153), Wolda et al. (1998: 70), Alonso-Zarazaga and Lyal (1999: 81), Anderson (2002: 792), Morrone et al. (2002: 154), Ødegaard (2003: 235), Bouchard et al. (2005: 570), Jones et al. (2005: 429), Flinte et al. (2006: 515), Majka et al. (2007: 417), Bright and Bouchard (2008: 16), Ciegler (2010: 232), Majka (2010: 40), Bouchard et al. (2011: 583), Webster et al. (2012: 355), Caldara et al. (2014: 604), Evans (2014: 475), and Skvarla et al. (2015: 23). Therefore, under ICZN Article 23.9.2, *Piazorhinus* Schönherr, 1835, is a nomen protectum and *Acamatus* Schönherr, 1833 (not Emery, 1894), a nomen oblitum.

#### *Apion rostrum* Say, 1826: 253

*Modern Name.* *Trichapion rostrum* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Rhynchites rostrum*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 32).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of work]. Abundant on leaves of *Robinia pseudacacia* and in seeds of *Baptisia tinctoria*.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Harris sent Say at least one voucher of his species 98 that "is to be found in all stages within the legumes of *Baptisia* (*Sophora* L.) *tinctoria*, in the months of Aug't and Sept'r" (Ref. 5), which Say returned as "98 *Apion puncticolle* nob. Mss" (Ref. 7). However, he substituted "Mss" with "Lyc" [=Lyceum of Natural History] in Ref. 8. Say sent specimens to Germar as "13 *Apion nigrum*? Herbst [on next line:] rostrum, nob." (Ref. 8) and to Winthem as "94 *Apion nigrum*, Herbst" (Ref. 11); Germar confirmed the possession of specimens in Ref. 22, and Taschenberg (1869: 189) recorded five specimens. Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "57 rostrum, n." (Ref. 15) which was described subsequently as *Apion sayi* Gyllenhaal, 1833. Faldermann sent Schönherr one specimen under the name *Apion rostrum* which was described subsequently as *Apion scrobicolle* Gyllenhaal, 1839. Harris sent Schönherr two specimens as "49. *Apion rostrum*? Say (Hentz 1257) (Harris 259?) N. Car." and "50 bis. *Apion rostrum*, Say. (Harris 259) Mass." (Ref. 24).

*Interpretations.* Say initially described a black apionid as *Apion puncticolle* (Refs. 7 and 8), but the Lyceum of Natural History of New York did not publish the manuscript. The description appeared eventually with Melsheimer's (1806) name, *Apion rostrum*, in the journal of ANSP. The type series consisted of specimens found by J. F. Melsheimer on the leaves of *Robinia pseudoacacia* [in August 1824, according to a handwritten note in Say's personal copy of Melsheimer (1806)] and specimens found by Harris and Say himself in the pods of *Baptisia tinctoria*. Say suspected in his correspondence with Germar (Ref. 10) and again in Say (1831b: 6) that his *A. rostrum* may be *A. nigrum* (Herbst, 1797). When Schönherr received two distinct

species under the name *A. rostrum* from Say and Faldermann, they were described as *A. sayi* Gyllenhaal, 1833, and *A. scrobicollae* Gyllenhaal, 1839, respectively. Schönherr (1839: 376) recognized that *A. rostrum* Say, 1826, is an available name for *A. sayi* and synonymized the two. Harris (1841: 59) applied the name *A. sayi*, with *A. rostrum* as a synonym in the footnote, to his species bred from *Baptisia* and noted that another, somewhat smaller species develops in the pods of *Robinia*. This classification prevailed until Kissinger (1968: 90) designated a neotype for *A. rostrum* in the sense of Faldermann, thereby making *A. scrobicollae* a junior synonym of *A. rostrum* and resurrecting *A. sayi* as the valid name for Say's *A. rostrum* in the Schönherr Collection. He gave no explanation for this neotype designation but *A. rostrum* in his sense is the seed predator from *Baptisia*.

**Extant Types.** At least four specimens of Harris' material have been preserved, three of them in his personal collection (MCZ) and one in drawer 157 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS); their type status is uncertain, but they may include the specimen(s) taken from *Baptisia* pods and mentioned by Say (1826). Say sent Germar specimens of *A. rostrum* almost exactly 1 year after the publication of the description (Ref. 12); at least one of the six specimens in MLUH drawer 9/2/12 should be a syntype. Kissinger (1968) designated for *A. rostrum* a neotype from Stoney Mills Creek, Pennsylvania. Hentz' North Carolina specimen (item 49 in Ref. 24), labeled by NHRS staff as an allotype and by Kissinger as a paratype of *Apion sayi*, has no type status because the description of *A. sayi* predates Harris' shipment by 3 years.

**Notes.** Alonso-Zarazaga (2011: 78) recognized that *Curculio obscurus* Marsham, 1802, (not Fabricius, 1775) is still another synonym of *A. rostrum* in Kissinger's sense. The two syntypes and the above-mentioned holotype of *A. scrobicollae* Gyllenhaal each

came with the erroneous provenance "Anglia" (Alonso-Zarazaga, 2011). I believe that the true origin of these specimens was New England rather than England. Melsheimer's syntypes from *Robinia pseudoacacia* were a different species, either *A. nigrum* or *A. porcatum* Boheman, 1839; the latter was described from a specimen submitted by Harris. *Apion sayi* is associated with species of *Strophostyles* according to Kissinger (1959: 308).

### *Brachycerus humeralis* Say, 1826: 254

**Modern Name.** *Thecesternus humeralis* (Say).

**Origin of Specific Name.** Proposed by Say.

**Type Locality.** Near the headwaters of the Arkansas river, not uncommon [stated in description].

**Exchange of Specimens.** Say sent Germar at least one specimen as "4 *Brachycerus humeralis* nob. X" (Ref. 8).

**Interpretations.** The name has been applied by most authors to specimens with an extremely projecting humeral protuberance (LeConte, 1856: 18; Lacordaire, 1863: 307, fig. 67.3; Pierce, 1909: 336; McCollum, 2011: 36).

**Extant Types.** I found one syntype in the unsorted remnants of the Say Collection (MCZ) with the label "*Thecesternus/humeralis*, S./ *Lithodus* id. Germ./ & Schönh." (Prena, 2015, fig. 3). The Germar Collection (MLUH, drawer 9/2/2) has one specimen on a short thick pin with a green triangular and a second, formerly colored, square label. This specimen should be regarded as the type of *Lithodus humeralis* Germar, 1834, and as a syntype of *B. humeralis* Say. The type status of three other specimens standing in this series is uncertain. McCollum (2011: 36) designated a neotype in a thesis work that I do not consider as a published work in the sense of ICZN Article 8.1.

***Bostrichus exesus* Say, 1826: 255**

*Modern Name.* *Ips calligraphus* (Germar, 1823).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Bostrichus exesus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 8).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of work], probably Pennsylvania [Wood (1982: 697)].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Winthem at least one specimen as "100 *Bostrichus exesus*, nob." (Ref. 11). In the family copy of Melsheimer (1806), J. F. Melsheimer noted under 144 *Exesus*, "*Tomicus calligraphus* Germ." and "Sent to Say."

*Interpretations.* The species became rather widely known when Harris (1841: 74) described its biology. Melsheimer (1853: 87) and LeConte (in LeConte, 1859: 318; in Zimmermann, 1868: 162; in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 363) treated *B. exesus* as a subjective junior synonym of *B. calligraphus*. Wood (1982: 697) assumed that the types are lost and based the identities of Say's and Germar's species on material housed in North American collections; he did not examine the extant Knoch and Germar material.

*Extant Types.* None known. The specimens sent to Winthem came to E. Lüders in 1848, to the Hamburg Museum in 1855, and were destroyed by fire in 1943 (Weidner, 1976: 87).

***Bostrichus fasciatus* Say, 1826: 255**

*Modern Name.* *Monarthrum fasciatum* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Bostrichus fasciatus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 8).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of work], probably Pennsylvania [Wood (1982: 1235)]; variety A from Sinnipuxent [=Sinepuxent, Maryland; stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 348) considered *B. fascia-*

*tus* as a senior subjective synonym of *Pterocyclon simile* Eichhoff, 1869. Wood (1982) assumed that all syntypes are lost and based the identity of Say's species on specimens standing under this name in the LeConte Collection (MCZ).

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Notes.* In the correspondence of the early North American entomologists (e.g., Ref. 10), *Ips fasciatus* applied to the nitidulid *Glischrochilus fasciatus* (Olivier, 1790).

***Bostrichus xylographus* Say, 1826: 256**

*Modern Name.* *Xyleborus xylographus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Bostrichus xylographus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 8).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of work], presumably eastern USA [Wood 1982: 836].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* The historical literature on *X. xylographus* is confused and applied to more than one species. Schwarz (1895: 609) arrived at the conclusion that the description of *B. xylographus* was a chimera of two descriptions, with the part about the larval work actually applying to a different species, i.e., *B. xanthographus* in Melsheimer (1806: 8). This is corroborated by a handwritten comment in the family copy of Melsheimer (1806) and the treatment of the two names in Melsheimer (1853: 87). Bright (1968: 1313) designated a neotype for *B. xylographus* and considered *X. canadensis* Swaine, 1917; *X. inermis* Eichhoff, 1868; and *X. planicollis* Zimmermann, 1868, as synonyms. The original material of Knoch (who established the name in litteris based on Melsheimer specimens, many of which were studied by Say) apparently was not considered in this action. Wood (1982) resurrected *X. planicollis* from synonymy with *X. xylographus*.

*Extant Types.* Bright (1968) designated a female neotype from North Carolina.

*Bostrichus politus* Say, 1826: 256

*Modern Name.* *Xyloterinus politus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Apate politus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 7).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of work], eastern United States [Wood 1982: 745].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of LeConte (in Zimmermann, 1868: 159). Wood (1982) expressed the view that LeConte and Fitch might have seen type specimens but this is unlikely.

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Bostrichus pini* Say, 1826: 257

*Modern Name.* *Ips pini* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Bostrichus pini*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 8).

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of work], probably Pennsylvania [Wood 1982: 691].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Winthem at least one specimen as “a *Bostrichus pini*, nob.” (Ref. 11).

*Interpretations.* Say noted in his personal copy of Melsheimer (1806) that *Bostrichus pini* is the same as *B. exesus* and, perhaps, *B. typographus*. A handwritten note in the Melsheimer family copy of Melsheimer (1806) states that Say considered the *B. typographus* of Knoch a variety of *B. pini*, whereas the writer [probably F. E. Melsheimer] regarded them as “widely different.” LeConte (in Zimmermann, 1868: 162) noted that Zimmermann confounded *B. pini* with *Tomicus cacographus* LeConte, 1866 [now *Ips grandicollis* (Eichhoff, 1868)], while the true *B. pini* is *Tomicus praeprictus* Eichhoff, 1868. Wood (1982: 691, 838) considered the types of *B. pini* lost and followed LeConte’s interpretation while referring *B. pini sensu* Eichhoff (1868) to *Xyleborus pubescens* Zimmermann, 1868.

*Extant Types.* None known. The specimens sent to Winthem came to E. Lüders in 1848, to the Hamburg Museum in 1855,

and were destroyed by fire in 1943 (Weidner, 1976: 87).

*Notes.* The Harris Collection (MCZ) contains a specimen (no. 1277) that apparently was identified by Say as *B. pini* and by someone else [with initials P.S.S.] as *Xyleborus celsus* Eichhoff, 1868.

*Hylurgus dentatus* Say, 1826: 258

*Modern Name.* *Phloeosinus dentatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [from title of work], presumably Milton, Massachusetts [Wood 1982: 294].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Harris sent Say one specimen with the comment “No. 107 beneath [the bark] of the *Juniperus virginiana*” (Ref. 5). The specimen was mentioned in the description and returned to the owner as “107 *Hylurgus dentatus* nob. Mss.” (Ref. 7).

*Interpretations.* The species and its biology was documented fairly well in Harris (1841: 73). However, LeConte (1859: 320) was in doubt about its identity and later (in Zimmermann, 1868: 170) lumped *H. dentatus* with another more western species, later described as *Phloeosinus punctatus* LeConte, 1876. Wood (1982) interpreted *H. dentatus* based on specimens standing under this name in the LeConte Collection.

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Notes.* I was unable to locate the specimen of Ref. 7 in the collections of Harris, LeConte, and Horn (MCZ) in 2011 and 2013. It should be noted that Ref. 8 (Say’s retained draft of Ref. 7) links the name to a never published manuscript submitted to the Lyceum of Natural History; the entry is followed by what appears to be a count of five specimens. This suggests that the type series included more specimens than just the one mentioned in the description.

Descriptions of New Species of  
Curculionites of North America, with  
Observations on Some of the Species  
Already Known [signature 1, pp. 1–8].

*Date of Publication.* [31] July 1831 (on title page); probably not distributed before May 1832.

*Notes.* Say, who had provided weevils for Schönherr's upcoming *Genera et species curculionidum*, rushed this publication to claim authorship priority for the North American species (Prena, 2015). The resulting pamphlet (which Say himself typeset and printed in New Harmony's School press) combined several data sources over different time scales. Its four signatures were printed at different dates and contained unpublished information from Schönherr's manuscripts. Say already had descriptions for most species (apparently for all in the first three signatures) before he shipped vouchers along with his identifications and manuscript names to Schönherr on 18 March 1830. None of them were assigned to genus. On the accompanying list (Ref. 15), Say requested specimens "to be returned in case there is no other sp. of that genus in the box." The material reached Schönherr with some damage on 1 October 1830 (Ref. 18). The printing of the first signature is tightly connected with Schönherr's first response letter, from 23 April 1831, which contained comments on some of the first 25 species (Ref. 18). Say used these comments, in particular the generic combinations, thereby making available Schönherr's new genera *Pterocolus* and *Graphorhinus*. Several of the newly described species were neither mailed to Schönherr nor did they show up elsewhere. It is possible that these descriptions were drafted in Philadelphia from specimens that already were destroyed by the time of printing. The first eight species contained in the first signature no longer are included in Curculionoidea and therefore are excluded from this inventory.

*Anthribus (Tropideres) cornutus* Say,  
1831b: 4

*Modern Name.* *Toxonotus cornutus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* LeConte (1859: 262) recognized *A. cornutus* as the valid name for *Euparius coronatus* Gyllenhaal, 1833. Schaeffer (1904: 236) provided a key to this and four other North American species; Valentine (1998: 289) distinguished between six species of *Toxonotus* Lacordaire, 1865.

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Anthribus (Phaenithon?) brevicornis* Say,  
1831b: 4

*Modern Name.* *Phaenithon brevicorne* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Mexico [stated in description]; probably vicinity of Mexico City [Barber 1928].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* The name is a nomen dubium (Schönherr, 1839: 267; Jordan, 1906: 360). Its placement in *Phaenithon* Schönherr, 1826, is based on Say's tentative assignment in the description.

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Rhynchites aeratus* Say, 1831b: 5

*Modern Name.* *Temnocerus aeratus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Possibly from *Curculio aeratus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 30).

*Type Locality.* Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "(658) 51 aeratus, n." (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 9) applied the name to a species with a finely punctate head and distinguished it from the equally widespread *Rhynchites cyanellus* LeConte, 1876. Voß (1932: 187) and Hamilton (1971: 995) used the same taxonomy.

*Extant Types.* The only known syntype is in drawer 155 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) with the label “Rh: aeratus. Say/ Amer. bor. Say.” Hamilton (1971) designated a neotype from Milford, Pennsylvania.

*Notes.* I here designate the above-cited specimen in the Schönherr Collection as the lectotype for *R. aeratus* Say and, under Article 75.8, set aside the neotype of Hamilton (1971). The lectotype agrees with the current interpretation of the species.

#### *Apion segnipes* Say, 1831b: 6

*Modern Name.* *Sayapion segnipes* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana; obtained from the seeds of *Astragalus* [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known. Three non-type specimens in the Germar Collection (MLUH), with provenance Carolina, probably came from Hentz.

*Interpretations.* At least since Smith (1884: 59), the name is being used for a species that is said to develop in the seeds of *Tephrosia virginiana* and which Kissinger (1999: 72) used as the type species for *Sayapion* Kissinger, 1999. The originally recorded association with *Astragalus* is unconfirmed.

*Extant Types.* None known. Kissinger (1968: 166) designated a neotype from Fayetteville, North Carolina.

#### *Thamnophilus (Panus) barbitus* Say, 1831b: 6

*Modern Name.* *Magdalis barbitus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio barbitus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 27).

*Type Locality.* Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “(578) 20\* barbitus, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Horn (1873: 454) provided a redescription of *M. barbata* [sic] along with a key to 12 North American species. His interpretation of the name has been used consistently in the subsequent literature.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say’s list) is in drawer 114 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr’s handwritten label “Rh: barbitus./ Amer. bor./ Say.”

*Notes.* When Gemminger and Harold (1871: 2493) placed the name in *Magdalis*, they and most subsequent authors (including myself) were unaware that the specific name is a noun in apposition. Knoch obviously was inspired by the deeply striate elytra of this and the next species when he chose Greek words denoting string instruments.

#### *Thamnophilus pandura* Say, 1831b: 7

*Modern Name.* *Magdalis pandura* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio pandura*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 27).

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as “23 Rynchaenus pandura, nob.” (Ref. 10) and to Schönherr one as “(581) 27\* [■?] pandurus, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Horn (1873: 456) provided a redescription of *M. pandura* along with a key to 12 North American species. His interpretation of the name has been used consistently in the subsequent literature.

*Extant Types.* It is obvious that Say used Knoch’s manuscript name but it is unknown when and with which specimens he prepared the description. The vague habitat data add to this ambiguity. The short lag

time between shipping and printing leave little doubt about the type status of the specimen in the Schönherr Collection (NHRS, drawer 114, labeled “Rh: pandurus/Say./ Amer. bor. Say”). The specimen in the Germar Collection (MLUH, drawer 9/2/13, labeled “pandura Say/ Sr”) was mailed 3.5 years before publication. It may be considered a syntype under the assumption that it was in Say’s possession when he drafted the description.

*Thamnophilus pallidus* Say, 1831b: 7

*Modern Name.* *Magdalis armicollis* (Say, 1824).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* Horn (1873: 457) redescribed *M. pallida* and considered it as a distinct species near *M. armicollis*. Blanchard (1887: 86) recognized *M. pallida* as the female of *M. armicollis*.

*Extant Types.* None known. One unlabeled specimen of unknown type status is at WMI.

*Graphorhinus vadosus* Say, 1831b: 8 [three lines of description in Say (1832b: 9)]

*Modern Name.* *Graphorhinus vadosus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri, collected by Nuttall [stated in description], Arkansas [Ref. 15], St. Louis [label data on Say’s specimen in NHRS].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “67 vadosus, n. Arkansas” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Horn (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 19) redescribed and keyed the species. The name has been applied consistently in his sense.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is among the salvaged Say material in MCZ (Mawdsley, 1993: 167). It has Say’s handwritten label “67/ vadosus/ n.” (Prena, 2015, fig. 3), with the number matching the record in Ref. 15. Another syntype is in drawer 193 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). It bears Schönherr’s handwritten label “E: vadosus. Say/ St: Lui: Am: bor./ Say.”

*Notes.* Three lines of the description with information on size, habitat, and collector appear on page 9, which was printed at a later date than page 8. However, the text on page 8 fulfills all requirements for making the name available (Article 10.1.1). *Graphorhinus vadosus* is the type species of *Graphorhinus* Schönherr, 1831 (in Say, 1831b), by indication (monotypy), not by subsequent designation of authors, because it was the only included species at this date.

Descriptions of New Species of Curculionites of North America, with Observations on Some of the Species Already Known [signatures 2 and 3, pp. 9–24].

*Date of Publication.* Before 5 May 1832 (copy received by Harris; Scudder, 1899: 400).

*Notes.* The second and third signature of the Curculionites pamphlet each have a different layout, but I found no evidence that they were printed separately at different dates. The descriptions contain information that Say received with Schönherr’s second letter (Ref. 19). Say informed Harris on 21 December 1831 (Ref. 20): “I am now occupied with a paper on the Curculio family & have almost determined to published [sic] those which I have already described & have the rest for a supplement, larger than the first paper. In fact I may say that the paper has been prepared a long time & I am now occupied in reviewing it.” Harris received the first three signatures of the pamphlet on 5 May 1832 (Scudder, 1899). On 8 September 1832 Say wrote to

Harris (Ref. 21) that “this pamphlet you have, no doubt received long since; I am now printing a Supplement to it, which shall also be sent to you.”

*Graphorhinus operculatus* Say, 1832b: 9

*Modern Name.* *Epicaerus operculatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Mexico [stated in description and Ref. 15]; probably vicinity of Mexico City (Barber, 1928).

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*112 operculatus, n. Mexico” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Sharp (1891: 129) and Champion (1911: 329) reassessed the species in a major context, i.e., the Biologia Centrali-Americana, based on Schönherr’s type.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say’s list) is in drawer 193 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). It is labeled “Gr: opercu/ latus. Say./ Mexico. Say.”

*Deracanthus (Aracanthus) pallidus* Say, 1832b: 9

*Modern Name.* *Aracanthus tessellatus* (Say, 1824); **new synonym.**

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*124 pallidus, n.” (Ref. 15). He used under number 92 the name again for a different species, later described as *Notiodes limatulus* Gyllenhaal, 1835.

*Interpretations.* *Deracanthus pallidus* was redescribed by Fåhraeus (in Schönherr, 1840a: 822) based on species 124 on Say’s list, the actual type specimen. Melsheimer (1853: 93) confused *D. pallidus* with *Bagous pallidus* Say ms, which was species 92 on the same list and meanwhile described as

*Notiophilus limatulus* Gyllenhaal. Lacordaire (1863: 489) noticed Melsheimer’s error but did not recognize the species. Horn (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 110) recognized the species as a member of Eudiagogini.

*Extant Types.* A damaged specimen with the label “Deracanthus/ (Aracanthus)/ pallidulus Say/ Civitates Foe-/ deratae - Say.” is preserved in drawer 170 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). It consists of metathorax, hind legs, and left elytron, all still attached to each other and glued on a card. The specimen agrees with the description and cannot be confused with *Thamnophilus pallidus* Say, 1831 [= *Magdalis armicollis* (Say, 1824)] or *Bagous pallidus* Say ms [= *Notiodes limatulus* (Gyllenhaal, 1835)].

*Notes.* The asterisk associated with item 124 on Say’s list indicates that the specimen in the Schönherr Collection is the holotype of *D. pallidus* Say. Even though damaged, it still can be identified. *Deracanthus pallidus* is a new junior synonym of *Aracanthus tessellatus* (Say), a name previously misapplied to a species of Naupactini (see above under *Liparus tessellatus*).

*Thylacites microps* Say, 1832b: 9

*Modern Name.* *Minyomereus microps* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description and Ref. 15]. Almost certainly eastern half of present-day Colorado based on travel route (Barber, 1928) and available distribution records (Jansen and Franz, 2015).

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*91 microps, n. ~~Arkansas~~ Missouri” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* LeConte (1859: 268) was the first to recognize that *Thylacites microps* Boheman, 1833, is the same as *T. microps* Say. However, the name is not

treated in LeConte and Horn (1876). Buchanan (in Blackwelder and Blackwelder, 1948: 46) transferred *T. microps* to *Minyomerus* Horn, 1876. Jansen and Franz (2015: 45) recognized *M. microps* as the valid name for *M. innocuus* Horn, 1876.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 171 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). It is also the holotype of *T. microsus*, a junior objective synonym.

#### *Tanymecus lacaena* Say, 1832b: 9

*Modern Name.* *Tanymecus confertus* Gyllenhaal, 1834; **resurrected name**.

*Origin of Specific Name.* With doubt referred to *Curculio lacaena* Herbst, 1797.

*Type Locality.* Not stated in description. Missouri [Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as "5 *Curculio lacaena*, Herbst" (Ref. 10) and Schönherr one as "■106 confertus, n. Missouri" (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Two species of *Tanymecus* Germar, 1817, occur in the eastern United States. Species A has a bulky pronotum with the greatest width in the middle; Species B has a relatively small pronotum (much narrower than the elytra) with the greatest width in the anterior half. The name generally applied to Species A is *T. lacaena* (Herbst, 1797), which was described from the Herschel Collection and is figured on plate C of the original work. The fate of Herschel's specimens is unknown, but I suspect that his North American beetles came from August Gottlieb Oemler, who emigrated from Hettstedt to Savanna, Georgia, around 1791. Say wondered in the description of *T. lacaena* Say, 1832, whether it is the same as the above-mentioned *C. lacaena* Herbst. A little later, Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1834a: 88) described Species B as *Tanymecus confertus* based on a specimen received from Say under that name. Schönherr (1840b: 232, 241) considered *T. lacaena* (Herbst) and *T.*

*lacaena* Say as distinct species and used *T. confertus* as the valid name for the latter (i.e., Species B). However, in the reprinted edition of Say (1832b), LeConte (1859: 269) tentatively matched *T. confertus* with *T. confusus* Say, 1832, and since then *T. lacaena* Say has been considered an invalid name for Species A, i.e., *T. lacaena* (Herbst), and *T. confusus* Say as the valid name for Species B.

*Extant Types.* Two syntypes are preserved in the salvaged Say material at MCZ [one of them reported by Mawdsley (1993), the other found by me in the unsorted part]. Say's handwritten label reads "lacaena?/ Herbst." and has his characteristic checkmark in the lower right corner. The specimen mailed to Germar 3.5 years before the printing of the description is in drawer 9/1/23 of the Germar Collection (MLUH).

*Notes.* *Tanymecus lacaena* Say is invalid because of secondary homonymy with *T. lacaena* (Herbst). The two specimens standing in the Say Collection (MCZ) under *T. lacaena* are *T. confertus*. It is possible that Say used in the shipment to Schönherr the manuscript name *T. confertus* instead of *T. lacaena* but returned to *T. lacaena* in the published description (Say, 1832b). *Tanymecus confertus* is resurrected here from synonymy with *Tanymecus confusus* Say (see notes there).

#### *Tanymecus confusus* Say, 1832b: 9

*Modern Name.* *Hylobius pales* (Herbst, 1797); **new synonym**.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Not stated in description.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "■63 confusus, n. [later added:] *Hylobius*, Sch. *pales* Herbst var. *minor*" (Ref. 15). Harris sent Schönherr one specimen as "60 *Hylobius abietis*? L. (spots ferrugineous) confusus

Say in litt., Harris 101, N. Car.” (Ref. 24; Schönherr, 1842: 300).

*Interpretations.* Say (1832b) noted in the description that *T. confusus* has twice the length of *T. lacaena* Say and lacks thoracic vittae. In his correspondence with Schönherr (Ref. 24), Harris remarked that this species belongs to *Hylobius* Germar, 1817, rather than *Tanymecus*. Melsheimer (1853: 97) listed *T. confusus* under *Tanymecus*, but in the combined Melsheimer Collection two *Hylobius* and one *Pachylobius picivorus* stand under this name (Germar, 1823). LeConte (1859: 269) speculated that *T. confusus* is *T. confertus* Gyllenhaal, and this view became established in the subsequent literature.

*Extant Types.* Two small specimens are in drawer 194 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) under *Hylobius pales* var.  $\beta$ . One came from Say and is a syntype of *T. confusus*; the other came from Harris and might have been taken from the Say Collection [the *H. pales* specimen sent by Say to Schönherr as no. 12 stands under the nominal variety in drawer 194 and is on a similar pin as the *confusus* specimen submitted by Harris].

*Notes.* I was unable to find *T. confusus* in the Schönherr Collection during my visit in 2009. The focus of my search shifted to *Hylobius* once I saw Say’s annotation “*Hylobius* Sch., *pales* Herbst var. minor” in Ref. 15. Additionally, I noticed that Harris had been aware of *T. confusus* being a species of *Hylobius* and that the Melsheimer Collection (MCZ) has *Hylobius* and *Pachylobius* under this name. Furnished with this information, I eventually found Say’s syntype in the Schönherr Collection under *Hylobius pales* var.  $\beta$  during my 2015 visit. Schönherr (1834b: 341) referred to it simply as “Var.  $\beta$ . Duplo minor et ultra” but did not mention *T. confusus* Say. This male specimen, with the label “C: confusus Say/ Amer. bor. Say,” is designated here as the lectotype for *Tanymecus confusus* Say. It is a junior subjective synonym of *Hylobius*

*pales* (Herbst, 1797), a junior secondary homonym of *H. confusus* (Paykull, 1792), and a senior secondary homonym of *H. confusus* Kirby, 1837. All three available *Hylobius confusus* are invalid names. The valid name for *Tanymecus confusus* of authors (not Say) is *T. confertus* Gyllenhaal, 1834.

#### *Aphrastus taeniatus* Say, 1832b: 9

*Modern Name.* *Aphrastus taeniatus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Not stated in description. Indiana [on Schönherr’s label, possibly erroneous].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say identified for Harris a specimen he returned with the note “101 Cureulio taeniatus nob. Mss.” (Refs. 7 and 8). Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “8 taeniatus, n. J.A.N.S.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The name of this distinctive species has been applied consistently in the literature.

*Extant Types.* Say (1832b) referred in the brief description to an unpublished work he had submitted to the Journal of ANSP. This indicates that the description was prepared long before the printing of the Curculionites pamphlet, very likely already before his departure from Philadelphia in November 1825. This would make Harris’ specimen a syntype, but I was unable to find it in the MCZ. The only extant syntype appears to be the one in drawer 95 of the Schönherr Collection. It is labeled “P. taeniatus/ Say./ Indiana, Am:/ bor. Say.”

*Notes.* Horn (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 99) argued that Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1834b) should be considered the author of the name. However, Say’s brief description applies to the species, not the genus.

#### *Sitona indifferens* Say, 1832b: 10

*Modern Name.* *Sitona lineellus* (Bonsdorff, 1785).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description and Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “■95 indifferens, n. Missouri” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr responded, “95. indifferens Say. — *Sitona lineellus* auctor. var.” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Schönherr (Ref. 19) wrote Say that 95 *indifferens* Say ms is a variety of *Sitona lineellus*. Nevertheless, Say went on and published the name, possibly because he was unaware of Bonsdorff (1785). The synonymy was published instantly in Schönherr (1834a: 111), while some authors of subsequent publications maintained the name as a variety of *S. lineellus*. Because type specimens were unknown to them, Smreczyński (1959: 640) and subsequent authors relied on the specimens standing under the various varieties of *S. lineellus* in the Schönherr Collection. Dieckmann (1980: 296) left it open whether the North American records apply to *S. ambiguus* Gyllenhaal, 1834, or indeed to *S. lineellus*, without mention of Say's more senior names in case of a misidentification. Bright (1994: 288), in his revision of the North American species, followed Schönherr's (1834a) synonymy and Smreczyński's (1959) taxonomy and confirmed *S. lineellus* as the species being present in North America.

*Extant Types.* The only known extant syntype is in drawer 180 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) under *Sitones lineellus* var.  $\delta$ . It is labeled “indifferens/ Say./ Missouri. Say.” and agrees with *S. lineellus* in the sense of Smreczyński (1959) and Bright (1994). More syntypes might have existed, because Say crossed out the asterisk on his retained list.

#### *Sitona scissifrons* Say, 1832b: 10

*Modern Name.* *Sitona lineellus* (Bonsdorff, 1785).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description and Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “83 scissifrons, n. Missouri” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “83. scissifrons Say. — *Sitona lineellus* var.  $\eta$ . *Curculio id*<sup>m</sup>. auctor.” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* The history of this name is nearly identical to the one of *S. indifferens* above. In his letter to Say, Schönherr considered 83 *scissifrons* Say ms as a variety of *S. lineellus*. Bright (1994: 291) noted that in the North American literature *S. scissifrons* was the most frequently used name for *S. lineellus*. He was the first to explicitly place *S. scissifrons* in synonymy of *S. lineellus*, although LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 114) used the same arrangement because authoritatively identified specimens were unavailable.

*Extant Types.* The only known extant syntype is in drawer 180 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) under *Sitones lineellus* var.  $\varepsilon$ . It is labeled “C. scissifrons/ Say./ Missouri Am./ bor. Say.” and matches *S. lineellus* in the sense of Smreczyński (1959) and Bright (1994). Tanner (1987: 169) designated a neotype from Rock Port, Missouri.

*Notes.* The neotype of Tanner (1987), which is not traceable according to Bright (1994) and my own research, is set aside here under ICZN Article 75.8.

#### *Cleonus trivittatus* Say, 1832b: 10

*Modern Name.* *Scaphomorphus trivittatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Arkansas [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar one specimen as “17 Lixus trivittatus, nob. X” (Ref. 10; specimen mentioned in

Schönherr, 1834a: 222, and Taschenberg, 1869: 174). Say sent Schönherr one specimen which is recorded in Ref. 15 as “\*59 ~~trivittatus~~, n. Arkansaw? praepotens, n.” [name apparently substituted later and used in Schönherr (1835: 62)].

*Interpretations.* Germar (in Schönherr, 1834a: 222) redescribed the species based on the specimen received from Say. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 148) provided a key to the North American species, and Anderson (1987: 536) designated a neotype from the LeConte Collection in this sense. It should be noted that Say (1832b: 21) inadvertently redescribed *C. trivittatus* as *Rhynchophorus praepotens* without mention of the senior name, which prompted a series of speculations in the literature (see further below under that name).

*Extant Types.* Say mentioned in the description an earlier submission to the Journal of ANSP. This indicates that the description was prepared long before the printing of the Curculionites pamphlet, and very likely before his departure from Philadelphia in November 1825. Therefore, the specimen sent to Germar (MLUH, drawer 9/2/9) should be regarded as one of the two or three syntypes mentioned in the description. A second syntype in drawer 107 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) is labeled “L. praepotens/ Civit: Foeder:/ America bor./ Say.”

*Notes.* I here designate the above-mentioned specimen in the Schönherr Collection as the lectotype for *C. trivittatus* and, under ICZN Article 75.8, set aside the neotype of Anderson (1987). The two specimens represent the same species.

#### *Hypsonotus alternatus* Say, 1832b: 10

*Modern Name.* *Trichalophus alternatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* North West Territory [stated in description and Ref. 15]. The known distribution of this species makes it possible that Say referred to the “North-Western Territory” (NW of Rupert’s Land). Alternatively, he might have collected the specimen along the western edge of the “Territory Northwest of the River Ohio” in 1823, but I am unaware of any collecting records from today’s Minnesota-Dakota border region.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*9 alternata, n. N.W. Terr’.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Say’s holotype was redescribed as *Alophus alternatus* Boheman, 1834, because, at the time of writing, Boheman and Schönherr were unaware of Say’s Curculionites pamphlet. Mannerheim (1843: 290) applied the name to this and a second species, later described as *Trichalophus didymus* LeConte, 1854. Bright and Bouchard (2008: 59) considered *A. seriatus* Mannerheim, 1853, as a junior subjective synonym.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say’s list) is in drawer 191 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr’s handwritten label “G. alternatus/ Say./ Amer. bor./ Say.” Bright and Bouchard (2008) designated a neotype from the LeConte Collection (MCZ).

*Notes.* I consider the above-cited specimen in the Schönherr Collection as the holotype and, under Article 75.8, set aside the neotype of Bright and Bouchard (2008). The holotype agrees with the current interpretation of the species.

#### *Listroderes squamiger* Say, 1832b: 11

*Modern Name.* *Listronotus squamiger* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Arkansa [stated in description and Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “69 squamiger, n. Arkansaw” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of Henderson (1939: 253). This author designated a neotype for *L. squamiger*, recognized *Listroderes inaequalipennis* Boheman, 1842, as a junior subjective synonym, and fixed *L. squamiger* as the type species for *Listronotus* Jekel, 1865.

*Extant Types.* A female syntype is in drawer 191 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr’s handwritten label “C. squamiger/ Say./ Amer. bor. Say.” The neotype designation by Henderson (1939) is set aside here under Article 78.5.

#### *Listroderes porcellus* Say, 1832b: 11

*Modern Name.* *Listronotus porcellus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description]; Missouri [stated in Schönherr (1834a: 284), but no locality given in Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*78 porcellus, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of Dietz (1889: 51).

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say’s list) is in drawer 191 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr’s labels “porcellus./ Say./ Missouri. Say.” and “83/ 55.”

#### *Listroderes sparsus* Say, 1832b: 11

*Modern Name.* *Listronotus sparsus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio sparsus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 31).

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description and Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “84 sparsus, n. Missouri” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* One of Say’s syntypes was redescribed as *Listroderes sparsus* Gyllenhaal, 1834, because, at the time of writing, Gyllenhaal and Schönherr were unaware of Say’s *Curculionites* pamphlet. For the same reason, Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1834a: 281) redescribed one of Say’s syntypes of *Listroderes lineatulus* as *Listroderes squalidus*. Melsheimer (1853: 96) treated *L. squalidus* as a junior synonym of *L. sparsus* Say but listed *L. lineatus*/*L. lineatulus* Say as valid (see under next species below). O’Brien and Wibmer (1982: 4) synonymized four other names with *L. sparsus*. The current usage of the name is that of Dietz (1889: 43) and Stockton (1963: 148).

*Extant Types.* In drawer 191 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) is one syntype with the labels “sparsus./ Say./ Missouri. Say” and “86/ 55.”

#### *Listroderes lineatulus* Say, 1832b: 11

*Modern Name.* *Listronotus sparsus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Modification of *Curculio lineatus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 30).

*Type Locality.* Not stated in description.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “(649) 100 lineatulus, n.” (Ref. 15). He had used Melsheimer’s manuscript name before as “100 *Curculio lineatus* nob. Mss.” in Ref. 7. Harris sent Schönherr one specimen as “56 *Listroderes lineatulus*, S.” (Ref. 24) which is the one mentioned in Schönherr (1842: 195).

*Interpretations.* One of Say’s syntypes of *Listroderes lineatulus* was redescribed as *Listroderes squalidus* Gyllenhaal, 1834, because, at the time of writing, Gyllenhaal and Schönherr were unaware of Say’s *Curculionites* pamphlet. Melsheimer (1853: 96) treated *L. squalidus* as a junior synonym of *L. sparsus* Say but listed its objective senior synonym as a valid species with the names *L. lineatus* and *L. ?line-*

*atulus*. Likewise, LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 136) treated *L. lineatulus* (under *Macrops* Kirby) as a valid name. Dietz (1889: 53) considered *L. lineatulus* and *L. squalidus* as junior synonyms of *L. sparsus* (under *Macrops*).

**Extant Types.** One syntype is in drawer 191 of the Schönherr collection (NHRS) under *L. sparsus* with the labels “sparsus Boh/ (not spercus),” “lineatulus./ Say./ Am. bor. Say.,” and “186/ 36.” I was unable to recognize in the Harris Collection the specimen [and likely syntype] identified by Say in 1825 (Ref. 7). It is unclear if this was the specimen Harris sent to Schönherr.

***Barynotus rigidus* Say, 1832b: 11**

**Modern Name.** *Phyxelis rigidus* (Say).

**Origin of Specific Name.** Proposed by Say.

**Type Locality.** Connecticut [stated in description and Ref. 15].

**Exchange of Specimens.** Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*117 rigidus, n. Connecticut” (Ref. 15).

**Interpretations.** Blatchley (in Blatchley and Leng, 1916: 106 ff.) published the last key, described one new species of *Phyxelis* Schönherr, 1842, and proposed two synonymies. Anderson and Howden (2002: 61) stated that they are aware of two described and two undescribed North American species. Bright and Bouehard (2008: 269) synonymized *P. latirostris* Blatchley, 1916, with *P. rigidus*, so the latter name is applied now to an apparently widespread species with currently four junior synonyms. All nomenclatural action by North American entomologists was made without having seen the types of four of the currently five available species names which are housed in three European museums.

**Extant Types.** The holotype (asterisk on Say’s list) is in drawer 98 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). It bears a small, handwritten [apparently not in Schönherr’s hand] label “Amer. bor.” that also occurs

on *Agraphus bellicus* Say, *Barynotus erinaceus* Say, and *Peritelus chrysorrhoeus* Say.

***Barynotus erinaceus* Say, 1832b: 12**

**Modern Name.** *Panscopus erinaceus* (Say).

**Origin of Specific Name.** Proposed by Say.

**Type Locality.** United States [stated in description].

**Exchange of Specimens.** Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “■119 erinaceus, n. [apparently added later:] (Gnathorus)” (Ref. 15).

**Interpretations.** Spanton and Anderson (2016: 16) designated Schönherr’s specimen as the lectotype, which agrees with the general usage of the name since LeConte and Horn (1876: 42).

**Extant Types.** The only known syntype, now the lectotype, is in drawer 193 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the handwritten label “Am. bor.”

***Barynotus granulatus* Say, 1832b: 12**

**Modern Name.** *Anametis granulata* (Say).

**Origin of Specific Name.** Proposed by Say.

**Type Locality.** Indiana [stated in description].

**Exchange of Specimens.** None known.

**Interpretations.** Pierce (1907: 253) seems to have been the first to include *B. granulatus* in *Anametis* Horn, 1876. Somewhat later, Pierce (1913: 382) synonymized with *A. granulata* the other two available nominal species, i.e., *A. grisea* Horn, 1876, and *A. subfusca* Fall, 1907. Blatchley (in Blatchley and Leng, 1916: 103) speculated that the true *A. granulata* might be *A. setosa* Blatchley, 1916 (now in *Dichoxenus* Horn, 1876), so that in this case, *A. grisea* would become the valid name for *A. granulata* in the sense of Pierce.

**Extant Types.** The only known syntype is in the MCZ Say Collection (Mawdsley, 1993: 167). It has Say’s handwritten label

“granula- / tus, S.” with his characteristic checkmark in the lower right corner.

*Lepyrus geminatus* Say, 1832b: 12

*Modern Name.* *Lepyrus palustris* (Scopoli, 1763).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Louisiana and Missouri [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* The genus is difficult taxonomically because of Holarctic distribution, geographical variation, and problematic access to type material. Several senior species names had been misinterpreted until the end of the 19th century. Hamilton (1896: 122) synonymized the North American *L. geminatus* with the European *L. palustris*, and his view generally has been accepted in the subsequent literature, although subtle morphological differences are acknowledged.

*Extant Types.* None known. I found no evidence in Say's correspondence that the Louisiana type came from or was returned to Barabino, the collector of numerous beetle species described from there at approximately the same time (Say, 1831a, 1832a).

*Phytonomus trivittatus* Say, 1832b: 12

*Modern Name.* *Hypera trivittata* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* North West Territory [stated in description and Ref. 15]. Based on available distribution data, the term may refer either to the Territory northwest of the River Ohio or to the North-Western Territory, a historical region northwest of Rupert's Land.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*10 trivittata, n. N.W. Terr.” (Ref. 15). However, there is no reference to it in Schönherr (1834b, 1842).

*Interpretations.* The name remained doubtful until Titus (1911: 428) used it as a senior synonym for *Phytonomus setigerus* LeConte, 1876.

*Extant Types.* None known. I was unable to find the holotype (asterisk on Say's retained list) in the Schönherr Collection (NHRS).

*Phytonomus comptus* Say, 1832b: 12

*Modern Name.* *Hypera (Eirirnomorphus) rumicis* (Linné, 1758).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*53 comptus, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1834b: 384) described Say's holotype under the same name because, at the time of writing, he and Schönherr were unaware of the Curculionites pamphlet. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 125) interpreted *P. comptus* along with seven other North American species in a key, considered *P. diversus* Gyllenhaal, 1834, as a subjective junior synonym, and noted that *P. comptus* belongs to the subgroup *Eirirnomorphus* Capiomont, 1868. Champion (1902a: 2) considered *P. comptus* a subjective synonym of *Hypera rumicis* (Linné, 1758), which Buchanan (1923: 280) in turn considered a distinct species not found in North America before 1912. Titus (1911: 416), in his revision of the North American species, followed LeConte and Horn (1876) but did not use Capiomont's genus group names. Skuhrovec (2013: 432) maintained *P. comptus* Say and *P. comptus* Gyllenhaal as subjective junior synonyms of *Hypera (Eirirnomorphus) rumicis*.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 196 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's hand-

written label “Ph: comptus/ Say./ Am. bor. Say.”

*Peritelus chrysorrhæus* Say, 1832b: 13

*Modern Name.* *Cercopæus chrysorrhæus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio chrysorrhæus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 30).

*Type Locality.* Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “(654) 6\* chrysorrhæus, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* This is the type species of *Cercopæus* Schönherr, 1842, and, among the 17 currently recognized species (O’Brien et al., 2010), the only one described before 1955. Sleeper (1955: 288) was the first to describe further congeners, thereby attempting to fix the identity of Say’s species. He did not explain his selection of a neotype but it is possible that he used identified specimens of historical collections. O’Brien et al. (2010: 7) relied on the prevailing perception about the species’ identity because the neotype in Sleeper’s private collection was inaccessible.

*Extant Types.* In drawer 98 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) stands a specimen with a small, handwritten label “Amer. bor.” This is the holotype of *P. chrysorrhæus* Say (asterisk on Say’s list) and *P. chrysorrhæus* [sic] Boheman, 1834 (an objective junior synonym). ICZN Article 75.8 applies, and the neotype designated by Sleeper (1955: 288) is set aside.

*Notes.* O’Brien and Wibmer (1982: 52) emended the original spelling of the specific name from “chrysorrhæus” to “chrysorrhæus.” Technically this was an unjustified emendation because incorrect transliterations and improper latinizations are not to be considered inadvertent errors (ICZN 1964, Article 32.a.ii). However, because of prevailing usage [the original spelling has been used only in Say (1832) and LeConte

(1859: 274)] and attribution of the name to the original publication, “chrysorrhæus” is to be maintained in accordance with Article 33.2.3.1 (ICZN 1999).

*Peritelus (Agraphus) bellicus* Say, 1832b: 13

*Modern Name.* *Agraphus bellicus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Florida [stated in description and Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*68 bellatus, n. Florida — [added later:] bellicus, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The species name is being used in the sense of Horn (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 59).

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say’s list) is in drawer 105 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). It has a small, handwritten label “Am. bor.” This specimen is also a syntype of *Agraphus leucophæus* Gyllenhaal, 1834, a former Dejean manuscript name used by Schönherr and Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1834b: 641) because they were unaware of Say’s description. Say (1832b) mentioned the synonymy in the description, evidently based on (unpreserved) information obtained from Schönherr (Ref. 18).

*Lixus marginatus* Say, 1832b: 13

*Modern Name.* To be determined.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Say states in the description that he substituted *Lixus impressus* Say ms with *L. marginatus* because of homonymy with *L. impressus* Sahlberg, 1826.

*Type Locality.* Lower Missouri River and Atlantic States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as “15 Lixus impressus, nob. X” (Ref. 10) and Schönherr one specimen as “64 impressus, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* LeConte and Horn (1876: 431), Csiki (1934: 121), Kingsolver (1972: 153), and O'Brien and Wibmer (1982: 77) considered *L. marginatus* a nomen dubium. Casey (1891: 202) speculated that LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 156) misinterpreted *L. sylvius* Boheman, 1843, and that the misidentified species might be *L. marginatus*. Anderson (1993: 232) mentioned that authors confused *L. punctinatus* LeConte, 1876, with *L. marginatus*, *L. musculus* Say, 1832b, or both.

*Extant Types.* One syntype of *L. marginatus* is in drawer 108 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) under *L. impressus*. Drawer 9/2/10 of the Germar Collection (MLHU) may contain specimens received from Say in 1828 as *L. impressus*, but I was unable to recognize any. Taschenberg (1869: 179) listed "*L. impressus* Germar" in synonymy with *L. concavus* Say, with provenance Illinois and Tennessee.

*Notes.* *Lixus marginatus* Say is preoccupied by *L. marginatus* Beck, 1817. The valid name for Say's species needs to be determined in a revisionary study.

*Lixus concavus* Say, 1832b: 14

*Modern Name.* *Lixus concavus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana [stated in description and Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "111 concavus, n. Indiana — resembles impressus, n." (Ref. 15) and Klug three specimens as "*Lixus concavus*, Say" (Ref. 17). Harris sent Schönherr one specimen as "63 *Lixus* 471 Alabama (907) [later added behind *Lixus*:] concavus, Say" (Ref. 24).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of Casey (1891: 203). He and Kingsolver (1972: 151) synonymized a total of four nominal species with *L. concavus*

but they did not explain how they identified Say's species without type material.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in drawer 107 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) and three more in MfN. The specimens reported by Dejean (1835: 272, 1836: 296) and Taschenberg (1869: 179) show no evidence for being syntypes.

*Lixus lateralis* Say, 1832b: 14

*Modern Name.* *Lixus scrobicollis* Boheman, 1835.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Arkansaw [stated in description and Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "65 lateralis, n. Arkansaw" (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Boheman (in Schönherr, 1835: 84) described *L. lateralis* Say as *L. scrobicollis* without explaining why he suppressed the more senior name. Subsequent authors (e.g., Taschenberg, 1869: 179; LeConte and Horn, 1876: 159; Casey, 1891: 207; Csiki, 1934: 123; Kingsolver, 1972: 153; O'Brien and Wibmer, 1982: 77) either maintained this synonymy or considered *L. lateralis* an unrecognized name.

*Extant Types.* One syntype of *L. lateralis* is preserved in drawer 108 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). A second syntype is in the MCZ Say Collection (Mawdsley, 1993: 168); it has the same number on the label (Prena, 2015, fig. 3), under which the above specimen was sent to Schönherr.

*Notes.* *Lixus lateralis* Say, 1832b, is a junior secondary homonym of *Curculio lateralis* Panzer, 1789; a junior primary homonym of *L. lateralis* Klug, 1829, and *L. lateralis* Stephens, 1831; a senior primary homonym of *L. lateralis* Brisout, 1866; and an objective synonym of *L. scrobicollis* Boheman, 1835. Kingsolver (1972: 152) considered *L. sylvius* Boheman, 1842, a subjective junior synonym of *L. scrobicollis*, the presently valid name for *L. lateralis* Say.

However, Gültekin (2013: 107; personal communication) recognized *L. binotatus* Boheman, 1835, as the valid name for *L. sylvius* and as being distinct from *L. scrobicollis*.

*Lixus musculus* Say, 1832b: 14

*Modern Name.* *Lixus musculus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Louisiana [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* *Lixus musculus* was considered by LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 158) as the valid name for *L. calandroides* Randall, 1838; by Casey (1891: 202) and Champion (1902b: 107) as the valid name for *L. punctinasus* LeConte, 1876; and by Schönherr (1835: 94), Kingsolver (1972: 153), and O'Brien and Wibmer (1982: 77) as a nomen dubium.

*Extant Types.* The only known syntype of *L. musculus* is in the Harris Collection (MCZ). It bears Say's handwritten label "muscu/ -lus/ nob./ Barabi/ -no" with his characteristic checkmark. The four specimens in the Germar Collection (MLUH) listed by Taschenberg (1869: 179) are not syntypes.

*Eirirhinus mucidus* Say, 1832b: 14

*Modern Name.* *Dorytomus mucidus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* None stated in description. Cape Girardeau, Missouri [Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "110 mucidus, n. [added later:] Cape Gerardeau" (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 164). O'Brien (1970: 47) noted in his revision of the North American species that *D. mucidus* in the prevailing

sense is very similar to *D. brevisetosus* Casey, 1892.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in drawer 115 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's handwritten label "Rh. mucidus/ Say./ Am. bor. Say."

*Anthonomus quadrigibbus* Say, 1832b: 15

*Modern Name.* *Anthonomus quadrigibbus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio quadrigibbus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 28).

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description]; Pennsylvania [on Schönherr's syntype].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as "22 Rynchaenus quadrigibbus, nob." (Ref. 10) and Schönherr one specimen as "(595 [error for 598]) 26 quadrigibbus, n." (Ref. 15), upon which Schönherr replied, "26. quadrigibbus. Sayi. — Anthonomus id<sup>m</sup>. nob." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* The species name has been used consistently since the 1860s for a species known as the apple curculio, or four-humped curculio (e.g., Walsh, 1867b: 80; Riley, 1871: 29; LeBaron, 1874: 140).

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in drawer 117 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's handwritten label "quadrigibb./ Pensylvan. Say." Two point-mounted specimens in drawer 9/2/14 of the Germar Collection (MLUH) are not recognizable as syntypes but might have been remounted. ICZN Article 75.8 applies, and the neotype designated by List (1932: 13) is set aside.

*Anthonomus musculus* Say, 1832b: 15

*Modern Name.* *Anthonomus musculus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say for *Curculio varians*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 29).

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “29■ musculus, n.” (Ref. 15), upon which Schönherr replied, “29. musculus. Sayi. — Anthonomus id<sup>m</sup>.” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* The species name is being applied to the cranberry weevil, a pest of blueberries and cranberries in North America. This interpretation rests to a great deal on the identifications of LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 200) and Dietz (1891: 216), with subsequent controversies about the distinctness of *A. sulcifrons* LeConte, 1876 (e.g., Fall, 1913: 48; Blatchley in Blatchley and Leng, 1916: 302; Leng, 1920: 323). In the early literature, the name was used occasionally for the strawberry bud weevil, *Anthonomus signatus* Say, 1832. Riley (1885: 281) was in doubt whether the morphological criteria employed by LeConte sufficed to distinguish two species.

*Extant Types.* Say described more than one specimen, including a dark variety. One syntype is in drawer 117 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's handwritten label “musculus Say./ Pennsylvania. Say.”

*Anthonomus (Odontopus) calceatus* Say, 1832b: 15

*Modern Name.* *Odontopus calceatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “■85 calceatus, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The name has been used consistently in the sense of LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 210) for the only species of *Odontopus* Say, 1832, known to occur in the United States. Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1835: 361) described a syntype

of *A. calceatus* under the name *Prionomerus carbonarius*.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in drawer 118 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) under *Prionomerus carbonarius*. Another likely syntype is preserved in the MCZ Say Collection (Mawdsley, 1993: 168), with the label “Anthonomus/ Odontopus, S./ calceatus, S.” (Prena, 2015, fig. 3).

*Erodiscus myrmecodes* Say, 1832b: 15

*Modern Name.* *Myrmex myrmex* (Herbst, 1797).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio myrmecodes*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 31); with checkmark in Say's copy and note, “Calandra.”

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “(668) \*49 myrmecodes, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1835: 366) treated *Curculio myrmex* Herbst, 1797; *Erodiscus myrmecodes* Say, 1832b; and *Otidocephalus americanus* Chevrolat, 1833, as synonyms of a single species for which he used the name *O. americanus*. Horn (1873: 450) established nomenclatural priority (as *Otidocephalus myrmex*) and provided a key to seven nominal species known to him from the United States (representing six of the presently 35 recognized species placed in three genera). Because of secondary homonymy with *E. myrmecodes* Say, he also proposed *Otidocephalus chevrolatii* as a new name for *O. myrmecodes* Chevrolat, 1833. The latter action was rejected by Casey (1892b: 435).

*Extant Types.* The specimen sent to Schönherr is in drawer 116 (NHRS) under *Otidocephalus americanus*, with the label “Amer: bor.?/ Say.” The Melsheimer Collection (MCZ) houses a specimen that appears to have a label written by Say.

*Notes.* *Curculio myrmecodes* was relatively widely known as a manuscript name in Europe. Say (1832b) and Chevrolat (1833) used it in valid descriptions, each time for a species generally known as *M. myrmex* (Herbst) [judging from Say's and Chevrolat's type specimens in NHRS]. *Otidocephalus myrmecodes* Chevrolat, 1833, and its replacement name *O. chevrolatii* Horn, 1873, are synonyms of *M. myrmex* (Herbst, 1797) (**new synonyms**). A revision is necessary to fix the identity of *M. myrmex* (Herbst) and to determine the valid name for *M. myrmecodes* in the sense of Horn (1873).

*Balaninus nasicus* Say, 1832b: 16

*Modern Name.* *Curculio proboscideus* Fabricius, 1775; **new synonym**.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Pennsylvania [stated in description]; North America and Boston [on labels of Schönherr's syntypes].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr apparently two specimens as "(576) 21\* nasicus, n." (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Say (1832b) used the name for *C. nucum* in the sense of Melsheimer (1806: 27), i.e., for a widespread North American species initially mistaken for the European hazelnut weevil. Harris (1841: 65) applied the name to what he called "the most common of the nut weevils known to me." Horn (1873: 460) synonymized *Balaninus nasutus* Say, 1832b; *B. rostratus* Gyllenhaal, 1835; and *B. sparsus* Gyllenhaal, 1835, with *B. nasicus*, which he distinguished from five other nominal species in a key. Hamilton (1890: 4) provided details about the biology and distribution of *B. nasicus* but, according to Patton (1897: 76), confused it with another species, *B. obtusus* Blanchard, 1884. Champion (1903: 279) used the name for a specimen from Puebla, Mexico. Chittenden (1927: 150) interpreted *B. nasicus* in the

sense of *B. auctus* Casey, 1910. Gibson (1969: 269) applied the name to a widespread eastern species and considered *B. auctus* and *B. ordinatus* Casey, 1910, as junior subjective synonyms.

*Extant Types.* In drawer 118 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) is a specimen with the labels "♂," "Nasicus. Say/ Amer. bor./ Say.," "110/ 64," and "Curculio caseyi (Chttm)/ Det. by Lester P. Gibson." A second specimen is labeled "♀," "N. Am./ Boston/ H. T.," "111/ 64," and "Curculio proboscideus Fab./ Det. by Lester P. Gibson." Gibson (1969: 269) designated a neotype from Grove City, Pennsylvania.

*Notes.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1835: 377) wrote that Say provided a male and a female specimen. This contradicts my interpretation that asterisks on Say's list denote singletons. However, the label on the female from Boston bears a reference to Harris but not to Say. My only explanation is that Say must have included in the shipment one of Harris' specimens, particularly as this identification appears shortly afterward in Harris (1833: 578). I found no indication that Harris mailed specimens to Schönherr before 1836. Because the neotype designation by Gibson (1969) cannot be upheld, I resurrect here *C. auctus* (Casey, 1910) as the valid name for *C. nasicus* of authors (not Say, 1832b), with *B. ordinatus* Casey, 1910, maintained as a junior subjective synonym. Furthermore, I designate the female specimen from Boston as the lectotype for *B. nasicus*, thereby making *B. nasicus* Say, 1832, a junior subjective synonym of *C. proboscideus* Fabricius, 1775 (**new synonym**). This action protects the currently used name *C. monticola* (Casey, 1887) for a Central American species that ranges into the southern United States.

*Balaninus rectus* Say, 1832b: 16

*Modern Name.* *Curculio proboscideus* Fabricius, 1775.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "22\* rectus, n." (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1835: 375) described the holotype of *B. rectus* as *Balaninus rectirostris*. Horn (1873: 459) mistook *B. rectus* and *B. rectirostris* for a species now known as *C. sayi* (Gyllenhaal, 1835) and described the true *B. rectus* as *B. quercus*. Casey (1910: 111) considered *B. rectus* in the sense of Horn (1873) as a misidentification of an undescribed species he named *B. auriger*. Chittenden (1927: 153) treated *B. rectus* as the valid name for seven nominal species group taxa, among them *B. rectirostris* and *B. quercus*. Gibson (1969: 278) eventually synonymized *B. rectus* with *C. proboscideus* Fabricius and *B. auriger* (= *B. rectus* auctt.) with *C. sayi*.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 118 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). Gibson (1969) recognized the specimen as the holotype of *B. rectirostris* Gyllenhaal and implied subjective (rather than objective) synonymy with *B. rectus*. The specimen is labeled "Typus," "rectus Say/ Amer. bor./ Say.," "109/ 64," and "Curculio proboscideus Fab./ Det. by Lester P. Gibson."

### *Balaninus nasutus* Say, 1832b: 16

*Modern Name.* *Curculio proboscideus* Fabricius, 1775.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr two specimen as "24■ nasutus, n. (Arkansaw) & the ♀? from Pennsylv<sup>a</sup>." (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Say (1832b) described the species from Pennsylvania and noted that Schönherr had suggested to him the name "rostratus." Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1835: 374) subsequently described the two specimens received from Say with Schönherr's name, as *Balaninus rostratus* Gyllenhaal, one of them not being from Say's type locality. Melsheimer (1853: 92) and Gemminger and Harold (1871: 2497) gave *B. rostratus* priority over *B. nasutus* without stating the reason [*B. nasutus* Say is a secondary junior homonym of *Curculio nasutus* Fabricius, 1781, and *C. nasutus* Olivier, 1791]. Otherwise, the two names have not been interpreted in the early literature, so there was no confusion with any of their numerous homonyms. Gibson (1969: 278) accepted Say's female specimen from Pennsylvania (NHRS) as the holotype of *B. nasutus* Say and considered *B. nasutus* and *B. rostratus* as junior subjective synonyms of *C. proboscideus* Fabricius.

*Extant Types.* The female holotype of *B. nasutus* from Pennsylvania (Gibson 1969) is in drawer 118 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). This specimen together with the male from Arkansaw next to it are syntypes of *B. rostratus* Gyllenhaal. The female bears the labels "♀," "Typus," "Nasutus. Say/ Amer. bor./ Say.," "106/ 64," and "Curculio proboscideus Fab./ Det. by Lester P. Gibson." The male bears the labels "♂," "Allotypus," "Nasutus. Say," "Amer. bor./ Say.," "107/ 64," and "Curculio caryae (Horn)/ Det. by Lester P. Gibson." The printed type labels were attached routinely by museum staff based on the literature (R. Malaise, in litt., cited by Smreczyński 1959: 644), not by Gibson.

*Notes.* Say noted on his retained list that "nasutus" is from Arkansaw and the female from Pennsylvania. Although not obvious, it seems he crossed out the asterisk. The locality given in the description makes the female specimen in the Schönherr Collection the holotype of *B. nasutus* Say; the Arkansaw specimen may be rejected as a

syntype for formal reasons, but Say obviously considered it conspecific. Because *B. rostratus* is an available name for *B. caryae* Horn, 1873 (ICZN Article 59.2), I here designate the female specimen from Pennsylvania as the lectotype for *B. rostratus*. This makes *B. rostratus* an objective junior synonym of *B. nasutus* Say and a subjective junior synonym of *C. proboscideus* as intended by Gibson (1969). The long-standing usage of *B. caryae* thus remains unaffected.

*Tylomus lineaticollis* var. *palmicollis* Say, 1832b: 16

*Modern Name.* *Rhyssomatus palmicollis* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated on page 27, under *C. palmacollis*].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*94 palmacollis, n. allied to lineolicollis, n. but the punctures of elytra are larger, looks small?” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr responded, “94. palmacollis Sayi — Cryptorhynchus id<sup>m</sup>.” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of Horn (1873: 464), who redescribed the species and published a key.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say’s list) is in drawer 141 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr’s handwritten label “palmacollis/ Say./ Missouri. Say.”

*Notes.* The brief description under *Tylomus lineaticollis* on page 16 has date priority (May 1832) over the description of *Cryptorhynchus palmacollis* [sic] on page 27 in the supplement (December 1832). Horn (1873) referred to both pages and used the more junior spelling “palmacollis.” Leng (1920: 332) referred to page 16 but used the spelling of page 27. However, the two names are not alternative spellings (not

published simultaneously) and inappropriate connecting vowels are not to be considered as inadvertent errors (ICZN Article 32.5.1). Because *R. palmacollis* is not a frequently used name in the sense of Article 23.9, I preserve the senior name with its original spelling. *Rhyssomatus palmicollis* is an objective synonym of *R. palmacollis* (an asterisk on Say’s list indicates that it was a singleton).

*Orchestes ephippiatus* Say, 1832b: 16

*Modern Name.* *Tachyerges ephippiatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* There are two species of *Tachyerges* Schönherr, 1825, with a conspicuous color pattern in North America. Horn (1873: 461) applied the name to the one with reddish legs. This generally was accepted in the subsequent literature although there was at least one confusion with the other species, *T. salicis* (Linné, 1758), by Fall (1901: 196). Anderson (1989: 262) selected a neotype to fix the prevailing usage.

*Extant Types.* None known. Anderson (1989) designated a neotype from the J. L. LeConte Collection (MCZ).

*Orchestes pallicornis* Say, 1832b: 16

*Modern Name.* *Orchestes pallicornis* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* The name *O. pallicornis* had been applied to a number of species until Anderson (1989) revised the North American Ramphini. He was the first to clearly differentiate between two common but widely confused sibling species: one

being associated with Rosaceae, the other with Betulaceae and Ulmaceae. Because Anderson considered the type material of *O. pallicornis* as being lost, he designated a neotype and applied the name to the species associated with Rosaceae, known in the economic literature as apple-flea weevil.

*Extant Types.* One female syntype of *O. pallicornis* is preserved in the Say Collection (MCZ). The specimen has been dissected by me and then remounted on a point, with the empty original card left underneath. Anderson (1989: 238) designated a neotype from Stouffville, Ontario.

*Notes.* Say (1832b) wrote that the species is very abundant and includes an unnamed variety with piceous legs. I located only one syntype but there may be more in WMI or European collections. The MCZ specimen is the species known to be associated with Betulaceae and Ulmaceae, called *O. mixtus* Blatchley, 1916, by Anderson (1989), not the apple-flea weevil. Because of this and a number of recent introductions and nomenclatural changes, the North American Rhamphini require another taxonomic update.

#### *Baridius trinotatus* Say, 1832b: 17

*Modern Name.* *Trichobaris trinotata* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio trinotatus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 28).

*Type Locality.* Indiana and Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as “28 *Rynchaenus trinotatus*, nob. X” (Ref. 10) and Schönherr one specimen as “(601) 35 *trinotatus*, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Barber (1935: 8) designated two [!] neotypes for *B. trinotatus* from the Casey Collection and fixed this species as the type species for *Trichobaris* LeConte. His concept of *B. trinotatus* had been, and still is, in prevailing use.

*Extant Types.* Because it is unknown when Say wrote the description based on which specimens, I do not consider as syntypes the specimens exchanged until the late 1820s, even though the description mentions Pennsylvania as a type locality. One syntype, with original Say label, is preserved in the Say Collection (MCZ), another one is in drawer 129 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). The latter is labeled with the collecting site “Mississippi,” a locality not mentioned in the description or on Say’s retained list (Ref. 15). It is possible that Schönherr misinterpreted the dash behind the name as a ditto mark and erroneously applied the locality of another species from above [see also *Falciger quadrispinosus*]. Barber’s neotype designations are invalid, because he failed to designate a single specimen.

#### *Baridius undatus* Say, 1832b: 17

*Modern Name.* *Onychobaris undata* (Say); **new combination.**

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Mexico [stated in description and Ref. 15]; probably vicinity of Mexico City (Barber, 1928).

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr two specimens as “113 *undatus*, n. Mexico” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “113. *undatus* Say. — *Baridius id*” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* The original series contained a typical form (“over one fifth of an inch”) and a small, shiny variety (“less than three twentieths of an inch”). Boheman (in Schönherr, 1836: 681) included the small variety under *Baridius crenatus*, a former manuscript name proposed by Klug. The typical form was treated two pages later as a good species, with its original name and author. In his annotated compilation of Say’s publications, LeConte (1859) misspelled *B. undatus* as *B. undulatus*, both being available names for two distinct

species on page 17 of the original. Later authors generally meant to refer to *B. undatus* Say, 1832, rather than *Rhynchaeus undulatus* Say, 1824 [= *Madarellus*], when they cited *B. undulatus* [sic] of the *Pseudobaris* LeConte, 1876, complex. Champion (1909a: 440) applied the name *B. undatus* [as *B. undulatus*] to Say's small variety and used it as the senior name for a species of *Pseudobaris* that included also *B. crenatus* Boheman, 1836; *B. depilis* Boheman, 1836; *Pseudobaris costaricensis* Solari and Solari, 1906; and a specimen received under the name *B. subscabrosus* Boheman, 1836. Champion noted that the latter does not agree with Boheman's description and that he cannot offer a generic placement for the specimen standing under *B. undatus* [i.e., Say's typical form]. Casey (1922: 441) designated *Pseudobaris undulatus* [actually the varietal form of *P. undatus*] as the type species for *Craptidia* Casey, 1922, a newly proposed subgenus of *Pseudobaris*. Hustache (1938: 31) erroneously considered *Craptidia* as a subgenus of *Craptus* Casey, 1922 [Casey (1922) had named it in a paragraph on *Craptus* but clearly referred to *Pseudobaris*], thereby accidentally creating the combination *Craptus undulatus* which proliferated in the subsequent literature.

**Extant Types.** One specimen each of the typical and the deviant form are in drawer 128 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the deviant form under *Baridius crenatus*.

**Notes.** The typical form of *B. undatus* is a species of *Onychobaris* LeConte, 1876. It has the right size and fits the contemporary concept of *Baridius*, and there was no other species on Say's list with which it could have been confused. The deviant form, as recognized by Boheman (in Schönherr, 1836) and Champion (1909a), is conspecific with *B. crenatus*. Because Say distinguished between a typical form and a variety, the name has to be applied to Say's typical form. *Pseudobaris crenata* (Boheman, 1836) is

resurrected here from synonymy with *B. undatus*, as the valid name for Say's varietal form. *Baridius depilis* and *B. costaricensis* remain in synonymy with *P. crenata*. *Craptidia* Casey, 1922, is based on a misidentified (and misspelled) type species. The next revising author of any of the approximately 10 involved nominal genera needs to fix the type species under the provisions of ICZN Article 70.3.

#### *Baridius striatus* Say, 1832b: 17

**Modern Name.** *Baris striata* (Say).

**Origin of Specific Name.** *Curculio striatus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 29).

**Type Locality.** United States [stated in description].

**Exchange of Specimens.** None known.

**Interpretations.** The name is being used in the sense of LeConte (1869: 362), who was the first to include *B. striata* in a key.

**Extant Types.** One pinned specimen without locality data is preserved in the MCZ Say Collection (Mawdsley, 1993: 168).

#### *Baridius transversus* Say, 1832b: 18

**Modern Name.** *Baris transversa* (Say).

**Origin of Specific Name.** Proposed by Say.

**Type Locality.** Missouri [stated in description].

**Exchange of Specimens.** None known.

**Interpretations.** Say (1832b) compared *B. transversus* with *R. interstitialis* Say, 1824, in the description. Most subsequent authors considered them to be synonyms, sometimes indirectly by using other subjective synonyms of *R. interstitialis* (e.g., LeConte in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 291), sometimes with reversed priority (e.g., Casey, 1892b: 487). However, Casey (1920: 300) considered *B. transversus* as the valid name for *Baridius strenuus* LeConte, 1869. Gilbert (1964: 80, 83) argued that Casey (1920) confused *B. transversus* with *R. interstitialis* and *B. strenuus* but did not say what he himself understood under *B. transversus*.

*Extant Types.* None known. The four Illinois specimens in the Germar Collection (MLUH) are *B. striata* (Say, 1832) but they have no type status.

*Notes.* Say (1832b) compared *B. transversa* with *B. interstitialis*. Along the section of the Missouri river visited by Say and Nuttall occur three other species in the *R. interstitialis* size range with deep, punctate striae. Only one of them has a prothorax similar to that of *R. interstitialis*, fits Say's description of *B. transversus*, and in fact has been considered as that species by Casey (1920). The other two, i.e., *B. striatus* and *B. umbilicatus* LeConte, 1869, have a less bulky pronotum, which leaves two options: (i) *B. transversus* goes into synonymy with *R. interstitialis* or (ii) the name is applied to the second species with a bulky pronotum. The first option requires a lectotype designation for *R. interstitialis* because the original series included two or even three species (see discussion there). The second option is more appealing than the first, because the present name for the species in question, the common *B. strenuus* LeConte, needs to be changed in any case. I designate here the holotype of *Baridius sulcipennis* Heyden, 1868, as the neotype for *Baridius transversus* Say with the purpose of (i) clarifying its muddled taxonomic status and (ii) suppressing two unaccustomed names. The specimen is curated in the Heyden Collection (SDEI) and bears the labels "10," "Frankfort," "sulcipennis," "type," "Frankfurt/ false, / sed Mexico," "♂," and, in Champion's hand, "B. puncticollis/ Boh." *Baris transversa* (Say) is a new senior objective synonym of *B. sulcipennis* Heyden and *B. sulcipennis* Brisout, 1870, and a new subjective synonym of *B. strenuus* LeConte plus its eight currently included synonyms (see Gilbert, 1964: 80) (**new synonyms**). *Baridius sulcipennis* Heyden, 1868, is a senior primary homonym of *B. sulcipennis* Brisout, 1870.

*Cryptorhynchus anaglypticus* Say, 1832b: 18

*Modern Name.* *Conotrachelus anaglypticus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio anaglypticus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 28).

*Type Locality.* United States; on stem of hickory, larva in fruit [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as "33 Cryptor. anaglypticus, nob." (Ref. 10) and Schönherr one specimen as "(383) [error for 583] 40 anaglypticus, n." (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "40. anaglypticus Say. — Cryptorhynchus id<sup>m</sup>. Germar" (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Say (1832b) apparently referred to two different species in the description: one observed on the stem of hickory, the other developing in the fruit. The name has been used consistently in the sense of LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 234), who provided keys to four species groups and to a total of 24 nominal species. Brooks (1924: 377) studied the biology and introduced the name cambium curculio into the economic literature. Schoof (1942: 123) designated a neotype in the prevailing sense, i.e., for the cambium boring species.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in drawer 142 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the label "Rh: anaglyp/ ticus. Say./ Mississippi/ Say." The type status of the specimens in the Germar Collection is uncertain, because it is unknown whether Say had drafted the description already by 1827. Schoof (1942) designated a neotype from Topeka, Kansas.

*Notes.* This is one of the three names that follow "39 retentus n. (Mississippi)" on Say's retained list (Ref. 15). It is not obvious that they have ditto marks standing for "Mississippi" but Schönherr gives this locality for all three species. The extant syntype/s agree with the prevailing taxonomy so that I set aside Schoof's neotype under Article 75.8.

*Cryptorhynchus elegans* Say, 1832b: 18

*Modern Name.* *Conotrachelus elegans* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio elegans*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 28).

*Type Locality.* New Jersey and Florida, varieties from Missouri; on *Pinus rigida* [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “38 elegans, n.” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “38. elegans Say. — *Cryptorhynchus id.*” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Say (1832b) described the nominal form based on specimens from two states and mentioned two additional varieties obtained in Missouri. Schoof (1942: 83) reviewed the past usage of the name in North America. He concluded that LeConte’s redescription and key (LeConte and Horn, 1876: 228) influenced later entomologists, but without type material, the true identity of *C. elegans* remains speculative. Confusions occurred in the past in particular with *C. aratus* (Germar, 1823); *C. seniculus* LeConte, 1876; and *C. hayesi* Schoof, 1942. Life history data of these species generally were unreliable at that time because of misidentifications. He tried to establish stability by designating a neotype that agrees with LeConte’s identification.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in drawer 142 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) with the label “Rh: elegans/ Say./ Amer. bor. Say.” It is not obvious if it represents the nominal form or any of the two varieties. Schoof (1942: 82) designated a neotype from Jefferson Barracks, Missouri.

*Notes.* Say (1832b) mentioned that he obtained the species in June on *Pinus rigida*, the northern pitch pine. It is possible that he referred here to his 1817 collecting trip with Maclure, Lesueur, and Troost to New Jersey, the only type locality of *C. elegans* where this conifer occurs. Another

possibility is that he misidentified the plant and the weevil was collected in June 1819 along the Missouri river. The neotype designated by Schoof (1942) is either to be set aside under Article 75.8 or to be confirmed under discussion of Say’s originally included varieties, the extant syntype, and his other preserved *Conotrachelus* specimens.

*Cryptorhynchus foveolatus* Say, 1832b: 19

*Modern Name.* *Tyloderma foveolatum* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio foveolatus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 28).

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Germar at least one specimen as “34 *Cryptor. foveolatus*, nob.” (Ref. 10) and Schönherr one specimen as “(599) 43 foveolatus, n. (mutillated)” (Ref. 15). Harris sent Schönherr one unidentified specimen, either as “80. *Cryptorhynchus* [space] (Harris 1544) N. Car.” or under numbers 82–84 (Ref. 24).

*Interpretations.* Wibmer (1981: 18), in his revision of the North American species, concluded that the name had been applied consistently in the literature except for one confusion with *T. pseudofoveolatum* Wibmer, 1981, by Champion (1905a: 527). This can be attributed partially to the commonality of the species understood under this name and partially to Horn (1873: 468), who redescribed the species. However, Harris apparently was unfamiliar with this species when he sent a specimen to Schönherr in 1836 (Ref. 24).

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in drawer 135 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). It lacks the head (noted in Ref. 15 as “mutilated” and in Ref. 19 as “defectus”) and bears Schönherr’s handwritten label “Cr: foveolatus/ Say./ Amer. Bor. Say.” The second specimen in the same drawer is from Harris and has no type status. In the Harris

Collection (MCZ) are two syntypes, which Harris obviously took from the Say Collection, one of them still with Say's original label. The type status of the specimens in drawer 9/2/17 of the Germar Collection (MLUH) is uncertain.

*Cryptorhynchus bisignatus* Say, 1832b: 19

*Modern Name.* *Eubulus bisignatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio bisignatus*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 28).

*Type Locality.* Indiana [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "(607) ■44 bisignatus, n." (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "44. bisignatus Say — *Cryptorhynchus luctuosus* Dej." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Say (1832b) described *C. bisignatus* to obtain date priority over *C. luctuosus* which was about to be described by Boheman (in Schönherr, 1837: 146). Schönherr informed Say about the conflicting names (Ref. 19), but both names were published all the same. Boheman listed, with a question mark, *C. bisignatus* and *Curculio parochus* Herbst, 1797, as possible synonyms of *C. luctuosus*, an opinion almost certainly taken from Dejean (1835: 293). Obviously, *C. bisignatus* and *C. parochus* were unfamiliar to him, and Say's submitted specimen seemed no longer available at that time. What followed was a bewildering confusion of names that involved not only those mentioned above but also *C. misellus* Boheman, 1837; *C. moestus* J. E. LeConte, 1824 (not Boheman, 1844; not Gistel, 1848); *C. obliquefasciatus* Boheman, 1844; and *C. pumilus* Boheman, 1837 (e.g., LeConte and Horn, 1876: 251; Champion, 1905b: 581; Blatchley and Leng, 1916: 509). Anderson (2008b: 293) designated a neotype in the interests of nomenclatural stability and, for the first time, provided robust criteria for the distinction of the North American species of *Eubulus* Kirsch, 1869.

*Extant Types.* The syntype sent to Schönherr apparently was among those specimens that arrived damaged (Ref. 18); other syntypes are unknown but seem to have existed (asterisk erased on Say's list). Anderson (2008b) designated a neotype from the J. L. LeConte Collection (MCZ).

*Notes.* The names for the three North American *Eubulus* need further work. Herbst (1797: 55) gave the length of *C. parochus* as 1.5 lines [3 mm] so it may be a senior synonym of either *C. bisignatus* Say (not Suffrian, 1876) or *C. obliquefasciatus* Boheman, while the much larger *C. moestus* J. E. LeConte or *Rhyssomatus beutenmuelleri* Van Dyke, 1930, may take the name *C. parochus* of authors. The type of *C. obliquefasciatus*, in drawer 135 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), is a North Carolina specimen sent by Harris to Schönherr in December 1836 (Ref. 24; probably collected by Hentz). I was unable to find in the Schönherr Collection the syntype of *C. bisignatus* Say, what corroborates the early loss indicated above. In drawer 136 stood the type of *Cryptorhynchus aspericollis* Rosenschöld, 1837, under Schönherr's manuscript name 161 *C. bisignatus* [which I have moved up by one row to its correct place], but there is no Say specimen. In drawer 135 are two identically pinned syntypes of *C. luctuosus* from Dejean, both being *C. bisignatus* in the sense of Anderson (2008b). The next reviser needs to address the fate of Herschel's and J. E. LeConte's specimens, to consider effects on other names (e.g., *Eubulus moestus* Fiedler, 1939) and to cautiously fix types for *C. parochus* and *C. moestus*. The neotype designation for *C. bisignatus* by Anderson (2008b) stands if Schönherr's syntype cannot be found.

*Cryptorhynchus (Camptorhinus) tubulatus* Say, 1832b: 20

*Modern Name.* *Idiostethus tubulatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* Schönherr (1844: 355) speculated that this is a species of *Centrinus* Schönherr, 1825. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 303) considered the name as a senior synonym of *Baridius ovatus* LeConte, 1869, in the genus *Stethobaris* LeConte, 1876. Casey (1892c: 650) applied the name to another, somewhat similar species in the genus *Idiostethus* Casey, 1892. These two interpretations were confounded with each other in the subsequent literature (Brown, 1966: 858).

*Extant Types.* None known.

*Notes.* I am unaware of any regionally occurring Molytinae (incl. Cryptorhynchinae) in the given size range that would match Say's description even remotely. The description of color, surface texture, eyes, and pronotal collar supports a placement in Baridinae. Casey's (1892c) interpretation of *C. tubulatus* is appealing except that the body size falls notably short of Say's extant *Tyloderma foveolatum* specimens, for which he gave the same measurement. However, the combination of description and regional collecting data suggest that the true *C. tubulatus* was either *Dirabius calvus* (LeConte, 1876) or a male *Dirabius rectirostris* (LeConte, 1876). Their elongate body also would explain Say's initial placement in *Camptorhinus* Schönherr, 1825, a genus without the slightest resemblance to *Stethobaris* or *Idiostethus*.

*Ceutorhynchus triangularis* Say, 1832b: 20

*Modern Name.* *Rhinoncus triangularis* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* Schönherr (1843: 349) speculated that *C. triangularis* might be a species of *Phytobius* Schönherr, 1833. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 284) considered *Rhinoncus pericarpus sensu* Paykull, 1792 (not Linné, 1758), the valid name for *C. triangularis*, and Blatchley (in Blatchley and Leng, 1916: 461) included *R. occidentalis* Dietz, 1896, as another junior synonym. Dietz (1896) and Dalla Torre and Hustache (1930) ignored the name. Thereafter, *C. triangularis* was treated again as a valid name, e.g., in *Rhinoncus* Schönherr, 1825, by Hoebeke and Whitehead (1980: 560), in *Campylirhynchus* Dejean, 1821, by O'Brien and Wibmer (1982: 175), in *Pelenomus* Thomson, 1859, by Salsbury (2000: 254), and again in *Rhinoncus* by Colonnelli (2004: 13), with *R. occidentalis* as a synonym.

*Extant Types.* The Say Collection (MCZ) has one damaged syntype (without head and prothorax) labeled "Ceutorhynchus/ triangularis, S." The specimen was recorded by Mawdsley (1993: 168).

*Ceutorhynchus inaequalis* Say, 1832b: 20

*Modern Name.* *Craponius inaequalis* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana; many specimens on a newly constructed fence [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* Schönherr (1843: 349) speculated that the description may apply to a species of *Phytobius* Schönherr, 1833. Walsh (1868: 13), after consultation with J. L. LeConte, applied the name to a species that has become known as the grape curculio and placed it in *Coeliodes* Schönherr, 1837. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 269) made it the type species for *Craponius* LeConte, 1876, even though his identification was a mere guess (see Walsh, 1868: 18) in context with

another misidentification, i.e., that of *Ceutorhynchus curtus* Say, 1832.

*Extant Types*. None known.

*Zygops* (*Coptorus* [sic]) *quercus* Say, 1832b: 20

*Modern Name*. *Cylindrocopturus quercus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name*. *Curculio quercus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 29).

*Type Locality*. None provided [Mississippi on Schönherr's specimen].

*Exchange of Specimens*. Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "(616) \*41 quercus, n." (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations*. Say interpreted Melsheimer's unavailable *Curculio quercus* in two different ways: as *Cryptorhynchus operculatus* Say, 1824a, and as *Zygops quercus* Say, 1832b. Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1838: 649, 650) treated them as two valid species of *Copturus* Schönherr. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 261) interpreted *C. quercus* based on Gyllenhaal's redescription and considered it as doubtfully distinct from *C. adspersus* LeConte, 1876. The subsequent literature was confused and applied frequently to *C. quercus* in the sense of LeConte and Horn (1876).

*Extant Types*. There is one pinned syntype in drawer 144 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) with the labels "C. quercus./ Say./ Mississippi./ Say." and "29." In the Germar Collection (MLUH) are two specimens, one of them with an "X" on a small, green, triangular label; their origin is unknown.

*Notes*. This is one of the three names that follow "39 retentus n. (Mississippi)" on Say's retained list (Ref. 15). It is not obvious that they have ditto marks standing for "Mississippi" but Schönherr gives this locality for all three species. Say noted on his retained copy, "[41 quercus] perhaps var. or same as [42 operculatus]."

*Centrinus scutellum album* [sic] Say, 1832b: 21

*Modern Name*. *Odontocorynus umbellae* (Fabricius, 1801).

*Origin of Specific Name*. *Curculio scutellum album*; Knoch (in Melsheimer, 1806: 28).

*Type Locality*. Pennsylvania, Missouri, Indiana, variety from Arkansa [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens*. Say sent specimens to Germar as "24 Rynchaenus scutellum album, nob." (Ref. 10), to Winthem as "98 Rynchaenus scutellum album, nob." (Ref. 11), and to Schönherr as "(606) 31 scutellum-album, n." (Ref. 15). Schönherr replied, "31. scutellum album Say. — *Toxeres id*<sup>m</sup>." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations*. Say applied Knoch's name to two very similar species that frequently occur in substantial numbers on the flowers of certain Asteraceae. Gemminger and Harold (1871: 2633) cited the manuscript names that Knoch and other European entomologists originally used for Say's species. When I revised *Odontocorynus* Schönherr (Prena, 2008), a total of 51 valid names existed for the two species originally included in the type series. The frequently used name *C. scutellum album* had been applied to three distinct species in the literature, so I suppressed it as a subjective junior synonym of *Curculio umbellae* Fabricius, 1801.

*Extant Types*. Prena (2008: 269) designated a lectotype from two specimens in the Schönherr Collection but rejected the specimen in the Say Collection (MCZ) as a syntype because of insufficient evidence. However, my later comparison with other specimens in the Say and Harris collections and Say's characteristic checkmark on the label suggest that this specimen belonged to the original series. The specimen(s) sent to Winthem apparently went to Lüders, were acquired by the Museum Hamburg in 1855, and destroyed in 1943 (Weidner, 1976: 87).

None of the eight specimens in the Germar Collection (MLHU) show evidence that they were part of Say's 1828 shipment.

*Rhynchophorus praepotens* Say, 1832b: 21

*Modern Name.* *Scaphomorphus trivittatus* (Say, 1832).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Arkansaw [stated in description; in Ref. 15 with doubt]; Arkansaw near Rocky Mountains [in description of *Cleonus trivittatus* Say, 1832b: 10].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*59 ~~trivittatus~~, n. Arkansaw? praepotens, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The name has remained doubtful since the description. Boheman (in Schönherr, 1835: 62) assigned it to *Lixus* Fabricius, 1801; Schönherr (1838: 988) to *Rhynchophorides incertae sedis*; Melsheimer (1853: 89) to *Sphenophorus* Schönherr, 1838; LeConte (1859: 288) to *Cleonus* Schönherr, 1826 (tentatively as a synonym of *C. trivittatus* Say); Anderson (1987: 563) to *Cleonidius* Casey, 1891; and Alonso-Zarazaga and Lyal (1999: 17) implicitly to *Scaphomorphus* Motschulsky, 1860. Anderson (1987) did not fix the name in his revision because it stayed in synonymy with *C. trivittatus*.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 107 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the labels “Paratypus” and “L. praepotens/ Civit: Foeder:/ America bor./ Say.” The paratype label was attached by museum staff as a matter of routine.

*Notes.* Say (1832b: 10) explained under *Cleonus trivittatus* that he had described the species previously in a rejected manuscript as *Lixus trivittatus*. He mentioned “two or three specimens” from near the Rocky Mountains in Arkansaw, obviously collected during the 1819–20 expedition. One specimen went to Germar in January 1828 (Ref. 10) and another one to

Schönherr in March 1830 (Ref. 15). However, Say changed the name of Schönherr's specimen to “praepotens,” and the asterisk indicates that this was his last remaining specimen (Ref. 15). Say then described *C. trivittatus* on page 10 (Say, 1831b) and *R. praepotens* on page 21 (Say, 1832b), each time with a different wording. Evidently something went wrong, perhaps because he had two different names in his notes but no specimens left. What instigated the change of names is unclear, but it might have to do with the usage of the original specific name for another species on page 12. Schönherr (1838: 988) apparently lost track of *R. praepotens* because his specimen stood under *Cleonus*. The specimens sent to Germar and Schönherr are *S. trivittatus* in the sense of Anderson (1987).

*Rhynchophorus interstitialis* Say, 1832b: 21

*Modern Name.* *Sphenophorus interstitialis* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*60 interstitialis, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Schönherr (1838: 988) listed the name under *Rhynchophorides incertae sedis*. Horn (1873: 419) placed it as a variety of *Sphenophorus pertinax* (Olivier, 1807). Vaurie (1951: 49) excluded the name from her revision because Horn (1873) had misidentified *S. pertinax* and she herself could not match the description of *R. interstitialis* with any of the species known to her. O'Brien and Wibmer (1982: 214) followed Vaurie (1951) and listed the name under *Sphenophorus*, *incertae sedis*.

*Extant Types.* The name is a senior objective synonym of *S. parvulus* Gyllenhaal, 1838 (see notes below). The holotype

(asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 45 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the label "Amer. bor./ Say."

*Notes.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1838: 961) described *S. parvulus* based on a specimen received from Say. While Say did not send a specimen with this name, a singleton named *interstitialis* appears on his retained list as item 60, which is the only submitted *Sphenophorus* species I could not find in the Schönherr Collection, at least not under this name. Vaurie (1951: 107) discussed that only a paratype but not the holotype of *S. parvulus* could be found, which proved to be a subjective synonym of *S. pumilus* Gyllenhaal, 1838 (not Allard, 1870). However, I found no support for her assumption that *S. parvulus* was described from more than one specimen. Because the description of *R. interstitialis* matches perfectly Say's specimen standing under *S. parvulus*, I am convinced that Gyllenhaal described Say's *R. interstitialis* as *S. parvulus* (**new synonym**).

*Rhynchophorus truncatus* Say, 1832b: 22

*Modern Name.* *Sphenophorus pertinax* (Olivier, 1807).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "109 insculptus, n. [possibly added later:] ~~insculptus~~ MacLeay? [next line:] inscripta — pertinax Oliv." (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Schönherr (1838: 966) did not know *R. truncatus* and placed it under *Sphenophorus*, incertae sedis. Horn (1873: 419) considered it a subspecies of *S. pertinax* Olivier, 1807, and Vaurie (1951: 164) as a junior synonym of the latter. These authors interpreted the original descriptions but had not seen the types.

*Extant Types.* The Say Collection (MCZ) has two well-preserved syntypes on short

pins, one of them with Say's handwritten label. The specimen sent to Schönherr is in drawer 45 under *S. pertinax* var.  $\beta$ ; it would be a syntype in the likely case that Say had changed the name of his "109 insculptus" to *R. truncatus*.

*Rhynchophorus cicatricosus* Say, 1832b: 22

*Modern Name.* *Sphenophorus cariosus* (Olivier, 1807).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Louisiana [stated in description]; New Orleans [Ref. 15 and on label].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "116 cicatricosus, n. New Orleans — allied to the preceding [i.e., *immunis* and *insculptus*]" (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1838: 940) described *Sphenophorus flexuosus* from one or more specimens received from Guérin-Méneville and one syntype of *R. cicatricosus* received from Say. The footnote "mihi invisus" several pages later (Schönherr, 1838: 966) suggests that Schönherr at some point must have lost track of Say's specimen. Horn (1873: 420) used *S. cariosus* (Olivier, 1807) as the valid name for *R. cicatricosus*, *S. flexuosus*, and *Calandra larvalis* Germar, 1823, without further comment except that the species is common in the [Mexican] Gulf States and easily recognized. Horn (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 425) included *Calandra callosa* Olivier, 1807, as another synonym; this was rebutted by Chittenden (1906: 176) and so accepted by subsequent authors.

*Extant Types.* The specimen sent to Schönherr (NHRS) is in drawer 45 under *S. larvalis* Germar and *S. flexuosus* Gyllenhaal, with the labels "Paratypus" and "C. cicatricosus/ Say./ N. Orleans. Say."

*Rhynchophorus venatus* Say, 1832b: 22

*Modern Name.* *Sphenophorus venatus* (Say); **revised name.**

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* Schönherr (1838: 966) did not know *R. venatus* and placed it under *Sphenophorus*, incertae sedis. Horn (1873: 426) considered Say's four nominal species *R. immunis*, *R. placidus*, *R. rectus*, and *R. venatus* as conspecific, gave nomenclatural priority to *R. venatus* but used *R. placidus* as the valid name in the key and subheading. This action was based on his interpretation of the descriptions and not on the study of types, which he believed were destroyed. Also included as synonyms were *Sphenophorus confusus* Gyllenhaal, 1838; *S. fallax* Boheman, 1845; and *S. reticulaticollis* Boheman, 1845. Chittenden (1904: 133) accepted Horn's synonymies except for *S. reticulaticollis*. Vaurie (1951: 119) removed also *S. rectus* from synonymy with *S. venatus* and designated a neotype, because *S. venatus* in her sense is widespread and has regional subpopulations. One of her five recognized subspecies took the name *S. reticulaticollis*.

*Extant Types.* The MCZ has one syntype with Say's handwritten label "venatus/ S [followed by his characteristic checkmark]." Its abdomen was eaten by a dermestid larva. I designate here this specimen as the lectotype for *R. venatus*. The neotype designated by Vaurie (1951) is set aside under ICZN Article 75.8.

*Notes.* The economic literature may leave the impression that hunting billbug is a more or less frequently cited name. However, it almost always was used for *S. venatus vestitus* Chittenden, 1904. I studied the extant types of *S. venatus* and its four currently included synonyms. At least three of the four nominal Say species lumped by Horn (1873) are distinct from each other.

*Sphenophorus venatus* is a senior subjective synonym of *S. destructor* Chittenden, 1906 (**new synonym**). The valid name for *S. venatus* in the sense of Vaurie (1951) is *S. placidus* (Say).

*Rhynchophorus rectus* Say, 1832b: 22

*Modern Name.* *Sphenophorus rectus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* None known.

*Interpretations.* Schönherr (1838: 966) did not know *R. rectus* and placed it under *Sphenophorus*, incertae sedis. Horn (1873: 426) made it a junior synonym of *S. venatus* in his discussion but reversed priorities in the key and subheading. Chittenden (1904: 134) maintained *R. rectus* in synonymy with *S. venatus*. Vaurie (1951: 126) designated a neotype for *R. rectus* and used the name for a distinct, up to then unrecognized, species.

*Extant Types.* None known. Vaurie (1951) designated a neotype from Pine Island, New York.

*Rhynchophorus immunis* Say, 1832b: 23

*Modern Name.* *Sphenophorus immunis* (Say); **resurrected name.**

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Louisiana [stated in description]; New Orleans [given on lectotype label].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "115 immunis, n. New Orleans — allied to insculptus, n." (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Schönherr (1838: 966) claimed not to know *R. immunis* and placed it under *Sphenophorus*, incertae sedis. Horn (1873: 426) considered *S. immunis* as conspecific with *S. venatus* and selected the latter as the valid name. He was followed therein by Chittenden (1904:

133) and Vaurie (1951: 120), who too believed that all Say types were destroyed.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in drawer 157 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's label "C: immunis./ Say./ N. Orleans. Say." This specimen is selected here as the lectotype for *S. immunis* (Say).

*Notes.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1838) either overlooked the description of *R. immunis* or, at the time of writing, was still unaware of Say's Curculionites pamphlet. When he described Say's syntype on page 943 as *Sphenophorus sayi*, he failed to mention the supposed manuscript name, what triggered the ensuing confusion. *Sphenophorus sayi* Gyllenhaal, 1838, is an objective synonym of *S. immunis* Say, 1832 (**new synonym**). With reservation I maintain the Californian *S. subcarinatus* Mannerheim, 1843, in synonymy with *S. immunis*; it was not seen by Vaurie (1951) and may turn out to be a different species.

#### *Rhynchophorus placidus* Say, 1832b: 23

*Modern Name.* *Sphenophorus placidus* (Say); **resurrected name**.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "62 placidus, n." (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1838: 947) placed *R. placidus* in *Sphenophorus*, matched it with Chevrolat's manuscript name *Calandra obliqua*, and redescribed the species under citation of Say's original publication. Schönherr (1845: 256) considered *S. placidus* as a variety of *S. confusus* Gyllenhaal, 1838. Gemminger and Harold (1871: 2650) gave Say's name priority over *S. confusus*. Horn (1873: 426) synonymized *S. placidus* with six other nominal species, used the name as valid in a key and subheading, but gave *S. venatus* Say, 1832, priority over all others in

the discussion. Chittenden (1904: 134) maintained *S. placidus* in synonymy of *S. venatus* and distinguished several similar species, some of which Vaurie (1951: 118) considered subspecies of *S. venatus*.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is under *Sphenophorus confusus* var.  $\beta$  in drawer 45 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's label "C: placidus./ Say./ Am: bor. Say." This specimen is selected here as the lectotype for *R. placidus* Say.

*Notes.* *Sphenophorus placidus* (Say) is the valid name for *S. venatus* of authors, whereas *S. venatus* (Say, 1832) is the species presently known as *S. destructor* Chittenden, 1906. Even though these are more or less frequently used names, their taxonomy is so confused that I think it best not to sanction these misinterpretations. In fact, most citations of the hunting billbug apply to *S. venatus vestitus* Chittenden, 1904, rather than the nominal species. Vaurie (1951: 118) distinguished five subspecies of *S. venatus* [of authors], with two of them occurring in the East. Her diagnostic criteria were subtle and based on rather meager material for the nominal subspecies, with a number of specimens not fitting into her scheme. In my opinion, the lectotype of *R. placidus* agrees more with Vaurie's northeastern subspecies "venatus" than with the southeastern "vestitus," although I see reasons for lumping them altogether. *Rhynchophorus placidus* Say is resurrected here from synonymy with *S. venatus* (Say) and used in the sense of *S. venatus* of authors (not Say). The four nonnominal subspecies are placed under *S. placidus* as *S. p. confluens* Chittenden, 1904; *S. p. glyceriae* Chittenden, 1919; *S. p. reticulaticollis* Boheman, 1845; and *S. p. vestitus* Chittenden, 1904.

#### *Rhynchophorus inaequalis* Say, 1832b: 23

*Modern Name.* *Sphenophorus inaequalis* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “61 inaequalis, n.” (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1838: 953) included *R. inaequalis* in his description of *Sphenophorus contractus* Gyllenhaal, which up to then was a manuscript name introduced by Dejean. Horn (1873: 414) redescribed *R. inaequalis* without explaining why he associated the name with this particular species. Vaurie (1951: 100) designated a neotype from Tampa, Florida, to stabilize Horn’s taxonomy.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in the Say Collection of the MCZ (Mawdsley, 1993: 168). It still has Say’s handwritten label (Prena, 2015, fig. 3), with his characteristic checkmark followed by “(61)/ inaequalis” [61 refers to the item number in Ref. 15]. The matching specimen of the latter shipment is in drawer 45 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) under *S. contractus* Dejean, with the labels “Paratypus” and “*C. inaequalis*./ Say./ Amer. bor. Say.” The neotype designated by Vaurie (1951) is set aside under ICZN Article 75.8).

#### *Cossonus corticola* Say, 1832b: 24

*Modern Name.* *Cossonus corticola* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio corticola*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 30) [with handwritten note in Say’s personal copy, “*G. Cossonus*”].

*Type Locality.* Missouri and Pennsylvania [stated in description]. Arkansas [Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “71 corticola, n. [followed by ditto marks standing for Arkansas], like 66 [i.e., platealea]” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr responded, “71. corticola Say. — *Cossonus id*.” (Ref. 19). Harris sent Schönherr one specimen as “90 *Cossonus corticolus*? Say (Hentz 976) N. Car.” (Ref. 24).

*Interpretations.* Horn (1873: 439) expressed the view that Say’s description applies equally to two distinct species; one he identified tentatively as *C. corticola*, the other as *C. concinnus* Boheman, 1838. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 338) speculated whether *C. corticola* is the same as *C. minor* Wollaston, 1873. Champion (1909b: 48) included under *C. corticola* (*sensu lato*) specimens from Central America and confirmed the synonymy with *C. minor* based on a specimen identified by Buchanan. Kuschel (1962: 3) transferred the name to *Borophloeus* Wollaston, 1873, and included *Rhyncolus latinasus* Say, 1832c [for which he designated a lectotype], as a junior synonym. Hlaváč and Maughan (2013: 58) treated *C. corticola* [spelled *corticolis*] as the valid name for *Borophloeus puncticollis* Wollaston, 1873.

*Extant Types.* The Harris Collection (MCZ) contains two Say specimens without original labels. The syntype received by Schönherr has been lost, apparently long before my visit in 2009. His drawer 46 (NHRS) has only an empty pin with the label “399/ 58.”

#### *Cossonus platealea* Say, 1832b: 24

*Modern Name.* *Cossonus platealea* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “66 platealea, n.” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “66. platealea Say. — *Cossonus id*.” (Ref. 19). Germar sent Schönherr a Philadelphia specimen, which was described as *C. subareatus* Boheman, 1845 (now a synonym of *C. platealea*). I found no match in Say’s shipment to Germar for this specimen, so its original owner remains unknown.

*Interpretations.* When Horn (1873: 438) compared Say’s original description of *C. platealea* with Boheman’s redescription in

Schönherr (1838: 998), he was under the impression that these authors were referring to two different species, so he renamed Boheman's specimen as *C. bohemanni* [sic] Horn. Van Dyke (1916: 79) synonymized the two names because it turned out that Horn had misunderstood a preposition in Boheman's Latin text. Buchanan (1936: 111) included *C. subareatus* Boheman, 1845, as another subjective synonym.

*Extant Types.* The only known syntype is in drawer 46 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the label "Platalea. Say/ Civitates Foe-/ deratae Am. bor./ Say."

*Dryophthorus corticalis* Say, 1832b: 24

*Modern Name.* *Dryophthorus americanus* Bedel, 1885.

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio corticalis* Paykull in Melsheimer (1806: 29), mistaken for a nomen nudum.

*Type Locality.* None stated in description.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "(610) 11 rudis, n. [apparently added later:] lymexylon Fabr.?<sup>2</sup> corticalis Pay." (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* The name has been used consistently because there is but one native species of *Dryophthorus* Germar, 1823, in North America. Bedel (1885: 192) recognized the distinctness of Paykull's and Say's species and renamed the latter as *Dryophthorus americanus*.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in drawer 48 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's handwritten label "C. rudis. Say./ Am. bor. Say."

Descriptions of New Species of Curculionites of North America, with Observations on Some of the Species Already Known. Supplement [signature 4, pp. 25–30].

*Date of Publication.* Before 26 December 1832 (copies mailed to Germar and received

by Harris, respectively; Ref. 22 and Scudder, 1899).

*Notes.* The fourth signature of the Curculionites pamphlet is a supplement to the descriptions on the preceding 24 pages. Say obviously was rushing out the first set of descriptions (he knew from Schönherr's last letter (Ref. 18) that the first volume of *Genera et species curculionidum* was in press), but he was occupied with a lawsuit and the publication of *American Conchology*. Almost all included species were described from singletons no longer in his possession. On 8 September 1832 he informed Harris that "I am now printing a Supplement" (Ref. 23).

*Eirrhinus ehippiatus* Say, 1832c: 25

*Modern Name.* *Ellescus ehippiatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Indiana [stated in description]; Connecticut (in Schönherr, 1835: 289) applies to item 117 and perhaps the next few in Ref. 15 but not to 121 ehippiatus.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "121 ehippiatus, n." (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "126. ehippiatus Say. — Eirrhinus id<sup>m</sup>." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* The name is being applied in the sense of LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 209), who apparently also made the identification in Walsh (1867a: 268). Casey (1885: 193) and Dietz (1891: 265) discussed the occurrence of deviating regional populations.

*Extant Types.* The only known syntype is in drawer 115 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's handwritten label "ephippiatus [sic]/ Say./ Connecticut./ Say."

*Eirrhinus rufous* Say, 1832c: 25

*Modern Name.* *Dorytomus rufus* (Say); **resurrected combination.**

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description and Ref. 15]; “Florida” on the label of Schönherr’s specimen is erroneous.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*89 rufa, n. ~~Arkansaw~~ — Missouri” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “89. rufa Say. — *Erirhinus rufus*” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* LeConte and Horn (1876) did not mention the species. Casey (1892a: 377) was the first to apply the name to a species of *Dorytomus* Germar, 1817. Blatchley (in Blatchley and Leng, 1916: 197) adopted this interpretation with reservations. O’Brien (1970: 1) placed the name in synonymy with *Ellescus ephippiatus* (Say, 1832).

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say’s list) is in drawer 116 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), under *Erirhinus*, with the labels “Paratypus” and “— rufus. Say./ Florida. Say.”

*Notes.* In his annotated compilation of Say’s publications, LeConte (1859: 298) changed the specific name to “rufus” without mention of the original spelling “rufous.” The same spelling had been used previously by Schönherr (1835: 301, 1843: 178), who obviously took it from his correspondence with Say and not from the original publication. Because LeConte’s incorrect subsequent spelling is in prevailing usage and attributed to Say (1832e), it is deemed to be the correct original spelling and is preserved under ICZN Article 33.3.1. The holotype is a species of *Dorytomus* (**resurrected combination**). *Dorytomus rufus* (Say, 1832) is a senior subjective synonym of *D. squamosus* LeConte, 1876 (**new synonym**).

*Anthonomus erythropterus* Say, 1832c: 25

*Modern Name.* *Anthonomus suturalis* J. E. LeConte, 1824.

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio erythropterus* [sic]; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 27).

*Type Locality.* Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “(579) 28\* erythropterus, n.” (Ref. 15), upon which Schönherr replied, “28. erythropterus Sayi. — *Anthonomus suturalis* Dej.” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1835: 342) described *A. suturalis* Gyllenhaal (not J. E. LeConte, 1824) from specimens received as *A. suturalis* [Dejean] and *A. erythropterus* Say. Its senior homonym, *A. suturalis* J. E. LeConte, started to prevail in the literature for the same species when Walsh (1867a: 266) discussed a previous misidentification made by J. L. LeConte (i.e., J. E. LeConte’s son). However, J. L. LeConte not only had misidentified Walsh’s weevil inquiline from aphid galls as *A. scutellatus* Gyllenhaal, 1835 (the strawberry bud weevil), but just a few years later, his incorrect application of the name *A. suturalis* for a weevil currently known as the cranberry weevil, *A. musculus* Say, 1832, was published by Paekard (1870: 242). Riley (1885: 276) discussed the confusion of names which nevertheless proliferated for another 40 years (e.g., Lacroix, 1926; Tuttle, 1956). Burke (1975: 61) synonymized three other nominal species with *A. suturalis* J. E. LeConte. With very few exceptions (e.g., Shimer, 1869: 394), authors generally maintained *A. erythropterus* Say in synonymy with *A. suturalis* J. E. LeConte.

*Extant Types.* The holotype of *A. erythropterus* (asterisk on Say’s list) is in drawer 117 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) under *A. suturalis* Dejean, with Schönherr’s handwritten label “erythropterus/ Say./ Pennsylvan. Say.”

*Anthonomus signatus* Say, 1832c: 25

*Modern Name.* *Anthonomus signatus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Schönherr [Ref. 19] and used instead of *sanguinipennis* Say ms.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr two specimens, one as “\*90 *sanguinipennis*, n. [added later:] *signatus* Sch.,” the other as “\*93 *scutellatus*, n.” [later described under that name by Gyllenhaal in Schönherr (1835: 348)] (Ref. 15). Harris sent Schönherr one specimen from his own collection as “74. *Balaninus Ceanothi* Harris ms, taken from *Ceanothus americanus*” (Ref. 24; Schönherr, 1843: 222).

*Interpretations.* The species name is being applied to the strawberry bud weevil, a pest of strawberries and raspberries in North America. This interpretation rests a great deal on opinions expressed by LeConte and Horn (1876: 199) and Dietz (1891: 215). Three synonyms were recognized by Dietz (1891: 215), Blatchley and Leng (1916: 300), and Burke (1975: 60), with two of these names having themselves histories of misidentifications and overlooked homonyms.

*Extant Types.* The holotype of *A. signatus* (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 117 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) under *A. signatus* var.  $\beta$ , with Schönherr's handwritten label “*sanguinipennis*. Say./ Am: bor: Say.”

### *Tychius aratus* Say, 1832c: 26

*Modern Name.* *Tychius aratus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description and Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen with the note “\*97 *aratus*, n. [ditto mark standing for Missouri] *penicillus* Herbst?” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “97. *aratus* Say. — *Tychius arator*” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876) treated *T. aratus* as an unrecognized name (p. 432 in appendix 2), possibly representing *T. tectus* LeConte, 1876 (p. 217). Casey (1892a: 417) treated *T. aratus* as distinct from *T. arator* Gyllenhaal, 1835, and referred in the discussion (p. 418) to the “type specimen” in a nomenclatural meaningless sense. Clark (1971: 33) recognized the two names as objective synonyms.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 119 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the labels “*Typus*,” “*aratus* Say./ *penicillus*?/ Herbst./ Missouri. Say.,” and “78/ 62.” Clark (1971) designated this specimen as the neotype for *T. aratus*. I found no evidence that the specimen in the Germar Collection (Taschenberg, 1869: 201) came from Say.

*Notes.* Schönherr (Ref. 19) suggested to make the specific name a noun, i.e., to change *aratus* (Latin for furrowed or wrinkled) to *arator* (Latin for plowman or farmer). Eventually, each of the two names was applied to the same specimen, which therefore is the holotype for both. Its designation as the neotype by Clark (1971) is set aside under Article 75.8.

### *Tychius amoenus* Say, 1832c: 26

*Modern Name.* *Smicronyx amoenus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description]; Missouri [Schönherr (1835: 229), probably erroneous].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*79 *amoena*, n.” (Ref. 15), upon which Schönherr replied, “79. *amoena* Say. — *Tychius amoenus*” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Even though Say's description and the original placement in *Tychius* Germar, 1817, provided hardly any clue about the identity of this species, LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 168) correctly applied the name and, without

doubt, his key aided other entomologists. I found no discussion of, or reference to, this name in the correspondence of the early North American entomologists. Anderson (1962: 264) designated Say's specimen in the Schönherr Collection as the neotype.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 119 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the labels "Amoen. Say/ Missouri. Say." and "NEOTYPE/ Tyehius/ amoenus/ Say/ D.M. Anderson 1957." Its designation as the neotype by Anderson (1962) is set aside under Article 75.8.

*Baridius nigrinus* Say, 1832c: 26

*Modern Name.* *Pseudobaris nigrina* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Curculio nigrinus*; Melsheimer (in Melsheimer, 1806: 29).

*Type Locality.* Pennsylvania [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "(691) \*55 nigrinus, n." (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "55. nigrinus Say. — *Baridius* id<sup>m</sup>." (Ref. 19). The second specimen in the Schönherr Collection came from Karl Zimmermann (aka Charles Zimmerman), collected in eastern Pennsylvania around 1833.

*Interpretations.* The name is being applied to a widespread species that can be locally detrimental to commercially grown mint. Generally speaking, it has been used correctly in the economic literature, but there is a bewildering confusion with other available names for this and morphologically similar species (see notes below).

*Extant Types.* In drawer 128 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) are two specimens under this name. The male, labeled "nigrinus/ Amer. bor. Say," is the holotype, or at least a syntype. The female, labeled "Pensylvan. orien./ Zimmerman" and not mentioned in the description, was received afterward (Zimmermann arrived in

the United States not before September 1832).

*Notes.* *Centrinus confusus* Boheman, 1836 (presently placed in *Sibariops* Casey, 1920), was described from at least two specimens. One was a sedge-associated Florida specimen submitted by Say under the name *confusus*, the other was a mint-associated California specimen submitted by Mannerheim. The latter is what I consider a western morph of *Pseudobaris nigrina*, presently known as *P. californica* Casey, 1920. It almost certainly was collected by Eschscholtz in 1824, and vouchers were given to Dejean and Mannerheim under the manuscript name *Baris sulcipennis* Eschscholtz. Not surprisingly, *P. nigrina/californica/sulcipennis* and *S. confusus* have been mixed up in the literature or even were considered as one and the same species (e.g., Mannerheim, 1843: 293).

*Baridius scolopax* Say, 1832c: 26

*Modern Name.* *Rhoptobaris scolopax* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description and Ref. 15]; possibly referring to the river section separating today's Nebraska and Iowa [see Prena (2012) for distribution data] but more likely collected further west.

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "\*86 scolopax, n. ~~Arkansaw~~ Missouri" (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "86. scolopax Say. — *Baridius* id<sup>m</sup>." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* LeConte (1869: 365) misused the name for a species of *Aulobaris* LeConte, 1876. Prena (2012: 241) reestablished the original meaning based on the study of the holotype, thereby suppressing the prevailing but more junior name, *Orthoris crotchii* LeConte, 1876.

*Extant Types.* The holotype is in drawer 128 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS),

with Schönherr's label "Scolopax/ Say./ Missouri. Say."

*Baridius acutipennis* Say, 1832c: 27

*Modern Name.* *Pseudobaris* (*Microcraptus*) *acutipennis* (Say); **resurrected combination.**

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Mexico [stated in description and Ref. 15]; probably vicinity of Mexico City (Barber, 1928).

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "\*114 ~~politus~~ n. Mexico [later added:] *acutipennis* n." (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "114. *acutipennis* Say. — *Baridius* id<sup>m</sup>." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Casey (1892c: 553) recognized *B. acutipennis* as a species of *Pseudobaris* LeConte, 1876 (not Fairmaire, 1897), with pointed elytral apices. Champion (1909a: 445) applied the name without comment to a "common insect in Central America" and included *B. callosipennis* Solari and Solari, 1906, as a junior subjective synonym. Casey (1922: 442) selected *B. acutipennis* as the type species for *Microcraptus* Casey, 1922, a subgenus of *Pseudobaris* LeConte, 1876, which Hustache (1938: 31) and later authors erroneously placed in *Craptus* Casey, 1922.

*Extant Types.* The holotype is in drawer 129 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS). It is a female specimen with Schönherr's label "Rh. *acutipennis*/ Say./ Mexico. Say."

*Cryptorhynchus retentus* Say, 1832c: 27

*Modern Name.* *Conotrachelus retentus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Mississippi [stated in description and Ref. 15].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "\*39 *retentus*, n. (Mississippi) near *obtentus* Herbst" (Ref.

15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "39. *retentus* [sic] Say. — *Anthonomus* id<sup>m</sup>." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used for the black walnut curculio in the sense of Brooks (1922: 7). Schoof (1942: 62) distinguished this species from morphologically similar ones and designated a neotype from Nashville, Tennessee.

*Extant Types.* The holotype is in drawer 142 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's label "Rh: *retentus* [sic]/ Say./ Mississippi. Say." The neotype designated by Schoof (1942) is set aside under ICNZ Article 75.8.

*Notes.* Boheman (in Schönherr, 1837) and Gemminger and Harold (1871) used an incorrect subsequent spelling (i.e., *retensus*), which derived from Schönherr's misreading of the name in Ref. 15.

*Cryptorhynchus palmacollis* Say, 1832c: 27

*Modern Name.* *Rhyssomatus palmicollis* (Say, 1832b).

*Notes.* This is an objective junior synonym of *Tylomus lineaticollis* var. *palmicollis* Say, 1832b: 16 (see there).

*Cryptorhynchus cribricollis* Say, 1832c: 28

*Modern Name.* *Pheloconus cribricollis* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Mississippi [stated in description, Ref. 15, and on Schönherr's label].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "\*81 *cribricollis*, n. Mississippi" (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "81. *cribricollis* Say. — *Cryptorhynchus* id<sup>m</sup>." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Schoof (1942: 116) wrote in his revision that *C. cribricollis* "has not been the source of much confusion." He designated a neotype from Urbana, Illinois, in the sense that had prevailed since LeConte and Horn (1876: 233).

*Extant Types.* The holotype is in drawer 142 of the Schönherr Collection (NIIRS), with Schönherr's label "cribricollis/ Say/ Mississippi. Say." The neotype designated by Schoof (1942) is set aside under ICZN Article 75.8.

*Cryptorhynchus obliquus* Say, 1832c: 28

*Modern Name.* *Cryptorhynchus obliquus* Say; **revised name**.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* New Orleans [stated in description, Ref. 15, and on Schönherr's label].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "\*107 obliquus, n. New Orleans, allied to parochus Herbst?" (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "107. obliquus Say. — Cryptorhynch: umbrosus Dej." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Boheman (in Schönherr, 1837: 116) described *C. umbrosus* with *C. obliquus* included as a synonym. Most subsequent authors maintained *C. umbrosus* in synonymy with *C. obliquus*, whereas some, e.g., Schönherr (1844: 331) and Hustache (1936: 233), treated *C. umbrosus* as a valid name. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 252) believed that *C. obliquus* should be the valid name for Dejean's unavailable *C. fuscatus* and that *C. umbrosus* should be the valid name for *C. obliquus* of authors. However, because Boheman (in Schönherr, 1837) had treated the two names as synonyms, he made no changes and described the putative unnamed species as *Cryptorhynchus fuscatus* LeConte, 1876. Fiedler (1941: 70) transferred *C. obliquus* to *Eubulus* Kirsch, 1869, and Anderson (2008a: 173) returned it back to *Cryptorhynchus* Illiger, 1807; *C. umbrosus* remained in synonymy.

*Extant Types.* The holotype is in drawer 135 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) under *Cryptorhynchus umbrosus*. It is labeled "Louisiana./ Say." and "Cryptorhyn-

chus/ fuscatus/ det. R. Anderson 2007." Anderson (2008a: 173) designated a neotype from the LeConte Collection (MCZ).

*Notes.* The interpretation offered by LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876) turned out to be correct; the specimen Say had sent to Schönherr is indeed *C. fuscatus*. The second specimen in the type series of *C. umbrosus* Boheman, 1837, is the one submitted by Dejean; it represents a different species, i.e., *C. obliquus* of authors [not Say]. Because of the asterisk on Say's list, the neotype designated by Anderson (2008a) is to be set aside under ICZN Article 75.8, and Say's specimen in drawer 135 must be regarded as the holotype of *C. obliquus* Say, 1832 (= *C. fuscatus* LeConte, 1876; **new synonym**). I select here Dejean's specimen in drawer 135 as the lectotype for *C. umbrosus* Boheman, 1837 (**resurrected name**), which is now the valid name for *C. obliquus* of authors.

*Cryptorhynchus ferratus* Say, 1832c: 28

*Modern Name.* *Apteromechus ferratus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Louisiana [stated in description]; New Orleans [Ref. 15 and on Schönherr's label; see notes below].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "\*125 ferratus, n. New Orleans (Mr. Ismar)" (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "125. ferratus Say. — Cryptorhynchus id<sup>m</sup>." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* The name is being used in the sense of LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 256). Champion (1906: 620) transferred it to *Apteromechus* Faust, 1896, apparently based on a misidentified specimen from Brownsville, Texas, submitted by Wickham.

*Extant Types.* The holotype is in drawer 135 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's label "ferratus Say/ N: Orleans./ Say."

*Notes.* Say's comment on his retained list indicates that the specimen was collected by Frédéric Auguste Ismar in mid December 1829 on his way from Mexico to New Harmony (Ref. 13). Maclure had instructed Ismar to collect specimens for Say and Lesueur during the trip (Warren, 2009: 251). The weevils were mailed to Schönherr approximately 2 months after Ismar's arrival in New Harmony. Say obviously had drafted the description during the interim time.

*Bagous mamillatus* Say, 1832c: 28

*Modern Name.* *Bagous mamillatus* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Missouri [stated in description, Ref. 15, and on Schönherr's label].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*46 mammillatus [sic] n. Missouri” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “46. mammillatus Say. — *Bagous id*<sup>m</sup>.” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 184) applied the name to one of 13 North American nominal species for which he provided a dichotomous key. Tanner (1943: 16) identified *B. mamillatus* among a total of 29 nominal species by matching the original description with available specimens from near the type region. His and LeConte's identifications disagreed, but it appears as if Tanner did not examine LeConte's *B. mamillatus* specimen(s).

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 143 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's label “Mammillatus/ Say./ e Missouri. Say.” Tanner (1943) designated a neotype from Arkadelphia, Arkansas, which I could not find in the supposed repository; his designation is set aside under ICZN Article 75.8.

*Notes.* The original spelling of the name was “mamillatus,” which is correct Latin. The misspelling “mammillatus” was intro-

duced by Say himself in his shipment to Schönherr (Ref. 15) and, apparently independently, again by LeConte and Horn (1876). The incorrect spelling is not in prevailing usage.

*Bagous simplex* Say, 1832c: 29

*Modern Name.* *Lissorhoptrus simplex* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “■103 simplex, n.” and another one with the note “120 olivaceus, n.” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “103. simplex Say. — *Bagous egenus* Dej.” and “120. olivaceus. Say. — *Bagous egenus* Dej.” (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* When Say learned that his *B. simplex* Say ms and *B. olivaceus* Say ms agreed with *B. egenus* Dejean ms (Ref. 19), he described the species as *B. simplex* with the comment “Schoenherr informs me that Dejean has given the name of *egenus*, to this species” (Say, 1832c: 29). LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 183) transferred *B. simplex* and *Notiophilus apiculatus* Gyllenhaal, 1835, to *Lissorhoptrus* LeConte, 1876. In the subsequent literature, the name was applied to several species, among them the rice water weevil (e.g., Tucker, 1912: 1). Kuschel (1952: 49) recognized that LeConte had misidentified *N. apiculatus*; that *L. simplex* (Say) is the valid name for *B. egenus* Gyllenhaal, 1836, and *L. apiculatus* in LeConte's sense; and that the rice water weevil is an entirely different species, which he named *L. oryzophilus* Kuschel, 1952. However, the type material of *B. simplex* could not be located, and after consultation with J. Bequaert, Kuschel (1952) relied on the synonymy of *B. simplex* and *B. egenus* proposed in Schönherr (1836: 549). O'Brien and Haseeb (2014: 178) corroborated Ku-

schel's taxonomy and nomenclature in their recent revision.

*Extant Types.* The erased asterisk on Say's list indicates that there were at least two specimens. In drawer 116 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) only the specimen from Dejean occurs under *B. egenus*. Right underneath is one *N. apiculatus* Gyllenhaal, which is a species of *Onychylis* LeConte, 1876, originally received from Say as “\*47 apiculatus, n.” and to which Schönherr referred to as “72. apiculatus Say. — *Gastrocerus* id<sup>m</sup>.” (Ref. 19). I was unable to locate the two specimens of “simplex” and “olivaceus.” Because “apiculatus” was a separate item on Say's list, I doubt that the *N. apiculatus* in drawer 116 is a mislabeled or otherwise confused *B. simplex*, as one may speculate from LeConte's interpretation. It is possible that Schönherr returned or exchanged these two specimens.

*Bagous aereus* Say, 1832c: 29

*Modern Name.* *Tyloderma aereum* (Say); **revised name.**

*Origin of Specific Name.* *Analcis aereus*; Dejean (Ref. 19).

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as “\*80 semipunctatus, n.” (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, “80. semipunctatus Say. — *Analcis aereus* nob. *Bagous* id. Dcj.” (Ref. 19). Say added on his retained list the note “aereus Dcj.” and provided the history of the name immediately behind the description (Say, 1832c).

*Interpretations.* The early literature about this species is unreliable. Wibmer (1981: 62), in his revision of the North American species of *Tyloderma* Say, 1832, found that several species had been, or potentially could be, mixed up with *T. aereum*. He interpreted the name based on a series of six specimens obtained from

the Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique and formerly owned by Dejean, who had proposed the name in litteris. The series contained two species. Wibmer (1981: 55) described one of them as *T. capitale* and applied Say's name to the other.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 138 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's label “Semipunctatus. Say./ Amer. bor./ Say.” The neotype designated by Wibmer (1981: 63) from the C. W. O'Brien Collection is deposited in the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History.

*Notes.* Under Article 75.8, I set aside the neotype designation by Wibmer (1981) and recognize the above-cited NHRS specimen as the holotype. *Bagous aereus* Say is a senior subjective synonym of *Tyloderma capitaloides* Wibmer, 1981 (**new synonym**). *Tyloderma wibmeri* **new species** is proposed here for *T. aereum* sensu Wibmer (1981) [for a description see p. 63 therein], with the type specimen being Wibmer's male neotype for *B. aereus* from Kolb Pond, Indiana.

*Tylodes (Acalles) clavatus* Say, 1832c: 29

*Modern Name.* *Acalles clavatus* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Florida [stated in description and on Schönherr's label].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Schönherr (Ref. 19) replied to Say, “127. clavatus Say — *Tylodes (Acalles)* id<sup>m</sup>.” However, Say's retained list ends with item 125 (i.e., with *C. ferratus* collected by Ismar just 2 months before shipment) followed by two unnamed add-ons: A and B (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* LeConte and Horn (1876: 243) and Blatchley and Leng (1916: 501) each provided a redescription without explaining why they believed that this is the species described by Say. Anderson (1993: 206) listed *A. clavatus* as one of 13 nominal species of *Acalles* Schönherr, 1825, found in

or reported from Florida, six of them being undescribed.

*Extant Types.* One syntype is in drawer 140 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's label "C. clavatus/ Say/ Florida, Say."

*Ceutorhynchus curtus* Say, 1832c: 29

*Modern Name.* *Auleutes curtus* (Say); **new combination.**

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* United States [stated in description], Mississippi [stated on Schönherr's label].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr two specimens by this name, one with the information "\*82 curtus, n." and the other with "\*108 curtus, n. Missouri" (Ref. 15). Schönherr replied, "82. curtus Say. — *Ceutorhynchus* id<sup>m</sup>." and "108. curtus Say. — *Ceutorhynchus rubidus* nob." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1837: 287) matched Say's description of *C. curtus* with species 82 and transferred the name to *Coeliodes* Schönherr, 1837; he newly described Say's 108 as *Ceutorhynchus rubidus* Gyllenhaal, 1837. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 270) misused the name *Coeliodes curtus* for a widespread North American species described as *Ceutorhynchus subulirostris* Gyllenhaal, 1837. Dietz (1896: 393) transferred *C. curtus* in the sense of LeConte [with Gyllenhaal as the author] to *Acanthoscelis* Dietz, 1896 [= *Acanthoscelidius* Hustache, 1930; not Dejean, 1825], and noted on page 398 that Gyllenhaal's description seems to apply to *Acanthoscelis acephalus* (Say). Moreover, Dietz (1896: 398) considered *C. subulirostris* Gyllenhaal, 1837 [spelled *sabulirostris*], as the female of *A. acephalus*. The identity of *C. rubidus* has remained unknown altogether.

*Extant Types.* In the likely case that *C. curtus* Say is identical with species 82, then its holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 138 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's label "Rh: curtus Say/ Mississippi./ Say."

*Notes.* The asterisks on Say's list indicate that the two specimens sent to Schönherr were the only ones he had. Species 82 belongs to *Auleutes* Dietz, 1896 (possibly *A. ater* Dietz, 1896), while species 108 is not in drawer 35 where it should be according to the NHRS species locator. Details of the original description of *C. curtus* (dark color, lateral denticles on pronotum) agree with species 82, thus supporting the interpretations by Gyllenhaal and Schönherr. There is a noteworthy discrepancy between the localities cited by Say and Gyllenhaal. Say's list stated Missouri for one of the two specimens, Gyllenhaal (in Schönherr, 1837) gave Mississippi for both. The original description of *C. curtus* said, "Inhab. U. S."

*Cleogonus sedentarius* Say, 1832c: 30

*Modern Name.* *Pseudomus sedentarius* (Say).

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Florida [stated in description, Ref. 15, and on Schönherr's label].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "\*75 sedentarius, n. Florida" (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "75. sedentarius Say. — *Cleogonus* id<sup>m</sup>." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* Boheman (in Schönherr, 1837: 267) transferred Say's name to *Pseudomus* Schönherr, 1837, and since then it has been applied to one of the two (and only Floridian) *Pseudomus* species present in the continental United States. LeConte (in LeConte and Horn, 1876: 247) stated that he does not know the species.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 138 of the Schönherr

Collection (NHRS), with Schönherr's label "sedentarius/ Say./ Florida. Say."

*Cossonus multiformis* Say, 1832c: 30

*Modern Name.* *Cossonus multiformis* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Mexico [stated in description]; probably vicinity of Mexico City (Barber, 1928).

*Exchange of Specimens.* Schönherr (Ref. 19) replied to Say, "126. multiformis. Say — *Cossonus* id<sup>m</sup>." However, Say's retained list ends with item 125 (i.e., with *C. ferratus* collected by Ismar just 2 months before shipping) followed by two unnamed additions, A and B, but there is no multiformis (Ref. 15).

*Interpretations.* Taschenberg (1869: 237) cataloged four Carolina specimens as being present in the Germar Collection. Champion (1909b: 47) did not recognize the species and reported Schönherr's specimen as being lost. *Cossonus multiformis* is a nomen dubium.

*Extant Types.* Apparently lost. In drawer 46 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS) is an empty pin with the label "403/ 58."

*Rhyncholus* [sic] *latinasus* Say, 1832c: 30

*Modern Name.* *Cossonus corticola* Say.

*Origin of Specific Name.* Proposed by Say.

*Type Locality.* Florida [stated in description, Ref. 15, and on Schönherr's label].

*Exchange of Specimens.* Say sent Schönherr one specimen as "\*99 latinasus, n. Florida" (Ref. 15), whereupon Schönherr replied, "99. latinasus Say. — *Rhyncholus* id<sup>m</sup>." (Ref. 19).

*Interpretations.* LeConte and Horn (1876: 340), deceived by misidentified specimens received from Chevrolat, considered *R. latinasus* as the valid name for *Cossonus pinguis* Horn, 1873 (action by Horn), and transferred the name to *Caulophilus* Wollaston, 1854 (action by LeConte).

In the subsequent North American literature, *Caulophilus latinasus* generally was used in their sense for a species known as the broad-nosed grain weevil. Kuschel (1962: 3) recognized that the descriptions of *R. latinasus* by Say (1832c) and Boheman (in Schönherr, 1838: 1068) deviate from *C. latinasus* in the sense of LeConte and Horn (1876), the latter turning out to be *Caulophilus oryzae* (Gyllenhaal, 1838). He selected Schönherr's authentic Say specimen as the lectotype for *R. latinasus* and considered it a subjective junior synonym of *Cossonus corticola* Say, 1832.

*Extant Types.* The holotype (asterisk on Say's list) is in drawer 47 of the Schönherr Collection (NHRS), with the labels "C. latinasus/ Say./ Florida. Say.," "444/ 60," and "Rhyncholus/ latinasus/ Say Lectotype/ Kuschel det. 1960."

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support received during the initial phase of this study, until 2013, is acknowledged in Prena (2015). The concluding part presented herein was intended to be done in the Germar Collection, Halle, Germany. I am very grateful to Karla Schneider, Frank Steinheimer, and Joachim Händel (MLUH) for encouragement, support, and office space. When our project proposal failed, I decided to narrow down the scope of the study to the material mentioned in the historical correspondence readily available to me. Under these premises, the help of the following persons deserves particular mention: Mark Emanuel Amtstätter (SUBH, Nachlässe und Autographen), Holger Framke (SDEI, library), Dana Fisher and Robert Young (MCZ, Ernst Mayr Library), Claire Flemming (ANSP, Archives), Princess Isabelle of Isenburg and Gabi Lehr (Neuwied), Hannelore Landsberg (MfN, Historische Bild- und Schriftgutsammlungen), and Daria Wingreen-Mason and Leslie Overstreet (USNM,

Joseph F. Cullman Library). Funding for a concluding search in the Schönherr and Chevrolat Collections (NHRS) was provided by the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement 226506, with support of Miguel Alonso-Zarazaga (Madrid). Lutz Behne (SDEI), Johannes Bergsten and the late Bert Viklund (NHRS), Martin Husemann (Zoologisches Museum, Universität Hamburg), Philip Perkins (MCZ), Hélène Perrin (Paris), Karla Schneider (MLUH), and Joachim Willers (MfN) greatly assisted my search in collections. Marek Wanat (Wrocław) provided information about Polish repositories that probably held Say material at one time. Robert S. Anderson (Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa), Yves Bousquet (Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Ottawa), the late Horace R. Burke (Texas A&M, College Station), Bernd Jäger (MfN), Paul J. Johnson (South Dakota State University, Brookings), Lawrence Kirkendall (Bergen), Roman A. Rakitov (formerly Illinois Natural History Survey, now RAS Moscow), and Ritsert Rinsma (Le Havre) provided fruitful discussions and shared results of their own research. Helpful comments and suggestions made by Miguel Alonso-Zarazaga, Robert S. Anderson, Yves Bousquet, and Paul J. Johnson on earlier versions of the manuscript were much appreciated. The paper is dedicated to the memory of Horace R. Burke (1926–2016) and Anne T. Howden (1927–2016), two much valued friends and weevil researchers with verve.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Alonso-Zarazaga, M. Á. 1994. Corrections to the nomenclature of some North American weevils (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 48: 236.
- Alonso-Zarazaga, M. Á. 2011. Apionidae, PP. 77–83, 148–176 1N: I. Löbl and A. Smetana, editors. *Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera* 7. Stenstrup, Denmark: Apollo Books.
- Alonso-Zarazaga, M. Á., and C. H. C. Lyal. 1999. *A World Catalogue of Families and Genera of Curculionoidea (Insecta: Coleoptera) (excepting Scolytidae and Platypodidae)*. Barcelona: Entomopraxis, S.C.P.
- Anderson, D. M. 1962. The weevil genus *Suicronyx* in America north of Mexico (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 113: 185–372.
- Anderson, R. S. 1987. Systematics, phylogeny and biogeography of New World weevils traditionally of the tribe Cleonini (Coleoptera: Curculionidae; Cleoninae). *Quaestiones Entomologicae* 23: 431–709.
- Anderson, R. S. 1989. Revision of the subfamily Rhynchaeninae in North America (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* 115: 207–312.
- Anderson, R. S. 1993. Curculionoidea of southern Florida: an annotated checklist (Coleoptera: Curculionoidea). *Insecta Mundi* [1992] 6: 193–248.
- Anderson, R. S. 2002. Molytinae Schoenherr 1823, PP. 786–792 1N: R. H. Arnett Jr., M. C. Thomas, P. E. Skelley, and J. H. Frank, editors. *American Beetles*. Vol. 2. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.
- Anderson, R. S. 2008a. A review of the genus *Cryptorhynchus* Illiger 1807 in the United States and Canada (Curculionidae: Cryptorhynchinae). *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 62: 168–180.
- Anderson, R. S. 2008b. A review of the genus *Eubulbus* Kirsehl 1869 in the United States and Canada (Curculionidae: Cryptorhynchinae). *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 62: 287–296.
- Anderson, R. S., and A. T. Howden. 2002. Entiminae Schoenherr 1823, PP. 766–782 1N: R. H. Arnett Jr., M. C. Thomas, P. E. Skelley, and J. H. Frank, editors. *American Beetles*. Vol. 2. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.
- Barber, H. S. 1928. Thomas Say's unrecorded journey to Mexico. *Entomological News* 34: 15–20.
- Barber, H. S. 1935. The tobacco and solanum weevils of the genus *Trichobaris*. United States Department of Agriculture, *Miscellaneous Publications* 226: 1–28.
- Bedel, L. 1885. *Faune des Coléoptères du bassin de la Seine*. Vol. VI. *Rhyuchophora*. (cont.), PP. 145–200. Paris: Annales de la Société Entomologique de France, Publication hors série.
- Beidleman, R. G. 1956. The 1818–20 Arkansas journey of Thomas Nuttall. *Arkansas Historical Quarterly* 15: 249–259.
- Bennett, T. P. 2002. The 1817 Florida Expedition of the Academy of Natural Sciences. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 152: 1–21.
- Blackwelder, R. E., and R. M. Blackwelder. 1948. *Fifth supplement, 1939 to 1947 (Inclusive) to the*

- Leng *Catalogue of Coleoptera of America, North of Mexico*. Mount Vernon, New York: J. D. Sherman Jr.
- Blanchard, F. 1887. Notes on Coleoptera. *Entomologica Americana* 3: 85–88.
- Blatchley, W. S., and C. W. Leng. 1916. *Rhynchophora or weevils of North Eastern America*. Indianapolis, Indiana: The Nature Publishing Co.
- Boheman, C. H. 1829. Novae Coleopterorum species. *Mémoires de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou* 7: 101–131.
- Borror, D. J., C. A. Triplehorn, and N. F. Johnson. 1989. *An introduction to the study of insects*. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders College Publishing.
- Bouchard, P., Y. Bousquet, A. E. Davies, M. Á. Alonso-Zarazaga, J. F. Lawrence, C. H. C. Lyal, A. F. Newton, C. A. M. Reid, M. Schmitt, S. A. Šlipiński, and A. B. T. Smith. 2011. Family-group names in Coleoptera (Insecta). *ZooKeys* 88: 1–972.
- Bouchard, P., L. Lesage, H. Goulet, N. J. Bostanian, C. Vincent, A. Zmudzinska, and J. Lasnier. 2005. Weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionoidea) diversity and abundance in two Quebec vineyards. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 98: 565–574.
- Bousquet, Y. 1993. On Thomas Say's entomological publications printed in New Harmony, Indiana. *Entomological News* 104: 1–14.
- Bousquet, Y. 2016. Litteratura Coleopterologica (1758–1900): a guide to selected books related to the taxonomy of Coleoptera with publication dates and notes. *ZooKeys* 853: 1–776.
- Boxie, A. 1906. Catalogue des Anthribides. *Annales de la Société Entomologique de Belgique* 49: 218–334.
- Bright, D. E. 1968. Review of the tribe Xyleborini in America north of Mexico (Coleoptera: Scolytinae). *The Canadian Entomologist* 100: 1288–1323.
- Bright, D. E. 1993. *The Insects and Arachnids of Canada, Part 21. The Weevils of Canada and Alaska*. Vol. 1. Coleoptera: Curculionoidea, excluding Scolytidae and Curculionidae. Ottawa, Ontario: Canadian Government Publishing Centre.
- Bright, D. E. 1994. Revision of the genus *Sitona* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) of North America. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 87: 277–306.
- Bright, D. E., and P. Bouchard. 2008. *The Insects and Arachnids of Canada, Part 25. Weevils of Canada and Alaska*. Vol. 2. Coleoptera, Curculionidae, Entiminae. Ottawa, Ontario: NRC Research Press.
- Brooks, F. E. 1922. Curculios that attack the young fruits and shoots of walnut and hickory. United States Department of Agriculture, Division of Entomology *Bulletin* 1066: 1–16.
- Brooks, F. E. 1924. The cambium curculio, *Conotrachelus anaglypticus* Say. *Journal of Agricultural Research* 28: 377–386 + pls. 1–3.
- Brown, W. J. 1966. Chrysomelinae and Curculionidae (Coleoptera); descriptions and notes. *The Canadian Entomologist* 98: 855–859.
- Buchanan, L. L. 1923. Two European weevils established in North America (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Entomological News and Proceedings of the Entomological Section of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 34: 280–281.
- Buchanan, L. L. 1931. Synopsis of *Perigaster* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences* 21: 320–325.
- Buchanan, L. L. 1936. The pacificus group of *Cossonus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *The Pan-Pacific Entomologist* 12: 11–16.
- Buchanan, L. L. 1939. The species of *Pantomorus* of America north of Mexico. United States Department of Agriculture *Miscellaneous Publications* 341: 1–40.
- Burke, H. R. 1975. Nomenclatural changes in North American *Anthonomus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Entomological News* 86: 57–62.
- Caldara, R., N. M. Franz, and R. G. Oberprieler. 2014. Curculioninae, pp. 589–628 IN: R. A. B. Leschen and R. G. Beutel, editors. *Coleoptera. Morphology and Systematics*. Vol. 3. IN: N. P. Kristensen and R. G. Beutel, editors. *Handbook of Zoology. Arthropoda: Insecta*. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Casey, T. L. 1885. *Contributions to the Descriptive and Systematic Coleopterology of North America*. Part II [part, pp. 125–198]. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Collins Printing House.
- Casey, T. L. 1891. Coleopterological notices. III. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 6: 9–214.
- Casey, T. L. 1892a. Coleopterological notices. IV [part]. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 6: 359–426.
- Casey, T. L. 1892b. Coleopterological notices. IV [part]. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 6: 427–538.
- Casey, T. L. 1892c. Coleopterological notices. IV [part]. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 6: 539–650.
- Casey, T. L. 1910. On some new species of Balaninini, Tychiini and related tribes. *The Canadian Entomologist* 42: 114–144.
- Casey, T. L. 1920. Some descriptive studies among the American Barinae. *Memoirs on the Coleoptera* 9: 300–529.
- Casey, T. L. 1922. Studies in the rhynchophorons subfamily Barinae of the Brazilian fauna. *Memoirs on the Coleoptera* 10: 1–520.

- Champion, G. C. 1902a. Insecta. Coleoptera. Vol. 4, Pt. 4. Rhynchophora. Curculionidae. Curculioninae (part), PP. 1–32 IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Champion, G. C. 1902b. Insecta. Coleoptera. Vol. 4, Pt. 4. Rhynchophora. Curculionidae. Curculioninae (part), PP. 65–112 IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Champion, G. C. 1903. Insecta. Coleoptera, Vol. 4, Pt. 4. Rhynchophora. Curculionidae. Curculioninae (part), PP. 225–280 IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Champion, G. C. 1905a. Insecta. Coleoptera. Vol. 4, Pt. 4. Rhynchophora. Curculionidae. Curculioninae (part), PP. 505–528 IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Champion, G. C. 1905b. Insecta. Coleoptera. Vol. 4, Pt. 4. Rhynchophora. Curculionidae. Curculioninae (part), PP. 529–600 IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Champion, G. C. 1906. Insecta. Coleoptera. Vol. 4, Pt. 4. Rhynchophora. Curculionidae. Curculioninae (part), PP. 601–688 IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Champion, G. C. 1909a. Insecta. Coleoptera. Vol. 4, Pt. 5. Rhynchophora. Curculionidae. Curculioninae (continued) [part], PP. 401–513 IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Champion, G. C. 1909b. Insecta. Coleoptera. Vol. 4, Pt. 7. Rhynchophora. Curculionidae. Curculioninae (continued) and Calandrinae, PP. 1–48 IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Champion, G. C. 1911. Otiorhynchinae alatae and supplement [part], PP. 313–354 IN: D. Sharp and G. C. Champion, 1889–1911, Insecta. Coleoptera, Vol. 4, Pt. 3. Rhynchophora, Curculionidae: Attelabinae, Pterocolinae, Allocoryninae, Apioninae, Thecesterninae, Otiorhynchinae IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Chapuis, F. 1865. *Monographie des Platypides*. Liège: H. Dessain.
- Chevrolat, L. A. A. 1833. Monographie de deux genres nouveaux dans la famille des Curculionites. Errata et addenda. *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France* [1832] 1: 444–446.
- Chittenden, F. H. 1904. On the species of *Sphenophorus* hitherto considered as *placidus* Say. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 6: 130–137.
- Chittenden, F. H. 1906. New species of *Sphenophorus* with notes on described forms. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 7: 166–182.
- Chittenden, F. H. 1927. Classification of the nut curculios (formerly *Balaninus*) of boreal America. *Entomologica Americana* 7: 129–207 + pls. 12–19.
- Cieglar, J. C. 2010. *Biota of South Carolina*. Vol. 6. *Weevils of South Carolina (Coleoptera: Nemomychidae, Attelabidae, Brentidae, Ithyceridae, and Curculionidae)*. Clemson, South Carolina: Clemson University.
- Clark, W. E. 1971. A taxonomic revision of the weevil genus *Tychius* Germar in America north of Mexico (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Brigham Young University Science Bulletin* 13: 1–39.
- Colonnelli, E. 2004. *Catalogue of Centorhynchinae of the World, with a Key to Genera (Insecta: Coleoptera: Curculionidae)*. Barcelona: Argania editio.
- Csiki, E. 1934. Curculionidae: Subfam. Cleoninae. Pars 134, PP. 1–152 IN: W. Junk and S. Schenkling, editors. *Coleopterorum Catalogus*. Vol. 28. 's-Gravenhage, The Netherlands: Verlag für Naturwissenschaften W. Junk.
- Csiki, E. 1936. Curculionidae: Rhynchophorinae, Cossoninae. Pars 149, PP. 1–212 IN: W. Junk and S. Schenkling, editors. *Coleopterorum Catalogus*. Vol. 30. 's-Gravenhage, The Netherlands: Verlag für Naturwissenschaften W. Junk.
- Dalla Torre, K. v. and A. Hustache. 1930. Curculionidae: Centorhynchinae. Pars 113, PP. 1–150 IN: W. Junk and S. Schenkling, editors. *Coleopterorum Catalogus*. Vol. 30. 's-Gravenhage, The Netherlands: Verlag für Naturwissenschaften W. Junk.
- Davis, A. G. 1947. Review of the weevils of the tribe Ophryastini of America north of Mexico. [compiled and edited by L. L. Buchanan]. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 96: 483–551.
- Dejean, P. F. M. A. 1835. *Catalogue de Coléoptères de la collection de M. le Comte Dejean*, PP. 257–360 [second edition, fascicle 4]. Paris: Méquignon-Marvis.
- Dejean, P. F. M. A. 1836. *Catalogue de Coléoptères de la collection de M. le Comte Dejean*, PP. 1–384 [third edition, fascicles 1–4]. Paris: Méquignon-Marvis.
- Dethlefsen, E. S. 1954. Revisional notes on the genus *Brachytarsoides* Pierce (Coleoptera, Platystomidae). 1. New North American species and subspecies. *Wasmann Journal of Biology* 12: 53–62.
- Dieckmann, L. 1980. Beiträge zur Insektenfauna der DDR: Coleoptera—Curculionidae (Brachycerinae, Otiorhynchinae, Brachyderinae). *Beiträge zur Entomologie* 30: 145–310.

- Dietz, W. G. 1889. On the species of *Macrops* Kirby, inhabiting North America. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* 16: 28–54.
- Dietz, W. G. 1891. Revision of the genera and species of Anthonomini inhabiting North America. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* 18: 177–276.
- Dietz, W. G. 1896. Revision of the genera and species of Centorhynchini inhabiting North America. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* 23: 387–480.
- Eichhoff, 1868. Nene amerikanische Borkenkäfer—Gattungen und Arten. *Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift* 11: 399–402.
- Elliot, C. A. 2008. *Thaddeus William Harris (1795–1856): Nature, Science, and Society in the Life of an American Naturalist*. Bethlehem, Pennsylvania: Lehigh University Press.
- Erichson, W. F. 1836. Systematische Auseinandersetzung der Familie der Borkenkäfer (Bostrichidae). *Archiv für Naturgeschichte* 2: 45–65.
- Evans, A. V. 2014. *Beetles of Eastern North America*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Fabricius, J. C. 1801. *Systema eleutheratorum secundum ordines, genera, species: adiectis synonymis, locis, observationibus, descriptionibus*. Tomus 2. Kiliae [=Kiel], Germania: Bibliopoli Academici Novi.
- Fall, H. C. 1901. List of the Coleoptera of southern California, with notes on habits and distribution and descriptions of new species. *Occasional Papers of the California Academy of Sciences* 8: 1–282.
- Fall, H. C. 1913. A brief review of our species of *Magdalis*, with notes and descriptions of other North American Rhynchophora. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* 39: 23–72.
- Fiedler, C. 1941. Über alte und neue südamerikanische Arten der Gattung *Cryptorhynchus* Illig. (Col. Cure. Cryptorhynchini). *Zeitschrift für Naturwissenschaften* 95: 69–122.
- Flinte, V., C. Oliveira Arango, M. Valverde de Macedo, and R. Ferreira Monteiro. 2006. Insetos fitófagos associados ao murici da praia, *Byrrsonina sericea* (Malpighiaceae), na Restinga de Jurubatiba (RJ). *Revista Brasileira de Entomologia* 50: 512–523.
- Fox, W. J. 1901. Letters from Thomas Say to John F. Melsheimer, 1816–1825.—IX. *Entomological News* 12: 314–316.
- Fox, W. J. 1913. Dates of publication, PP. vii–xiv IN: E. J. Nolan, editor. *An Index to the Scientific Contents of the Journal and Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*. Philadelphia: The Academy of Natural Sciences.
- Gemminger, M., and E. v. Harold. 1871. *Catalogus coleopterorum hucusque descriptorum synonymicus et systematicus*. Vol. 8, *Curculionidae*. Monachii [=Munich], Germania: E. H. Gmmmi.
- Gemminger, M., and E. v. Harold. 1872. *Catalogus coleopterorum hucusque descriptorum synonymicus et systematicus*. Vol. 9, *Scolytidae, Brentidae, Anthribidae, Cerambycidae*. Monachii, Germania: E. H. Gmmmi.
- Genoways, H. H., and B. C. Ratcliffe. 2008. Enger's cantonment, Missouri Territory, 1819–1820: America's first biodiversity inventory. *Great Plains Research* 18: 3–32.
- Germar, E. F. 1823. *Insectorum species novae aut minus cognitae, descriptionibus illustratae*. Volumen primum, *Coleoptera*. [1824]. Halae [=Halle], Germania: J. H. Hendel et filii.
- Germar, E. F. 1829. Curculionides, PP. 356–359 IN: J. S. Ersch and J. G. Gruber, editors. *Allgemeine Encyclopädie der Wissenschaften und Künste*. Vol. 20. Leipzig, Germany: J. F. Gleditsch.
- Gibson, L. P. 1969. Monograph of the genus *Curculio* in the New World (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Part 1. United States and Canada. *Miscellaneous Publications of the Entomological Society of America* 6: 239–285.
- Gilbert, E. E. 1964. The genus *Baris* Germar in California (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *University of California. Publications in Entomology* 34: 1–153.
- Gültekin, L. 2013. New acts and comments: Curculionidae: Lixinae: Lixini, PP. 102–110 IN: I. Löbl and A. Smetana, editors. *Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera*. Vol. 8, *Curculionoidea II*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Hamilton, J. 1890. *Balaninus*—its food habits. *The Canadian Entomologist* 22: 1–8.
- Hamilton, J. 1896. *Lepyrus*. *The Canadian Entomologist* 28: 122–127.
- Hamilton, R. W. 1971. The genus *Pselaphorhynchites* (Coleoptera: Rhynchitidae) in America north of Mexico. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 64: 982–996.
- Hamilton, R. W. 1983. Neotype and lectotype designations for North American weevils in the families Nemonychidae, Attelabidae, and Rhynchitidae. *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 37: 19–22.
- Harris, H. M. 1942. On the date of publication of Laporte's Essai. *The Pan-Pacific Entomologist* 18: 161–162.
- Harris, T. W. 1833. Insects, PP. 566–595 IN: E. Hitchcock, editor. *Report on the Geology, Mineralogy, Botany, and Zoology of Massachusetts*. Amherst, Massachusetts: J. S. and C. Adams.
- Harris, T. W. 1841. *A Report on the Insects of Massachusetts Injurious to Vegetation*. Cambridge: Folsom, Wells and Thurston.
- Hatch, M. H. 1971. The beetles of the Pacific Northwest. Part 5. Rhipicerioidea, Sternoxi, Phytophaga, Rhynchophora, and Lamellicornia. Uni-

- versity of Washington *Publications in Biology* 16: i–xiv, 1–662.
- Henderson, L. S. 1939. A revision of the genus *Listronotus*: I (Curculionidae: Coleoptera). *The University of Kansas Science Bulletin* 26: 215–337.
- Herbst, J. F. W. 1797. *Natursystem aller bekannten in- und ausländischen Insekten*. Der Käfer siebenter Theil. Berlin: J. Pauli.
- Hlaváč, P., and N. Maughan. 2013. New acts and comments. Curculionidae: Cossoninae, PP. 58–59 IN: I. Löbl and A. Smetana, editors. *Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera*. Vol. 8, Curculionoidea II. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Hoebeke, E. R., and D. R. Whitehead. 1980. New records of *Rhinoncus bruchoides* (Herbst) for the Western Hemisphere and a revised key to the North American species of the genus *Rhinoncus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Ceutorhynchinae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 82: 556–561.
- Horn, G. H. 1873. Contributions to a knowledge of the Curculionidae of the United States. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* 13: 407–469.
- Hustache, A. 1936. Curculionidae: Cryptorrhynchinae. Pars 151, PP. 1–317 IN: W. Junk and S. Schenkling, editors. *Coleopterorum Catalogus*. Vol. 29. 's-Gravenhage, The Netherlands: Verlag für Naturwissenschaften W. Junk.
- Hustache, A. 1938. Curculionidae: Barinae. Pars 163, PP. 1–219 IN: W. Junk and S. Schenkling, editors. *Coleopterorum Catalogus*. Vol. 30. 's-Gravenhage, The Netherlands: Verlag für Naturwissenschaften W. Junk.
- [ICZN] International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature. 1957. Direction 63, PP. 21–60 IN: F. Hemming, editor. *Opinions and Declarations Rendered by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature*. Vol. 1, Sect. E. London: The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature.
- ICZN. 1964. *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature*. 2nd ed. London: The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature.
- ICZN. 1999. *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature*. 4th ed. London: The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature.
- Jansen, M. A., and N. M. Franz. 2015. Phylogenetic revision of *Minyomerus* Horn, 1876 sec. Jansen & Franz, 2015 (Coleoptera, Curculionidae) using taxonomic concept annotations and alignments. *ZooKeys* 528: 1–133.
- Jones, R. W., S. N. Maldonado, and C. W. O'Brien. 2005. Coleoptera: Curculionoidea, PP. 426–431 IN: G. Sánchez-Ramos, P. Reyes-Castillo, and R. Dirzo, editors. *Historia Natural de la Reserva de la Biosfera El Cielo, Tamaulipas, México*. Hong Kong: Toppan Printing.
- Jordan, K. 1906. Fam. Anthribidae, PP. 347–362 IN: D. Sharp, F. Z. S. Blandford, and K. Jordan, editors. 1895–1907. *Insecta, Coleoptera*. Vol. 4. Pt. 6, IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Jordan, K. 1907. Fam. Anthribidae, PP. 379–396 IN: D. Sharp, F. Z. S. Blandford, and K. Jordan, editors. 1895–1907. *Insecta, Coleoptera*. Vol. 4. Pt. 6, IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Julien, M., G. Fichera, and R. Segura. 2012. *Hyptis suaveolens* (L.) Poit.—hyptis, PP. 308–313 IN: M. Julien, R. McFayen, and J. Cullen, editors. *Biological Control of Weeds in Australia*. Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing.
- Kingsolver, J. M. 1972. A catalogue of the genus *Lixus* F. for America north of Mexico (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 26: 151–154.
- Kirkendall, L. R. 2017. Beetles (Coleoptera) of Peru: a survey of the families. Curculionidae: Platypodiinae. *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 71: 99–115.
- Kissinger, D. G. 1959. A revision of the *Apion* subgenus *Trichapion* Wagner in the New World (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 110: 247–389.
- Kissinger, D. G. 1968. *Curculionidae Subfamily Apioninae of North and Central America with Reviews of the World Genera of Apioninae and World Subgenera of Apion Herbst (Coleoptera)*. South Lancaster, Massachusetts: Taxonomic Publications.
- Kissinger, D. G. 1970. *Curculionidae Tribe Ophryastini of North America (Coleoptera)*. South Lancaster, Massachusetts: Taxonomic Publications.
- Kissinger, D. G. 1999. Description of a new genus, *Sayapion*, from North and Central America (Coleoptera: Apionidae). *Insecta Mundi* 13: 72.
- Kuschel, G. 1952. Revisión de *Lissorhoptrus* LeConte y géneros vecinos de América (Ap. 11 de Coleoptera Curculionidae). *Revista Chilena de Entomología* 1: 23–74.
- Kuschel, G. 1962. Some notes on the cossonine genus *Caulophilus* Wollaston with a key to the species (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 16: 1–4.
- Lacordaire, T. 1863. *Histoire naturelle des insectes. Genera des Coléoptères ou exposé méthodique et critique de tous les genres proposés jusqu'ici dans cet ordre d'insectes*. Tome sixième. Paris: Roret.
- Lacroix, D. S. 1926. The life history and control of the cranberry weevil *Anthonomus uniusculus* Say (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) [MSc thesis]. Am-

- herst: University of Massachusetts [cited 15 June 2017]. Available from: <http://scholarworks.umass.edu/theses/1693>.
- Lanteri, A. A., and N. B. Díaz. 1994. Sy[s]tematic study and cladistic analysis of the genus *Aranigus* Horn (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* 120: 113–144.
- Lanteri, A. A., N. B. Díaz, M. S. Loíacono, and M. C. Coscarón. 1987. Aplicación del técnicas numéricas al estudio sistematico del grupo de *Asynonychus durius* (Germar). (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Entomologische Arbeiten aus dem Museum G. Frey* 35–36: 171–198.
- LeBaron, W. 1874. Outlines of entomology. Part I in fourth annual report on the noxious and beneficial insects of the State of Illinois. Springfield: State Journal Steam Print.
- LeConte, J. L. 1853. Descriptions of some new Coleoptera from Texas, chiefly collected by the Mexican Boundary Commission. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 6: 439–448.
- LeConte, J. L. 1856. Note on the genus *Lithodius* Schonherr. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 8: 18–19.
- LeConte, J. L. 1859. *The complete writings of Thomas Say on the entomology of North America*. Vols. 1 and 2. New York: Baillière brothers.
- LeConte, J. L. 1869. Analytical table of the species of *Baridius* inhabiting the United States. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* [1868] 20: 361–365.
- LeConte, J. L., and G. H. Horn. 1876. The Rhynchophora of America, north of Mexico. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* 15: i–xvi, 1–455.
- Leng, C. W. 1920. *Catalogue of the Coleoptera of America, North of Mexico*. Mount Vernon, New York: J. D. Sherman Jr.
- Lindroth, C. H., and R. Freitag. 1969. North American ground-beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae, excluding Cicindelinae) described by Thomas Say: designation of lectotypes and neotypes. *Psyche* 76: 326–361.
- List, G. M. 1932. The genus *Tachypterellus* with a special study of *T. consors cerasi*, new subspecies, a cherry pest in Colorado. Colorado Experiment Station. *Bulletin* 385: 5–106.
- Lyal, C. H. C., and T. King. 1996. Elytro-tergal stridulation in weevils (Insecta: Coleoptera: Curculionoidea). *Journal of Natural History* 30: 703–773.
- Majka, C. G. 2010. Beetles in old growth forests: perspectives from the Townshend Woodlot, Prince Edward Island. *Journal of the Acadian Entomological Society* 6: 39–43.
- Majka, C. G., R. S. Anderson, and D. B. McCorquodale. 2007. The weevils (Coleoptera: Curculionoidea) of the Maritime Provinces of Canada, II: new records from Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island and regional zoogeography. *The Canadian Entomologist* 139: 397–442.
- Mannerheim, C. G. 1843. Beitrag zur Käfer-Fauna der Aleutischen Inseln, der Insel Sitkha und Nencaliforniens. *Bulletin de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou* 1843: 195–314.
- Mawdsley, J. R. 1993. The entomological collection of Thomas Say. *Psyche* 100: 163–171.
- McCollum, S. R. 2011. A review of the broad nosed weevil genus *Thecesternus* Say found in the continental United States (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Entiminae) [MSc thesis]. Fort Collins: Colorado State University [cited 15 June 2017]. Available from: <https://dspace.library.colostate.edu/handle/10217/47410>.
- Melsheimer, F. E. 1853. *Catalogue of the Described Coleoptera of the United States*. Revised by S. S. Haldeman and J. L. LeConte. Washington DC: Smithsonian Institution. [The Melsheimers' annotated copy is in the Cullman Library, USNM (available online at BHL) and Say's in ANSP.]
- Melsheimer, F. V. 1806. *A Catalogue of Insects of Pennsylvania*. Part 1. Hanover, York County. Pennsylvania: W. D. Lepper.
- Morrone, J. J., R. Muñiz, J. Asiain, and J. Márquez. 2002. Lista de las especies de la Curculionoidea (Insecta: Coleoptera) depositadas en la colección del Museo de Zoología "Alfonso L. Herrera," Facultad de Ciencias, UNAM (MZFC). *Acta Zoológica Mexicana (nueva serie)* 87: 147–165.
- Nuttall, T. 1821. *Journal of Travels into the Arkansas Territory, during the year 1819. With Occasional Observations on the Manners of the Aborigines*. Philadelphia: T. H. Palmer.
- O'Brien, C. W. 1970. A taxonomic revision of the weevils genus *Dorytomus* in North America (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). University of California. *Publications in Entomology* 60: 1–80.
- O'Brien, C. W., J. C. Ciegler, and J. C. Girón. 2010. Weevils of the genus *Cercopis* Schoenherr from South Carolina, USA (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Entiminae). *Insecta Mundi* 0141: 1–29.
- O'Brien, C. W., and M. Haseeb. 2014. Revision of the "Rice Water Weevil" genus *Lissorhoptrus* LeConte (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in North America north of Mexico. *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 68: 163–186.
- O'Brien, C. W., and G. J. Wilmer. 1982. *Annotated Checklist of the Weevils (Curculionidae sensu lato) of North America, Central America, and the West Indies (Coleoptera: Curculionoidea)*. Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute, Vol. 34. Gainesville, Florida: The American Entomological Institute.

- Ødegaard, F. 2003. Taxonomic composition and host specificity of phytophagous beetles in a dry forest in Panama, PP. 220–236 IN: Y. Basset, V. Novotny, S. E. Miller, and R. L. Kitching, editors. *Arthropods of Tropical Forests: Spatio-Temporal Dynamics and Resource Use in the Canopy*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Packard, A. S. 1870. New or little known injurious insects, PP. 235–263 IN: *Seventeenth Annual Report of the Secretary of the Massachusetts Board of Agriculture, 1869*. Boston: Wright and Potter.
- Palmblad, V. F., and P. Wieselgren, editors. 1839. *Biographiskt lexicon öfver namnkunnige Svenska män*. Vol. 5. Upsala: Leffler och Sebell.
- Palmblad, V. F., and P. Wieselgren, editors. 1847. *Biographiskt lexicon öfver namnkunnige Svenska män*. Vol. 14. Upsala: Wahlström & C.
- Patton, W. H. 1897. Acorn insects. *Entomological News and Proceedings of the Entomological Section of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 8: 76–77.
- Peck, S. B., and M. C. Thomas. 1998. *Arthropods of Florida and Neighboring Land Areas*. Vol. 16. *A Distributional Checklist of the Beetles (Coleoptera) of Florida*. Gainesville: Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.
- Pierce, W. D. 1907. On the biologies of the Rhynchophora of North America. The University of Nebraska. *Studies from the Zoological Laboratory* 78: 249–320.
- Pierce, W. D. 1909. Studies of North American weevils. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 37: 325–364.
- Pierce, W. D. 1913. Miscellaneous contributions to the knowledge of the weevils of the families Attelabidae and Brachyrhinidae. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 45: 365–426.
- Poole, R. W., and P. Gentili. 1996. *Nomina Insecta Nearctica. A Check List of the Insects of North America*. Vol. 1. *Coleoptera, Strepsiptera*. Rockville, Maryland: Entomological Information Services.
- Prena, J. 2008. Review of *Odontocorynus* Schönherr (Coleoptera, Curculionidae, Baridinae) with descriptions of four new species. *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 62: 243–277.
- Prena, J. 2012. A review of *Rhoptobaris* LeConte (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Baridinae) from North and Central America. *The Coleopterists Bulletin* 66: 233–244.
- Prena, J. 2015. Thomas Say's weevils, with special reference to his Curculionites pamphlet and the extant type material. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* [2013] 157: 393–408.
- Riley, C. V. 1871. *Third Annual Report on the Noxious, Beneficial and Other Insects, of the State of Missouri*. Jefferson City, Missouri: H. Wilcox.
- Riley, C. V. 1885. Report of the entomologist: the strawberry weevil (*Athonomus musculus*, Say.), PP. 276–282 IN: *Report of the Commissioner of Agriculture*. Washington, DC: Government Printing Office.
- Ruschenberger, W. S. W. 1852. *A Notice of the Origin, Progress, and Present Condition of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*. Philadelphia: T. K. and P. G. Collins.
- Salsbury, G. A. 2000. The weevils of Kansas: a manual for identification [Internet]. Privately published [cited 15 June 2017]. Available from: <http://biodis.k-state.edu/resources/weevils.pdf>.
- Say, T. 1824a. Descriptions of coleopterous insects collected in the late expedition to the Rocky Mountains, performed by order of Mr. Calhoun, Secretary of War, under the command of Major Long. (continued) [part]. *Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 3: 298–320.
- Say, T. 1824b. Descriptions of coleopterous insects collected in the late expedition to the Rocky Mountains, performed by order of Mr. Calhoun, Secretary of War, under the command of Major Long. (continued) [part]. *Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 3: 321–331.
- Say, T. 1824c. Class Insecta, PP. 268–378 IN: W. H. Keating, editor. *Narrative of an Expedition to the Source of St. Peter's River, Lake Winnepeck, Lake of the Woods, etc.* Vol. 2. Philadelphia: H. C. Carey and I. Lea.
- Say, T. 1824d. *American Entomology or Descriptions of the Insects of North America*. Vol. 1. Philadelphia: S. A. Mitchell.
- Say, T. 1826. Descriptions of new species of coleopterous insects inhabiting the United States. *Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 5: 237–284.
- Say, T. 1831a. *Descriptions of New Species of North American Insects, Found in Louisiana by Joseph Barabino*. New Harmony, Indiana: School Press.
- Say, T. 1831b. *Descriptions of New Species of Curculionites of North America, with Observations on Some of the Species Already Known* [signature 1]. New Harmony, Indiana: School Press.
- Say, T. 1832a. *New Species of North American Insects, Found by Joseph Barabino, Chiefly in Louisiana*. New Harmony, Indiana: School Press.
- Say, T. 1832b. *Descriptions of New Species of Curculionites of North America, with Observations on Some of the Species Already Known* [signatures 2–3]. New Harmony, Indiana: School Press.
- Say, T. 1832c. *Descriptions of New Species of Curculionites of North America, with Observations on Some of the Species Already Known*.

- Supplement [signature 4]. New Harmony, Indiana: School Press.
- Schaeffer, C. F. A. 1904. New genera and species of Coleoptera. *Journal of the New York Entomological Society* 12: 197–236.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1833a. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 1, pt. 1, pp. i–xv, 1–381. Paris: N. Roret.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1833b. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 1, pt. 2, pp. 383–685. Paris: N. Roret.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1834a. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 2, pt. 1, pp. 1–326. Paris: N. Roret.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1834b. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 2, pt. 2, pp. 329–673. Paris: N. Roret.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1835. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 3, pt. 1, pp. 1–505. Paris: N. Roret; Lipsiae [=Leipzig], Germania: F. Fleischer.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1836. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 3, pt. 2, pp. 506–858. Paris: N. Roret; Lipsiae: F. Fleischer.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1837. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 4, pt. 1, pp. 1–600. Paris: N. Roret; Lipsiae: F. Fleischer.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1838. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 4, pt. 2, pp. 601–1124. Paris: N. Roret; Lipsiae: F. Fleischer.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1839. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 5, pt. 1, pp. 1–456. Paris: N. Roret; Lipsiae: F. Fleischer.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1840a. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 5, pt. 2, pp. 459–970. Paris: N. Roret; Lipsiae: F. Fleischer.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1840b. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 6, pt. 1, pp. 1–474. Paris: N. Roret.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1842. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 6, pt. 2, pp. 1–495. Paris: N. Roret; Lipsiae: F. Fleischer.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1843. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 7, pt. 2, pp. 1–461. Paris: N. Roret; Lipsiae: F. Fleischer.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1844. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 8, pt. 1, pp. 1–442. Paris: N. Roret; Lipsiae: F. Fleischer.
- Schönherr, C. J. 1845. *Genera et species curculionidum, cum synonymia hujus familiae*. Vol. 8, pt. 2, pp. 1–504. Paris: N. Roret; Lipsiae: F. Fleischer.
- Schoof, H. F. 1942. The genus *Conotrachelus* Dejean (Coleoptera, Curculionidae) in the north central United States. *Illinois Biological Monographs* 19: 3–170.
- Schwarz, E. A., editor. 1895. Remarks on the synonymy of some North American scolytid beetles, by the late William Eichhoff, of Strasbourg, Germany. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 18: 605–610.
- Sendder, S. H. 1891. Some old correspondence between Harris, Say, and Pickering.—III. *Psyche* 6: 137–141.
- Sendder, S. H. 1899. Manuscript notes by the late T. W. Harris on Say's insects and papers.—I. *Psyche* 8: 399–401.
- Sharp, D. 1891. Series Otiorynchinae apterae, PP. 87–178 IN: D. Sharp and G. C. Champion, editors. 1889–1911. *Insecta, Coleoptera*. Vol. 4, Pt. 3. Rhynchophora, Curculionidae: Attelabinae, Pterocolinae, Allocoryninae, Apioninae, Thecesterninae, Otiorynchinae. IN: F. D. Godman and O. Salvin, editors. *Biologia Centrali-Americana*. London: R. H. Porter.
- Shimer, H. 1869. A summers study of hickory galls, with descriptions of supposed new insects bred therefrom. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* 2: 386–398.
- Skuhrovec, J. 2013. Hyperinae, PP. 423–437 IN: I. Löbl and A. Smetana, editors. *Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera*. Vol. 8, *Curculionoidea II*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill.
- Skvarla, M. J., D. M. Fisher, K. E. Schnepp, and A. P. G. Dowling. 2015. Terrestrial arthropods of Steel Creek, Buffalo National River, Arkansas. I. Select beetles (Coleoptera: Buprestidae, Carabidae, Cerambycidae, Curculionoidea excluding Scolytinae). *Biodiversity Data Journal* 3: 1–42.
- Sleeper, E. L. 1955. New Curculionidae from British Columbia I (Coleoptera: Rhynchophora). *The Pan-Pacific Entomologist* 31: 155–162.
- Sleeper, E. L. 1963. A study of the Zygopinae (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) of America north of Mexico. I. *Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences* 62: 209–220.
- Smith, A. Z. 1986. *A History of the Hope Entomological Collections in the University Museum, Oxford with Lists of Archives and Collections*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Smith, J. B. 1884. Synopsis of the Apioninae of North America. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society and Proceedings of the Entomological Section of the Academy of Natural Sciences* 11: 41–68.
- Smith, S. M., and A. I. Cognato. 2014. A taxonomic monograph of Nearctic *Scolytus* Geoffroy (Coleoptera, Curculionidae, Scolytinae). *ZooKeys* 450: 1–182.
- Smreczyński, S. 1959. *Sitona lineellus* Bonnd., *S. ambiguus* Gyll. sp. propr. i *S. tibialis* var.? *brevicollis* Gyll. (Coleoptera, Curculionidae). *Acta Zoologica Cracoviensis* 4 (11): 639–654.

- Spanton, T. G., and R. S. Anderson. 2016. A taxonomic revision of weevils of the genus *Panscopus* Schönherr (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Entiminae: Tropiphorini). *The Coleopterists Bulletin, Patricia Vaurie Monograph Series* 15: 1–86.
- Stoekton, W. D. 1963. New species of *Hyperodes* Jekel and a key to the Nearctic species of the genus. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences* 62: 140–149.
- Tanner, V. M. 1943. A study of the subtribe Hydronomi with a description of new species. (Curculionidae); study no. VI. *The Great Basin Naturalist* 4: 1–38.
- Tanner, V. M. 1957. Notes on American *Sitona* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), with three new species. *The Great Basin Naturalist* 47: 168–174.
- Taschenberg, E. 1869. Verzeichniss der im Zoologischen Museum der Universität Halle-Wittenberg aufgestellten Rüsselkäfer. *Zeitschrift für die gesammten Naturwissenschaften* 33: 129–248.
- Titus, E. G. 1911. The genera *Hypera* and *Phytonomus* (Coleoptera, family Curculionidae) in America, north of Mexico. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 4: 383–473 + pls. 24–34.
- Tucker, E. S. 1912. The rice water-weevil and methods for its control (*Lissorhoptus simplex* Say). United States Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Entomology Circular 152.
- Tuttle, D. M. 1956. Notes on the life history of seven species of *Anthonomus* occurring in Illinois (Curculionidae, Coleoptera). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 49: 170–173.
- Valentine, B. D. 1998. A review of Nearctic and some related Anthribidae (Coleoptera). *Insecta Mundi* 12: 251–296.
- Van Dyke, E. C. 1916. Supplementary notes and descriptions of North American Ostomidae, Cleridae, and *Cossonus* (Col.). *Bulletin of the Brooklyn Entomological Society* 11: 71–79.
- Vaurie, P. 1951. Revision of the genus *Calendra* (formerly *Sphenophorus*) in the United States and Mexico (Coleoptera, Curculionidae). *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 98: 26–186.
- Vaurie, P. 1981. Revision of *Rhodoabaenus*. Part 2. Species in North America (Canada to Panama) (Coleoptera, Curculionidae, Rhynchophorinae). *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 171: 117–198.
- Voß, E. 1929. Die Unterfamilien Attelabinae u. Apoderinae. (Col. Curc.). *Stettiner Entomologische Zeitung* 90: 161–242.
- Voß, E. 1932. Monographie der Rhynchitinen—Tribus Rhynchitini. 2. Gattungsgruppe: Rhynchitina. V. 1. Teil der Monographie der Rhynchitinae-Pterocolinae [part]. *Koleopterologische Rundschau* 18: 161–189.
- Walsh, B. D. 1867a. On the insects coleopterous, hymenopterous and dipterous, inhabiting the galls of certain species of willow [part]. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Philadelphia* [1866] 6: 253–288.
- Walsh, B. D. 1867b. The common curculio and its allies. *Practical Entomology* 2: 75–81.
- Walsh, B. D. 1868. First annual report on the noxious insects of the State of Illinois. Transactions of the Illinois State Horticultural Society 1.
- Warren, L. 2009. *Machre of New Harmony: Scientist, Progressive Educator, Radical Philanthropist*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Webster, R., R. S. Anderson, J. D. Sweeney, and I. DeMerchant. 2012. New Coleoptera records from New Brunswick, Canada: Anthribidae, Brentidae, Dryophthoridae, Brachyceridae, and Curculionidae, with additions to the fauna of Quebec, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island. *ZooKeys* 179: 349–406.
- Weidner, H. 1976. Die Entomologischen Sammlungen des Zoologischen Instituts und des Zoologischen Museums der Universität Hamburg. IX. Teil. Insecta VI. *Mitteilungen aus dem Hamburgischen Zoologischen Museum und Institut* 73: 87–264.
- Weidner, H. 1979. Die Entomologischen Sammlungen des Zoologischen Instituts und Zoologischen Museums der Universität Hamburg. Nachtrag zum IX. Teil Insecta VI. *Mitteilungen aus dem Hamburgischen Zoologischen Museum und Institut* 76: 395–468.
- Wibmer, G. J. 1981. Revision of the New World weevil genus *Tyloderma* in America north of Mexico (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Cryptorhynchinae). *The Southwestern Entomologist Supplement* 3: 1–95.
- Wibmer, G. J., and C. W. O'Brien. 1986. *Annotated Checklist of the Weevils (Curculionidae sensu lato) of South America (Coleoptera: Curculionoidea)*. Memoirs of the American Entomological Institute. Vol. 39. Gainesville, Florida: The American Entomological Institute.
- Wolda, H., C. W. O'Brien, and H. P. Stoekwell. 1998. Weevil diversity and seasonality in tropical Panama as deduced from light-trap catches (Coleoptera: Curculionoidea). *Smithsonian Contribution to Zoology* 590: i–iii, 1–79.
- Wolfrum, P. 1929. Anthribidae. Pars 102, PP. 1–145 IN: W. Junk and S. Schenkling, editors. *Coleopterorum Catalogus*. Vol. 26. 's-Gravenhage, The Netherlands: Verlag für Naturwissenschaften W. Junk.
- Wood, S. L. 1982. The bark and ambrosia beetles of North and Central America (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), a taxonomic monograph. *Great Basin Naturalist Memoirs* 6: 1–1359.

Wood, S. L., and D. E. Bright. 1992. A catalog of Scolytidae and Platypodidae (Coleoptera), Part 2b: taxonomic index. *Great Basin Naturalist Memoirs* 13: 834–1553.

Zimmermann, C. 1868. Synopsis of the Scolytidae of America north of Mexico. With notes and an appendix by J. L. LeConte, M.D. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* 2: 141–178.



Photo on the front cover:

Historical insect collection preserved in original condition at the Working Men's Institute in New Harmony.  
The box contains sparsely labeled specimens from different sources, presumably amassed by Thomas Say.  
Photo: Roman Rakitov

US ISSN 0027-4100

MCZ Publications  
Museum of Comparative Zoology  
Harvard University  
26 Oxford Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138

[mczpublications@mcz.harvard.edu](mailto:mczpublications@mcz.harvard.edu)